

Where are the jurisdictions with regard to beginning to plan how to use the Small and Disadvantaged Communities (SDC) emerging contaminants funds? Have you started planning? Selected contaminants?

DE: Has developed outreach materials to solicit qualifying projects. PFAS centered, but open to other CECs to include feas studies, sampling, tmt system, permit/legal fees.

DE will have application by August. Open to sharing at that time.

DE has presented to small/dis community with non-transient water systems identified as impacted by pfas.[small < 10,000]

DC is coordinating with DC Water to come up with eligible project ideas for DC's allotted funds. DC is also open to a regional/source water projects.

Are there opportunities to collaborate on SDC plans to promote consistency and high-value outcomes? Questions, gaps, technical concerns, etc.?

**Prioritizing EC
list to target
across the
watershed
(e.g. PFAS)**

**QAPP
coordination -
brainstorming
(methods and
list of analytes
for PFAS)**

**How do various
jurisdictions define
disadvantaged
communities.-
compare -
commonalities/differe
nces**

**Source water
investigations
- coordination
regionally**

Thoughts on opportunities for ROAR and Restoration Research projects and continued coordination?

ROAR : Split samples from surface water for PFAS methods comparisons, 533, 537, 1633, other? (range of salinity relevant to CB?)

PFAS STAC workshop needs - TBD

CBT is open to advisory board rep and/or project update participation- let us know if interested! Could possibly host practitioner/feedback sessions for PCB and salt projects