



Logic and Action Plan: Post- Quarterly Progress Meeting

Wetlands – 2021-2022

[NOTE: make sure to edit **pre-** or **post-** in the text above, to tell the reader whether this logic and action plan is in preparation for your quarterly progress meeting or has been updated based on discussion at the quarterly progress meeting.]

Long-term Target: 85,000 acres

Two-year Target: 29,548 acres (based on 2017 progress)

Instructions: Before your quarterly progress meeting, provide the status of individual actions in the table below using this color key.
Action has been completed or is moving forward as planned.
Action has encountered minor obstacles.
Action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier.

Additional instructions for completing or updating your logic and action plan can be found on [ChesapeakeDecisions](#).

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions	Metrics	Expected Response and Application	Learn/Adapt
<i>What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?</i>	<i>What current efforts are addressing this factor?</i>	<i>What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?</i>	<i>What actions are essential (to help fill this gap) to achieve our outcome?</i>	<i>What will we measure or observe to determine progress in filling identified gap?</i>	<i>How and when do we expect these actions to address the identified gap? How might that affect our work going forward?</i>	<i>What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?</i>

Funding and incentives	Accumulation of State plans, programs, and initiatives in Virginia that could act as an example	Co-benefits of wetlands may not be emphasized in conservation and restoration.	5.4 Work with the Communication Workgroup to develop state-specific factsheets that identify State plans, programs, and initiatives that encourage wetland restoration and implementation of living shorelines.	A factsheet has been produced for each jurisdiction.	Factsheets will identify the co-benefits of wetlands and incentivize restoration.	
		Additional credit for creating or restoring wetland as habitat, including as part of other creditable BMPs, would help advance the goal.	5.5 Present the state-specific factsheets to the Principal's Staff Committee for awareness along with a recommendation for cross-program prioritization of these actions by the Bay States as a wise use of limited resources.	Factsheets have been presented to the MB and PSC.	Greater awareness at the PSC level can help prioritize wetland restoration throughout jurisdictions.	
Communication with landowners			2.4 Support Bay Program efforts to develop targeted messaging towards coastal landowners about shoreline protection options, particularly living shorelines.	Participation of WWG members. Presentations made to the WWG on this project.	Builds capacity for information exchange between CBP partners and landowners.	

	TNC's NFWF funded project "Accelerating Wetland Restoration Across the Chesapeake Bay Watershed by Strengthening Partnerships and Increasing Landowner Engagement" will directly address this work starting January 2020	Landowner interest and willingness for conservation / restoration Incomplete information for public engagement	5.3 Identify/ create "Wetland Outreach Coordinators" in each state/ priority area that will identify key outreach opportunities, find funding for the right programs, and provide a way to address competing messages.			
			2.1 Expand inventory of wetland programs that fund wetland restoration in each state to include tidal and non-agricultural wetlands, as well as any other non-traditional funding sources.	Programs added to inventory.	Increases information available to public and helps support linkages for practitioners.	
	Identifying/interviewing landowners for new success stories, collaboration with CBP web and communications team to better market the WetlandsWork.org site and increase traffic	Incomplete information for public engagement	2.3 Improve WetlandsWork.org by adding new success stories, implementing the marketing strategies that were developed to increase traffic of the site, and improving security and certifications to ensure site access to all.	2-3 stories added per year. Number of site visitors increases over time according to site analytics.	Provides additional information to the public about the benefits and opportunities for wetland restoration on private lands.	
	Creation of a standing agenda item at Wetland Workgroup meetings to discuss legislation and		2.2 Identify legal or regulatory barriers to wetland creation and enhancement and develop strategies to	Presentations regarding this topic made to the workgroup on a semi-regular basis.	Increases knowledge of barriers to WWG members and creates opportunity for discussion of solutions.	

	policy related to wetland restoration		overcome these obstacles on an annual basis.	Chairs lead a facilitated convo on barriers.		
Communication with decision-makers		Co-benefits may not be emphasized in conservation and restoration decision-making	5.2 Work with CBP Communications Office to update existing co-benefit fact sheet to increase engagement and address leadership and practitioners.	Updated factsheet is completed.	Increases understanding of wetland co-benefits to decisionmakers (agencies, MB/PSC members, etc.).	
	Accumulation of State plans, programs, and initiatives in Virginia that could act as an example	Co-benefits may not be emphasized in	5.4 Work with the Communication Workgroup and State partners to develop state-specific factsheets that identify State plans, programs, and initiatives that encourage wetland restoration and implementation of living shorelines.	A factsheet for each jurisdiction is completed.	Identifies role of wetlands for multiple state goals and encourages wetland restoration in each jurisdiction.	

	<p>conservation and restoration decision-making</p> <p>Lack of awareness on the breadth and impact of wetland mowing Bay-wide.</p> <p>Conflicting perspectives from federal, state, and local regulatory entities on whether wetland mowing is a regulated activity or whether wetland mowing constitutes a violation of law.</p>	<p>5.5 Present the state-specific factsheets to the Principal's Staff Committee for awareness along with a recommendation for cross-program prioritization of these actions by the Bay States as a wise use of limited resources.</p>			
<p>The City of Norfolk, VA treats unpermitted wetland mowing as a violation of State wetland regulations and conducts enforcement to stop it. The courts have affirmed this approach.</p>	<p>Lack of an official Chesapeake Bay Program position on whether efforts to cease wetland mowing would count towards wetland enhancement goals and outcomes.</p>	<p>5.6 Identify the occurrence of wetland mowing throughout the Bay and prepare a Wetland Workgroup position on the regulation and cessation of wetland mowing and whether documented areas of restoration would count towards CBP wetland enhancement goals.</p>	<p>Creation of factsheet.</p>	<p>Describes adverse effects of mowing and increases awareness of negative impacts which can then be used to encourage regulatory/policy changes.</p>	
	<p>Information sharing between practitioners, across workgroups within Goal Teams, and across Goal Teams as appropriate.</p>	<p>1.1 Encourage ongoing commitment to improving tidal and nontidal wetland mapping by working with CBP GIS and modeling teams to support best available science.</p>	<p>WWG engagement with mapping experts and presentations/conversations with the WG at meetings.</p>	<p>Improves communication and information exchange between workgroups and improves wetland mapping efforts, which contributes to more effective research and analysis related to land use.</p>	

Communication with practitioners	Compiled a list of presentations to schedule for upcoming workgroup meetings	Information sharing between practitioners, across workgroups within Goal Teams, and across Goal Teams as appropriate. Reoccurring errors and delays in reporting acreage numbers from states	3.3 Work with other Bay Program goal teams, work groups, and partners to continuously inform work group members of latest information, science, technology, tools, policy, and funding opportunities related to tidal and non-tidal wetland restoration.	Each meeting has 1-2 presentations related to these topics.	Improves understanding within WG and encourages sharing of information within organizations and among partners.	
			5.1 Hold a panel discussion at Marsh Resilience Summit to present this action plan & identify partners and paths forward			
			4.1 Identify areas where wetland restoration would greatly benefit water quality, other complementary living resource commitments, and habitat.	Shared conversations and joint meetings occur.	Improved information exchange across WGs and GITs.	
	CBPO is exploring options to fund a Living Resource Data Manager position that would support multiple Living Resource		1.2 Make recommendations to improve the form and process of inputting NEIEN data collection for each State, as well as confirm the accuracy of	Updated and accurate wetland data gets contributed to the watershed model. An improved process for reporting data	Addresses inaccuracies in the current data reporting process, which helps us better understand progress toward the Wetlands Outcome.	

	Outcomes within the Habitat GIT. outcomes.		information reported.	within jurisdictions is created.		
Data and reporting	Land use workgroup is seeking input on updated land use/land cover classification scheme.	Potential inaccuracies in model efficiencies result in inaccurate crediting	1.1 Encourage ongoing commitment to improving tidal and nontidal wetland mapping by working with CBP GIS and modeling teams to support best available science.	WWG engagement with mapping experts and presentations/conversations with the WG at meetings.	Improves communication and information exchange between workgroups and improves wetland mapping efforts, which contributes to more effective research and analysis related to land use.	
		Current BMP crediting in the Bay Program often causes "perverse incentives" that prioritize other BMPs (such as buffer restoration) over wetlands restoration	3.1 Develop a proposal for a STAC workshop that will address developing a systems approach toward BMP crediting.	Completed proposal is submitted.	Improved accounting processes for wetlands projects are created.	
	Ongoing research by USGS as part of their 2025 workplan.	Ongoing research is needed to better understand the factors affecting the prioritization and restoration of wetlands	3.2 Assess risks to coastal habitats by forecasting vulnerability and resiliency of coastal systems to future change.	Risk assessment reports/tools/etc. are created as a result of these studies.	Increases likelihood for wetland persistence.	
	Work with Modeling and GIS Teams to mine the Phase 3 WIPs for priority wetlands for	Ongoing research is needed to better understand the factors affecting the prioritization and restoration of wetlands	4.1 Identify areas where wetland restoration would greatly benefit water quality, other complementary living resource	Wetland restoration targeting occurs as a part of the planning process.	Maximizes co-benefits for wetland projects.	

	water quality and habitat co-benefits.	Data related to SLR and marsh migration is not available/accessible in one place, making it difficult to use.	commitments, and habitat.			
			4.2 Lead the implementation of FY20 GIT-funded project “Synthesis of Shoreline, Sea Level Rise, and Marsh Migration Data for Wetland Restoration Targeting.”	Completion of project in 2022.	Project can be used as an example of how to best use available data for wetland restoration/conservation targeting in different areas.	
			5.2 Work with CBP Communications Office to update existing co-benefit fact sheet to increase engagement and address leadership and practitioners.	Updated factsheet is completed.	Increases understanding of wetland co-benefits to decisionmakers (agencies, MB/PSC members, etc.).	
Leadership and commitment	Creation of a standing agenda item at Wetland Workgroup meetings to address legislation and policy related to wetland restoration		2.2 Identify legal or regulatory barriers to wetland creation, restoration, and enhancement and develop strategies to overcome these obstacles on an annual basis.	Presentations regarding this topic made to the workgroup on a semi-regular basis. Chairs lead a facilitated convo on barriers.	Increases knowledge of barriers to WWG members and creates opportunity for discussion of solutions.	
			1.3 Investigate a bay-wide status and trends process.			

	<p>The City of Norfolk, VA treats unpermitted wetland mowing as a violation of State wetland regulations and conducts enforcement to stop it. The courts have affirmed this approach.</p>	<p>See above under “Communication with Decision-makers”</p>	<p>5.6 Identify the occurrence of wetland mowing throughout the Bay and prepare a Wetland Workgroup position on the regulation and cessation of wetland mowing and whether documented areas of restoration would count towards CBP wetland enhancement goals.</p>	<p>Creation of factsheet.</p>	<p>Describes adverse effects of mowing and increases awareness of negative impacts which can then be used to encourage regulatory/policy changes.</p>	
--	---	---	---	-------------------------------	---	--

ACTIONS – 2020-2021

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
Management Approach 1: Improve wetland mapping, and the wetland restoration reporting and tracking process					
1.1	<p>Encourage ongoing commitment to improving tidal and nontidal wetland mapping by working with CBP GIS and modeling teams to support best available science.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide input on new LULC classifications pertaining to wetlands 2. Invite presentation from GIS Team at WWG meeting. 	<p>Wetland Workgroup CBP GIS Team CBP Modeling Team</p>	<p>Bay-wide</p>	<p>December 2021</p>
1.2	<p>Make recommendations to improve the form and process of inputting NEIEN data collection for each State, as well as confirm the accuracy of information reported.</p>	<p>Work with Living Resource Data Manager (LRDM) (assuming proposed position is funded) to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify where data, already captured on NEIEN, could be used as part of the measures of progress toward the wetland outcome. 2. Identify and implement modifications to NEIEN to maximize existing data reporting processes towards wetlands outcome. 3. Develop a training module and streamline processes that helps organizations, localities, states, and the 	<p>Wetland Workgroup Habitat GIT CBP Data Center NEIEN Contacts for each State</p>	<p>Bay-wide</p>	<p>Two years from start of LRDM position</p>

		federal government report data that could be accommodated with NEIEN to better track the associated data.			
1.3	Investigate a bay-wide status and trends process.	Conduct an overview or audit of the regulatory and non-regulatory accounting processes and natural change for wetland status and trends.	Wetland Workgroup	Bay-wide	December 2022
Management Approach 2: Develop solutions to address barriers to wetland restoration.					
2.1	Expand inventory of wetland programs that fund wetland restoration in each state to include tidal and non-agricultural wetlands, as well as any other non-traditional funding sources.	Completed list of wetland programs in each state. Use wetland factsheets as a starting point for programs to investigate for inclusion.	Wetland Workgroup	Bay-wide	December 2022
2.2	Identify legal or regulatory barriers to wetland creation and enhancement and develop strategies to overcome these obstacles on an annual basis.	Incorporate policy as a subject matter for presentations at workgroup meetings on a semi-regular basis.	Wetland Workgroup CB Commission	Bay-wide	Ongoing
2.3	Improve WetlandsWork.org by adding new success stories, implementing the marketing strategies that were developed to increase traffic of the site, and improving security and certifications to ensure site access to all.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add 2-3 new success stories to the site per year. 2. Direct users to site to increase traffic 3. DoD access to site 	Wetland Workgroup CBP Comm Office Web Team	Bay-wide	Ongoing 2021- Check in on actions 2 and 3
2.4	Support Bay Program efforts to develop targeted messaging towards coastal landowners about shoreline protection options, particularly living shorelines.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Collaborate with CBP Communications Office on their implementation of 2018 GIT-funded project "Social Marketing to Improve Shoreline Management". 2. Support the Fish Habitat Action Team's 2019 RFP to build upon social marketing study by using recommendations to create targeted messaging toward coastal landowners. Coordinate with CCRM/VIMS steering committee members to support this next phase of the project. 	Wetland Workgroup CBP Comm Office Fish Habitat Action Team		December 2021

Management Approach 3: Increase our technical understanding of the factors influencing restoration and enhancement success.

3.1	Develop a proposal for a STAC workshop that will address developing a systems approach toward BMP crediting.	Engage scientists and jurisdictions (such as PADEP) technical staff in the development of this STAC Workshop Proposal.	Wetland Workgroup Other interested GITs/WGs (Stream Health, Forest Buffers, etc.) STAC	Bay-wide	February 2021
3.2	Assess risks to coastal habitats by forecasting vulnerability and resiliency of coastal systems to future change.	1. Explain how the non-tidal to tidal fresh habitats will change over time.	USGS (Noe)		Ongoing
		2. Provide managers and stakeholders with marsh vulnerability indices and the potential for change.	USGS (Ganju)		Ongoing
		3. Identify sites for detailed modeling effort to ascertain how climate change drivers impact waterbird habitat.	USGS (Prosser and Carr)		Ongoing
		4. Update our understanding of subsidence across the Bay.	USGS (McCoy)		Ongoing
		5. Ascertain controls of marsh habitat resilience and current state in order to project future marsh extent.	USGS (Guntenspergen and Carr)		Ongoing
		6. Engage stakeholders to address science needs and inform decisions.	USGS (Carr)		Ongoing
3.3	Work with other Bay Program goal teams, work groups, and partners to continuously inform work group members of latest information, science, technology, tools, policy, and funding opportunities related to tidal and non-tidal wetland restoration.	Regular presentations at workgroup meetings from both members and partners.	Wetland Workgroup CBP partners	Bay-wide	Ongoing

Management Approach 4: Prioritize areas for wetland restoration.

4.1	Identify areas where wetland restoration would greatly benefit water quality, other complementary	1. Evaluate the availability and use of existing targeting and prioritization tools in the jurisdictions and assess need for new tools.	Wetland Workgroup VA DEQ BDAT	Bay-wide	December 2022
-----	---	---	-------------------------------------	----------	---------------

	living resource commitments, and habitat.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> If needed, explore expansion of Virginia’s Wetland Condition Assessment Tool (WetCAT) or development of similar tool to develop prioritization criteria and identify priority areas for each state. Coordinate with Black Duck and Fish Habitat Action Teams to identify wetland areas that are suitable black duck and fish habitat and would be ideal for restoration. 	FHAT		
4.2	Lead the implementation of FY20 GIT-funded project “Synthesis of Shoreline, Sea Level Rise, and Marsh Migration Data for Wetland Restoration Targeting.”	Convene steering committee and work with contractor to complete project.	Wetland Workgroup Climate Resiliency Workgroup Other CBP interested parties	Tidal areas of the Bay	2022
Management Approach 5: Expand the involvement of local stakeholders.					
5.1	Hold a panel discussion at Marsh Resilience Summit to present this action plan & identify partners and paths forward.	Improved communication plan to achieve implementation of more living shorelines.	Wetland Workgroup Funders Natural resource management agencies & organizations		2021 or 2022 Marsh Resilience Summit (dates not yet announced)
5.2	Work with CBP Communications Office to update existing co-benefit fact sheet to increase engagement and address leadership and practitioners.	Co-benefit fact sheet with updated language targeted to leadership and practitioners.	Wetland Workgroup CBP Comm Office	Bay-wide	December 2022
5.3	Identify/ create “Wetland Outreach Coordinators” in each state/ priority area that will identify key outreach opportunities, find funding for the right programs, and provide a way to address competing messages.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Advocate for each state to fund at least one outreach coordinator position. Work with TNC to support NFWF funded project “Accelerating Wetland Restoration Across the Chesapeake Bay Watershed by Strengthening Partnerships and Increasing 	Wetland Workgroup TNC Local stakeholders	Bay-wide Delmarva, PA, VA	December 2022

		<p>Landowner Engagement”, which is directly addressing this work.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Generate a list of regulatory/enforcement contacts to coordinate with the outreach person. 3. Use the social marketing recommendations from the 2015 project “Landowner Attitudes Toward Wetland Restoration” to inform outreach approaches in this project. 			
5.4	Work with the Communication Workgroup and State partners to develop state-specific factsheets that identify State plans, programs, and initiatives that encourage wetland restoration and implementation of living shorelines.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A factsheet for each state in the Watershed that identifies that wetland restoration and living shoreline implementation provide co-benefits that meet multiple state goals. 2. Expand factsheet into website or social media site with designated webmasters to design and refresh the site periodically. 	Wetland Workgroup CBP Comm Workgroup	Bay-wide	December 2021
5.5	Present the state-specific factsheets to the Principal’s Staff Committee for awareness along with a recommendation for cross-program prioritization of these actions by the Bay States as a wise use of limited resources.	Increased knowledge of wetland restoration and creation co-benefits. Greater number of projects with more partnerships.	Wetland Workgroup	Bay-wide	2022
5.6	Identify the occurrence of wetland mowing throughout the Bay and prepare a Wetland Workgroup position on the regulation and cessation of wetland mowing and whether documented areas of restoration would count towards CBP wetland enhancement goals.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prepare factsheet on wetland mowing to build awareness on the issue and state the Wetlands Workgroup/Habitat GIT’s position on wetland mowing cessation as a strategy to support wetland enhancement goals. Include information on options for native plant alternatives. 2. Present and provide the factsheet to the PSC for action by the jurisdictional partners. 	Wetland Workgroup Comm Workgroup Other CBP Partners	Bay-wide	December 2022