

**Chesapeake Bay Forestry Workgroup
Agroforestry Team Meeting
May 7-8, 2014**

William C. Forrey Training Center
King's Gap Environmental Education Center
500 Kings Gap Rd, Carlisle, PA 17015
(717) 486-5031

Wednesday, May 7, 2014

- | | | |
|------|--|----------------|
| 1:00 | Welcome to King's Gap | Facility Staff |
|
 | | |
| 1:15 | Introductions | All |
|
 | | |
| 1:30 | Agroforestry in the Chesapeake Bay Forest Restoration Strategy
USDA Forest Service Chesapeake Bay Program– Julie Mawhorter/Sally Claggett | |
|
 | | |
| 1:45 | Hearing from states about agroforestry within their jurisdictions
Maryland – Dan Rider/Hailu Sharew
Pennsylvania – Tracey Coulter
West Virginia – Herb Peddicord/Karen Sykes
Virginia – John Munsell
New England & Great Lake States – Karen Sykes
AFTA – Mike Jacobson | |
|
 | | |
| 3:15 | Case Studies of agroforestry practices in the Mid-Atlantic – Colleen Rossier | |
|
 | | |
| 4:00 | Vegetative and Riparian Buffers for Environmental Stewardship & Renewable Fuels on Poultry Farms Paul Patterson – Penn State | |
|
 | | |
| 4:30 | Perceptions & constraints of establishing silvopasture in hardwood stands
Tom Ward – USDA NRCS | |
|
 | | |
| 5:30 | Dinner | |
|
 | | |
| 6:30 | Using agroforestry & permaculture to mitigate extreme weather effects (optional)
Katrina Krause – USDA Forest Service | |

Thursday, May 8, 2014

7:30 **Breakfast**

8:00 **Introductions** All

8:10 **Update from the National Agroforestry Center and Agroforestry Working Group in the Midwest** Kate MacFarland - National Agroforestry Center

9:00 **Forest-grown Verification – A collaborative effort in PA**

Eric Burkhardt – Penn State University

Chris Firestone – PA DCNR Bureau

Adam Seitz – Pennsylvania Certified Organic

9:45 **Facilitated Exercise Questions**

1. Do we need a multi-state/multi-organizational group/team specific to Agroforestry?
 - a. Why might a group like this be needed? Why would we want to do this?
 - b. What would the overarching purpose of the group be?
 - c. Would our administrators support our participation this group effort?

If we decide we do not need a group, the ensuing discussion would be around the question: what is it that we do need? If the answer is yes, we do need a group, then we will proceed to question 2 below:

2. What is the “end in mind” for this group? What outcomes do you hope to accomplish with this group?
3. What should the primary function(s) of this group be?
4. How do we structure this group in order to get the results we want? (several examples of existing structures will be provided to begin the discussion)
5. What roles are people willing to take within the structure of the group? How should leadership roles be allocated? Will leadership positions rotate or be assigned some other way? NOTE: if we do not have enough time to discuss this question, we will need at least one person to step forward to agree to initiate the next steps for the group.
6. What are the basic next steps we need to take?

12:00 **Adjourn and Safe Travels**