

**Comparison matrix of sector (GIT3) and habitat (GIT2) workgroup BMP verification protocols**

	Agriculture	Forestry	Urban stormwater	Wastewater	Streams	Wetlands
Protocol version	TBD, following 11/29 AGWG meeting	9/4/2012	USWG Final Review Draft, 11/11/2012	9/12/2012	6/19/2012	6/19/2012
Annual		√ expanded tree cover; urban riparian forest buffers; ag forest buffers and ag tree planting; forest harvesting	√ operational BMPs (ESC, IDDE, UNM, street sweeping)	N/A		
Structural		N/A	√ Traditional BMPs (e.g., wet ponds, dry ED ponds, bioswales, etc.); Runoff Reduction (e.g., ESD and LID under new performance standards); and Restoration BMPs (retrofits, stream restoration, etc.)	√ Non-significant WWTPs; CSOs; on-site systems		
Regulated source		Unclear	√ MS4 communities; semi-regulated BMPs installed under state construction general permit	√ Non-significant WWTPs; CSOs; on-site systems		
Non-regulated source		Unclear	√ Voluntary BMPs not triggered by MS4 permit or regulation	N/A		
Presence of a verification mechanism		Unclear	√ MS4 permits, construction general permits	√ installation/O&M inspections for septic; monitoring requirements in permits and reporting into DMR for non-sigs; construction and post-construction verification and monitoring for CSOs		
Reliance on statistical sampling		√ sampling is mentioned as an option for all four BMPs	√ one suggested option for semi-regulated BMPs	X		
Reliance on site/field visit		√ suggested as an option, when possible	√ post-construction inspections for all BMPs; periodic (every 3-5 years) maintenance inspections	√		
Frequency of verification (once, annually, once-per-permit cycle, etc.)		√ varies by BMP and option	√ at least once every other permit cycle for regulated areas;	√ for septic, recommendations will be made by OWTs expert panel; regular monitoring and inspection requirements for non-sigs and CSOs		
Verification types (regulatory/inspector, 3rd party, self-certified or reported, citizen monitoring)		√ varies; for expanded tree cover, a "trusted urban forestry partner" is suggested	√ regulatory/certified inspector in MS4 areas; various options for semi-regulated and voluntary BMPs	√ inspections by government agencies or certified service providers		
Reliance on selection of verification technique appropriate to practice		√ Unclear	√ verification schedules/techniques recommended by BMP expert panels	√		
Addresses functional equivalency		X	X	X		

**Comparison matrix of sector (GIT3) and habitat (GIT2) workgroup BMP verification protocols**

Credit discounting based verification		√ For expanded tree cover and urban riparian forest buffers, levels of credit based on likelihood to attain net gain in tree cover and monitoring	√ see option 4 for semi-regulated BMPs	X		
Addresses practice lifespans		X	√ prioritize verification inspections for oldest legacy BMPs			
Equity within sector/habitat (intra-sector Principle 5)		X	X	X		
Achieved Principle 2 (scientific rigor)		X	√ generally supported throughout protocol; specific protocols to be based on expert panels	X		
Incorporated transparency (Principle 3)		X	√ generally supported throughout protocol	X		

**DRAFT; still to undergo review by workgroup chairs and coordinators as of November 20th, 2012**

Key:

√ = yes, the protocol discusses this factor (followed by narrative description or summary, if needed)

X = Either (a) could not be determined or (b) the current version of the protocol does not address this factor

N/A = This factor is not applicable to this sector or habitat