



**Management Board Meeting**  
**May 10, 2018**  
**Actions/Decisions**

**Actions from the “Water Quality” Quarterly Progress Meeting**

**\* ACTIONS to be discussed at the July meeting are highlighted**

Request	Agreed Partner action	Due date and Responsible Party
<b>Toxics Contaminants Policy and Prevention</b>		
Support to investigate feasibility of PCB consortium	The Workgroup will bring options to help the Management Board (MB) explore the value and feasibility of a consortium (or some other body) for consideration at the July MB meeting. Leadership from a MB member would help this process. The workgroup should clearly define what is meant by “consortium,” and why it may need to be fulfilled outside of the CBP structure.	Two weeks prior to July 12 ( <b>June 28</b> ) – Toxics WG
Progress on the co-benefits between toxic contaminants nutrients, and sediment	See below (first under Toxic Contaminants Research)	See below.
Other: Fish Consumption Advisory Infographic	MB members are requested to provide feedback on which places would be best to place the Fish Consumption Advisory poster for the most impact. (For example state Departments of Health, fishing license purchase points, health clinics.) PDF of infographic will be sent to MB with the May Actions and Decisions.	At June MB meeting ( <b>June 14</b> ) – MB members

Request	Agreed Partner action	Due date and Responsible Party
<i>Toxic Contaminants Policy and Prevention Participating Partners:</i> State of Delaware, State of Maryland, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Commonwealth of Virginia, District of Columbia, State of West Virginia, State of New York, Chesapeake Bay Commission, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service		
<b>Toxic Contaminants Research</b>		
Develop actions for Co-benefits: Next steps on co-benefits for 12 outcomes the water quality cohort outcomes. ( <i>Ask modified to focus on co-benefits of today's cohort.</i> )	Outcomes from today's cohort will act as a pilot group to include each other's co-benefits in their workplans. For example, Forest Buffers will make an effort to include toxics co-benefits in their workplan and vice versa. James Davis-Martin (VA DEQ) and Scott Phillips (USGS) will coordinate with other outcome leads on this process.	90 days after June MB meeting ( <b>September 13</b> ) – James Davis-Martin, Scott Phillips, and other WQGIT outcome leads
More emphasis on contaminants in source sectors: Nutrients, sediment, and toxic contaminants	The MB directs the WQGIT to use the expertise of the sector workgroups to assist with taking the toxic workgroup's findings to collectively reduce nutrients, sediment, and toxic contaminants.	Caveat with WIP timeline. Concern was expressed to make sure this does not compete with WIP timeline. – WQGIT
Mercury: Options to see if plans to reduce air emissions are working	Workgroup will put together more information in advance of the July MB meeting, focusing on trends in the watershed. During the meeting, MB members will report if they would like more information on mercury or if this isn't a need.	Two weeks prior to July 12 MB meeting ( <b>June 28</b> ) – Toxics WG
<i>Toxic Contaminants Research Partners:</i> State of Delaware, State of Maryland, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Commonwealth of Virginia, District of Columbia, State of West Virginia, Chesapeake Bay Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Geological Survey		
<b>Riparian Forest Buffers</b>		
Elevate buffer needs through Policy/Leadership	Workgroup will come back to the June MB meeting with details on a few priority actions and paths forward. With more detail, MB could act as a champion for a full systems approach for RFB. (Ann Swanson (CBC) and Tim Schaeffer (PA DEP) outlined some suggested focus areas, for example: Sec. 319 Funds, State CREP programs, whole farm planning, and lands adjacent to MS4s.)	Two weeks prior to June 14 meeting ( <b>May 31</b> ) – Forestry WG
Focus on Improved Implementation	Need MB to support using LEAN to elevate buffer needs and possibly identify broken/missing links in the process. Carin Bisland (EPA) will draft a description of what this means.	<b>May 31</b> – Carin Bisland

Request	Agreed Partner action	Due date and Responsible Party
Align timing for Verification – with re-enrollment visits	Aligning timing for verification and re-enrollment visits is being looked at by Watershed Technical WG (WQGIT) in preparation for June MB meeting. The workgroup will send a description of results of WTWG discussion to MB 2 weeks before June MB meeting.	<p><b>May 31</b> – WTWG and/or FWG will send description of info reviewed. (Sally Claggett (USFS) will coordinate with Jeff Sweeney (EPA).</p> <p>Decision on aligning timelines will be presented at June MB meeting (by WTWG)</p>
<p><u>Forest Buffers Partners</u>: State of Delaware, State of Maryland, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Commonwealth of Virginia, District of Columbia, State of West Virginia, State of New York, Chesapeake Bay Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, National Park Service, National Resources Conservation Service, Forest Service</p>		
<b>2017 &amp; 2025 Watershed Implementation Plans</b>		
Retiring the 2017 WIP outcome and focus our efforts on 2025	The 2017 WIP outcome will be addressed in the following fashion: “Met target for P and S, got to X% of N.” The 2017 WIP outcome won’t be listed as “retired” on website, but future workplan efforts will focus on 2025. There will be no two-year workplan for the 2017 WIP outcome.	No due date - will be updated with indicators – Laura Drescher (EPA), Catherine Krikstan (UMCES)
Seeking additional financial resources for implementation from each jurisdiction, every federal partner and innovative sources	<p>At the June MB meeting, each jurisdiction will come with an answer as to whether or not they can commit to incorporating the cost of implementation into Phase III WIPs – quantify the \$ need information (VA, PA have already committed to doing this).</p> <p>CBPO will explore updating BMP cost information in CAST to help inform the development of the Phase III WIPs. At (or before) the June MB meeting, CBPO will report out on availability and timing of providing this information</p>	<p>June 14 – MB jurisdictions</p> <p>Two weeks prior to June MB meeting (<b>May 31</b>) – Rich Batiuk (EPA), Jeff Sweeney (EPA)</p>
Focusing next two year workplan on Phase III WIP development and Partnership	MB approves the workgroup focusing its next two-year workplan on Phase III WIP development and Partnership driven commitments stemming from the mid-point assessment, with addition of local planning goals and implementation.	90 days after June MB meeting ( <b>September 13</b> ) – WQGIT

Request	Agreed Partner action	Due date and Responsible Party
driven commitments stemming from the mid-point assessment		
<u>2017 &amp; 2025 WIPs Partners:</u> State of Delaware, State of Maryland, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Commonwealth of Virginia, District of Columbia, State of West Virginia, State of New York, Chesapeake Bay Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, National Resources Conservation Service		
<b>Water Quality Standards Attainment and Monitoring</b>		
Accept and promote the Citizen science and nontraditional partner MOU that support enhanced data assessments	STAR will provide draft MOU to MB <b>at least</b> two weeks before June MB meeting; <b>final decision and/or approval by MB at July meeting</b> ; on PSC fall agenda, TBD.	<b>May 31</b> – STAR
Charge STAR with further analyses for understanding comparisons of observed and expected trends in water quality in the bay and watershed.	<b>Consider available and extended capacity; WQGIT and STAR will create a path forward detailing how this can balance with other priorities. Will work with Communications WG and LGAC to reach local governments.</b>	2 weeks before July MB ( <b>June 28</b> ) – STAR and WQGIT
<u>Water Quality Standards Attainment and Monitoring Partners:</u> State of Delaware, State of Maryland, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Commonwealth of Virginia, District of Columbia, State of West Virginia, State of New York, Chesapeake Bay Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Geological Survey		

### Regular Business

- **Decision:** The action from the April 12 Management Board meeting charging GIT 6 with evaluating the Communications Workgroup's eligibility for receiving GIT funding without being sponsored by an outcome workgroup will be modified so that GIT 6 is charged with *figuring out the process* for the Communications Workgroup to be eligible for receiving GIT funding without being sponsored by an outcome workgroup.
- **Action:** The Communications Office will organize a calendar and/or a series of jurisdiction website links and contacts to consolidate information on local planning target schedules. Rachel Felver, CBP Communications Director, will bring a draft of the product to the June 14 Management Board meeting.
- **Action:** If they haven't already, Management Board members will update their jurisdictions'/federal agencies' Outcome Participation Table entries for those outcomes that have completed their SRS reviews and for which the Management Board accepted as revised.
- Note: Chesapeake Bay Awareness Week is June 2-10. The theme will focus on the many unique rivers and landscapes of the watershed coming together to form one Chesapeake. The Communications Workgroup created a shared Google drive where members can provide

or get information to share during the week. The Communications Workgroup will also compile a list of the various events going on during the week. Contact Rebecca Chillrud, Communications Staffer, ([rchillrud@chesapeakebay.net](mailto:rchillrud@chesapeakebay.net)) for more information or to share events.

- Note: The Principals' Staff Committee meeting is scheduled for Monday, July 9, 2018. Location to be determined.