

# Climate Adaptation Outcome

Group 2

# Questions

- Adaptation
  - How to pick place-based areas to focus on?
    - Build from marsh adaptation focus areas? Align with shallow water living resource assessment? Focus on areas that has the most nontidal and tidal collaboration? Align with jurisdiction priority areas?
  - How to not lose momentum of current coastal resiliency partner engagement if we expand work to include nontidal portions of the watershed?
    - Which GIT? New GIT? Do we need separate tidal and nontidal groups? Who would be the nontidal partners?

# Language Discussion

What are we trying to achieve long-term?

Example: Achieve the identification and implementation of nature-based adaptation options with partners for at least two focus areas per jurisdiction that enhances resilience of ecosystems, communities, and economies, to changes in environmental conditions related to temperature and flooding across tidal and nontidal portions of the watershed.

Group decision: Facilitate implementation of nature based restoration projects to enhance the long term resiliency of the bay watershed from the impacts of hydrologic and temperature changes

# Thoughts on Outcome Direction - Tidal and Nontidal

How do we include both tidal and nontidal in the outcome for a more holistic watershed approach where it is manageable to make progress?

Group take away- could use language like 'bay watershed' which captures both

# SMART Outputs

What would be the specific, measurable, and timebound components that are attainable?

Group takeaway- focus on what is measurable, not an endpoint. For example, how many funding opportunities have resilience as a criteria- ex. 75% have it. Count what's happening within the Bay Program, not what is on the ground or what others are doing; other groups metrics across the bay program.