

Funding Allocations for CBIG, CBRAP, and Local Implementation Funding

Below are the dollar amounts of the funding allocations for CBIG, CBRAP, and local implementation funding for FY2015 and FY2014.

Fiscal Year 2015 Allocations

Jurisdiction	FY2015 CBIG	FY2015 CBRAP	FY2015 Local Implementation
Delaware	\$1,250,000	\$820,465	\$366,000 (CBIG)
District of Columbia	\$1,250,000	\$723,036	\$322,784 (CBIG)
Maryland	\$2,515,700	\$2,758,047	\$1,231,270 (Even split between CBIG and CBRAP)
New York	\$1,250,000	\$1,007,224	\$449,654 (CBIG)
Pennsylvania	\$2,515,700	\$2,666,819	\$1,190,544 (CBIG)
Virginia	\$2,780,909*	\$2,286,889*	\$1,139,329 (CBIG)
West Virginia	\$1,250,000	\$672,311	\$300,139 (CBIG)
Total	\$12,812,309	\$10,934,791	\$4,999,720

*NOTE: Virginia's 2015 allocation was higher than the formula because they chose to have \$265,209 of their CBRAP allocation directed to CBIG.

Fiscal Year 2014 Allocations

Jurisdiction	FY2014 CBIG	FY2014 CBRAP	FY2014 Local Implementation
Delaware	\$1,250,000	\$820,465	\$366,000 (CBIG)
District of Columbia	\$1,250,000	\$723,036	\$322,784 (CBIG)
Maryland	\$2,515,700	\$2,758,047	\$1,231,270 (Even split between CBIG and CBRAP)
New York	\$1,250,000	\$1,007,224	\$449,654 (CBIG)
Pennsylvania	\$2,515,700	\$2,666,819	\$1,190,544 (CBIG)
Virginia	\$2,687,621*	\$2,380,177*	\$1,139,329 (\$750K to CBIG, rest to CBRAP)
West Virginia	\$1,250,000	\$672,311	\$300,139 (CBIG)
Total	\$12,719,021	\$11,028,079	\$4,999,720

*NOTE: Virginia's 2014 allocation was lower than the formula because they chose to have \$171,921 of their CBRAP allocation directed to CBIG.

Summary of Jurisdictional Allocation for CBPO FY 2015 Local Funding

7 jurisdictions

\$4,999,720 in total FY2015 Local funding

The majority of funding went to the Implementation grants, with the exception of MD who also contributed funds to their CBRAP grant. The breakout is as follows:

- ✓ CBIG total: \$4,384,085
- ✓ CBRAP total: \$615,635

All jurisdictions either subawarded or contracted their funds out to various localities for projects such as, rain barrel installations, stormwater retrofits, sediment removal and stream buffer plantings, livestock exclusion practices, and providing farmers and landowners' assistance with BMP installations.

State by State Summary of how CBPO's FY 2015 Local Funding was spent

District of Columbia: \$322,784

The District Department of the Environment (DDOE) received an additional \$322,784 in FY2015 towards local funding, to which, they supplied to their Chesapeake Implementation Grant (CBIG). The funds have been subawarded to various non-profits and local jurisdictions for projects such as green roof rebates, rainbarrel installations, environmental education, and RiverSmart homes raingarden installs; with the ultimate goal to achieve measurable reductions in the sediment and nutrients, and advance environmental education for young people and adults of the District of Columbia by making connections to the protection and restoration efforts of the Chesapeake Bay and by promoting personal and corporate responsibility for environmental protection and stewardship.

Delaware: \$366,000

The Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) received an additional \$366,000 in FY2015 towards local funding, to which, they supplied to their Chesapeake Implementation Grant (CBIG). Two projects were carried out via subaward. The first project was awarded to the Sussex Conservation District for \$350,788 and will fund three projects: (1) water quality improvement project implementation coordination in Sussex County's Chesapeake Bay watershed; (2) Johnson development septic replacement; and (3) Phase II Nanticoke tax ditch stream restoration project. The second project was awarded to Kent Conservation District in the amount of \$15,212 and seeks to restore wildlife habitat with the installation of nine water control structures and 1.5 acre wetland restoration project. (See chart).

Maryland: \$1,231,270

The state of Maryland received an additional \$1,231,270 in FY2015 towards local funding, which was parsed out evenly to two different state agencies - \$615,635 went to the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE), who manages the CBRAP grant. The other \$615,635 went to the Maryland Department of Natural Resources (DNR), who manages the CBIG. Both MDE and DNR collectively subawarded all \$1,231,270 to the Chesapeake Bay Trust, who in turn, competitively awarded sub-awards to selected local governments and non-profit entities for Green Street planning, design, and construction projects; and competitively awarded contracts for services. Through this competition, the Partners (MDE, DNR & CBT) will connect local governments and non-governmental organizations to the needed and appropriate state staff or private contractors, and provide small grants, ranging from \$5,001 - \$70,000. The grants will be used for watershed planning, project design and programmatic enhancement. All projects must support implementation of local the two-year milestones developed by each jurisdiction to advance the Phase II Watershed Implementation Plan strategies.

New York: \$449,654

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) received an additional \$449,654 in FY2015 towards local funding, to which, they supplied to their Chesapeake Implementation Grant (CBIG). DEC will be doing a competitive process to award funding for projects in the Upper Susquehanna and Chemung Watersheds in order to implement BMPs, track nutrient reductions from those projects already in place, as well as provide technical assistance to support wastewater treatment process improvements.

Pennsylvania: \$1,190,544

The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) received an additional \$1,190,544 in FY2015 towards local funding, to which, they supplied to their Chesapeake Implementation Grant (CBIG). The funding went to local governments via subaward grants, with the goal of implementing urban stormwater BMPs in MS4 communities. Attachment 3 shows how PA DEP intends to parse out both their FY2014 and FY2015 local funding. DEP asks that we not share this information outside of EPA because final awards have not been made.

Virginia: \$1,139,329

The Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) received an additional \$1,139,329 in FY2015 towards local funding, to which, they supplied to their Chesapeake Implementation Grant (CBIG). Two projects were funded with this money. The first project went to farmers by way of Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCDs), in the amount of \$750,000, in order to provide technical assistance and incentive funding to farmers as a means of encouraging voluntary adoption of agricultural BMPs to achieve measurable reductions in nutrients and sediments that are critical to managing NPS pollution. All funding will be used for livestock exclusion. The second project, in the amount of \$389,329, provided funding to expand

the Virginia Conservation Assistance Program (VCAP) from its current Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) pilot areas to all Bay SWCDs. The program will provide technical assistance and cost-share for the implementation of small parcel scale urban BMPs. (See chart)

West Virginia: \$300,139

The West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) received an additional \$300,139 in FY2015 towards local funding, to which, they supplied to their Chesapeake Implementation Grant (CBIG). Four projects were funded with this money. (See chart)