



Chesapeake Bay Program
Science. Restoration. Partnership.

Chesapeake Bay Program Updates

Nick DiPasquale, Director
EPA, Chesapeake Bay Program Office

CAC/LGAC Joint Committee Meeting
May 21, 2015

CBP Program Updates

- ▶ 2014 Interim Milestone Highlights
- ▶ 2017 Midpoint Assessment Highlights
- ▶ Revisions to BMP Expert Panel Protocol
- ▶ BMP Verification Update
- ▶ High Resolution Land Cover Initiative
- ▶ FY 2015 Goal Team Funding
- ▶ Citizens and Non-Traditional Monitoring
- ▶ Independent Evaluator
- ▶ Agreement Biennial Workplans
- ▶ Shad Abundance Indicator

2017 Midpoint Assessment Highlights

- ▶ The WQGIT has developed a detailed, month-by-month schedule of decisions and briefings associated with the midpoint assessment priorities. This schedule is available here: <http://timstest.tetrattech-ffx.com/BayMidpointAssessment>
- ▶ The collection of local land use data continues to be a high priority under the midpoint assessment.
 - State and local jurisdictions will have the opportunity to review their local land use data during the June–July timeframe. A webinar will be scheduled with further details about what this review period will entail.
- ▶ Key upcoming deadlines include:
 - June 30 2015: Deadline for jurisdictions' draft BMP verification programs/plans draft historical data cleanup
 - October 2015: Data inputs (e.g. BMP panel recommendations and land uses) due to the Modeling Workgroup for Phase 6 Watershed Model calibration

Revisions to the BMP Expert Panel Protocol

- ▶ Comments have been received from LGAC, STAC, CAC, Hampton Roads Planning District Commission, and VA DEQ
- ▶ Specific issues raised include:
 - More explicit language on what constitutes a conflict of interest and disclosing conflicts of interest
 - The consideration of local practitioners as potential panel members
 - The importance of panels functioning in accordance with the NAS practice standards
- ▶ Leadership from the Management Board, the Advisory Committees, and WQGIT will meet on June 2 to discuss the comments and reach consensus on a path forward
- ▶ A revised Protocol is expected to be submitted to the WQGIT for final approval during their July 13 conference call

BMP Verification

- ▶ BMP Verification Review Panel and BMP Verification Committee working on jurisdictional evaluation form
- ▶ Jurisdictions' proposed BMP verification programs documentation due June 30, 2015
- ▶ Partnership's independent BMP Verification Review Panel meeting in late July to review the jurisdictions' proposed programs and meeting in late August with each of the jurisdictions to discuss the Panel's reviews and what is still needed from each jurisdiction to meet the Partnership's five BMP verification principles

High Resolution Land Cover

- ▶ The Partnership is funding the watershed-wide acquisition of high resolution (1 meter) land cover data for use
 - Chesapeake Conservancy is conducting this work via a cooperative agreement from the National Park Service funded through an interagency agreement with EPA
 - Data will be available for application in the Partnership's Phase 6 watershed model by May 2016, further increasing confidence in local land use data supporting work on the Midpoint Assessment
- ▶ Will have applications across many Bay Watershed agreement outcomes beyond its immediate application to water quality—BMP verification, habitat restoration and land acquisition prioritization, local governments applications...the list goes on and on!

FY 2015 Goal Team Funding

- ▶ RFP Issued April 6, 2015 *“Chesapeake Bay Program Office Fiscal Year 2015 Request for Proposals for Goal Implementation Team Project Support”*
- ▶ \$700k – \$900k per year for up to 5 years*
- ▶ Recipient will administer a program to support projects identified by CBP’s GITs through subawards
- ▶ GIT projects will support strategies to achieve *Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement* outcomes
- ▶ Proposals due May 21, 2015; September 7, 2015 estimated award

* *Contingent on availability of funds*

CBP Climate Change Coordinator

- ▶ NOAA and EPA have recently completed the interview process for the Partnership's Climate Change Coordinator position
- ▶ NOAA has offered the position to a candidate

Integration of Citizen and Non-traditional Monitoring

- ▶ EPA and the Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay finalized the new multiple year (2015–2021) cooperative agreement for *Integration of Citizen and Non-traditional Monitoring* on May 4, 2015.
- ▶ The cooperative agreement awarded to the Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay (Alliance) in partnership with the Izaak Walton League of America (League), the Alliance for Aquatic Resource Monitoring (ALLARM) at Dickinson College, and the University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science Integration and Application Network (UMCES IAN)
- ▶ The purpose is to provide technical, logistical, and outreach support for the integration of citizen-based and non-traditional monitoring networks into the Chesapeake Bay Program partnership.
- ▶ The Alliance and key partnership contacts are in the process of setting up the “kick-off” strategy session, expected to be held before the end of June, to begin work.

Other Updates

- ▶ Independent Evaluator
 - ▶ Agreement Biennial Workplans
 - ▶ Shad Abundance Indicator
-
- ▶ Public Comments on Draft Management Strategies
 - ▶ Chesapeake Bay Program Funding
 - ▶ Federal Targets Protocol

Public Comments on Draft Management Strategies

Total all Management Strategies 333

Sustainable Fisheries 31

Vital Habitats 67

Water Quality 16

Toxic Contaminants 17

Healthy Watersheds 7

Stewardship 37

Land Conservation 18

Public Access 8

Environmental Literacy 6

Climate Resiliency 108

Miscellaneous 18

Public Comments on Draft Management Strategies

30 distinct letters/sets of comments from organizations

Private Citizens and Citizen Organizations

99 letters Citizen Advocates (Sierra Club)
CBP Citizens Advisory Committee
Letter from 4 named citizen advocates
Letter from 2 students, U of MD, School Of Public Health

Utilities/Municipal Services

Hampton Roads Sanitation District (HRSD),
Maryland Association of Municipal Wastewater Agencies
Virginia Association of Municipal Wastewater Agencies, Inc.

Industry

Maryland Blue Crab Design Team (Fishing Industry)
Maryland Oysterman's Association
Maryland Waterman's Association

State/Local Government

CBP Local Government Advisory Committee
Carroll County Board of Commissioners
Carroll County Dept. of Land Use, Planning & Develop.
Clean Chesapeake Coalition
Maryland Department of Natural Resources
Maryland State Water Quality Advisory Committee
Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
Montgomery County Department of Environment

Public Comments on Draft Management Strategies

Environmental/Advocacy/Conservation/NGOs

Chesapeake Bay Foundation

Choose Clean Water Coalition

Maryland Sierra Club

National Parks Conservation Association (NPCA)

Pennsylvania Campaign for Clean Water Stormwater
Workgroup (Workgroup)

Severn Riverkeeper Program

Izaak Walton League, a national conservation organization

Anne Arundel Water Access Committee

Federal Government

US Geological Survey

US Army Corps of Engineers

US Environmental Protection Agency

Public Comments on Draft Management Strategies

Chesapeake Bay Foundation

- Need local level targets
- Need to prioritize implementation at local levels
- Need timelines for taking action
- Federal Government should lead by example
- Great job on citizen stewardship; track progress
- Prioritize research needs for SAV

Choose Clean Water Coalition

- WQ MS needs better public and local gov. involvement
- Support programs that build capacity of NGOs
- Support climate change considerations in other MSs
- Need baseline and better data for diversity strategy
- Strongly support the Environmental Literacy MSs
- Need permanent protection of forest buffers
- Need robust local technical assistance
- Toxics and Tree canopy MSs will not meet outcomes

Maryland Sierra Club

- Need to ensure sufficient funding and adequate staffing at the state and local level, and the political will

Sierra Club Form letter

- Climate MS needs clearer baselines and commitments

Virginia Assoc. of Municipal Wastewater Agencies

- Objects to ref. of biosolids as potential source of PCBs
- Objects to making one sector bear “consequences” if another source sector fails to meet their goals
- Concerned that “local targets” could imply a legal obligation
- Objects to higher standards for discharges to healthy waters

Public Comments on Draft Management Strategies

Local Government Advisory Committee

- Need to clearly describe role of local government in achieving outcomes
- Need to identify costs and benefits to local governments and how to access tools and resources
- Need to educate local governments about the Agreement and expectations—especially clarify voluntary and mandatory components.
- Need to improve communication with local officials

CBP Citizens Advisory Committee

- US Dept. of Education needs to be an official partner
- Need baseline data on current diversity and strategies
- Local Leadership MS should focus more on rivers and local waters
- Need more concrete steps on delivering the message using existing local government associations
- Climate MS need to focus on more than just coastal communities
- Need to incentivize private landowner actions
- Wetlands MS seems to be very early draft; Need jurisdiction-specific goals for restoration and enhancement
- Need better prioritization for forest buffers
- Need to focus on quality, not just quantity, of access sites

Public Comments on Draft Management Strategies

Themes

Blue Crab

- Harvest of female blue crabs should be further restricted.
- Explicitly state the role and jurisdictional rights of the strategy partners.

Oysters

- Limit harvest of wild oysters / promoting aquaculture
- Oyster Industry/Seafood industry should be involved in the restoration planning process.
- Comments from individual citizens.

Stream Health

- Support local efforts
- Need better monitoring and tools

Forest Buffers

- Overall support for strategy

Healthy Watersheds

- Need clear definition of healthy waters

Water Quality

- include more specifics in MS, e.g. targeting local participation and cross outcome collaboration
- Need more detail on Phase III WIP development and 2-year milestones

Toxics

- Need to reflect fracking, microbeads, other specific toxics
- Need PCB requirements/monitoring

Local Leadership

- Need clearer expectations, tools, resources for local leaders

Diversity

- Improve baseline and current conditions; establish numeric funding goals
- Provide organizational demographics file for all signatories, partners and NGOs

Climate Resiliency

- Need to establish clear baselines and identify how climate change will impact water quality.



Chesapeake Bay Program
Science. Restoration. Partnership.