

## Issues for Discussion and Response from the CBP BMP Verification Review Panel

### December 6, 2012 Meeting

- Feedback on the possible approach for **discounting of the credit received** based on the relative confidence in the approach taken in verifying implementation of the practice
- At what scale should we be looking at in terms of losses of **riparian forest buffers**
- How should the partnership **operationally define equity in verification** expectations across source sector and across jurisdictions as embodied within principle 5
- How can the partnership better address **equity in verification within source sectors**—examples include MS4 vs. non-MS4 areas, municipality-owned stormwater facilities vs. Home Owners Association-owned stormwater facilities
- Is the partnership setting a higher bar for the **verification of stream and wetland restoration** practices compared with the other source
- How should the partnership go about **communicating verification** to those local partners and implementers—e.g., producers, municipalities, wastewater and stormwater municipal authorities, non-governmental organizations actively funding BMP implementation—who will be directly or indirectly effected by the decisions of the partnership
- How should the partnership deal with our **legacy BMPs**, particularly in terms of stormwater practices
- How should the partnership address verification of practices and technologies employed to reduce **nitrogen air emissions**