Biennial Strategy Review System: Logic Table and Work Plan

Instructions: The following Logic Table should be used to articulate, document, and examine the reasoning behind your work toward an Outcome. Your reasoning—or logic—should be based on the Partnership's adaptive management <u>decision framework</u>. This table allows you to indicate the status of your management actions and denote which actions have or will play the biggest role in making progress.

Some Management Strategies and Work Plans will not immediately or easily fit into this analytical format. However, all GITs should complete columns one through four to bring consistency to and heighten the utility of these guiding documents. The remaining columns are recommended for those who are able to complete them. If you have any questions as you are completing this table, please contact SRS Team Coordinator Laura Free (free.laura@epa.gov).

The instructions below should be used to complete the table. An example table is available on the GIT 6 webpage under "Projects and Resources".

- 1. For the first round of strategic review (2017-2018): Use your existing Work Plan actions to complete the **Work Plan Actions** section first. Make sure to number each of the actions under a high-level Management Approach, as these numbers will provide a link between the work plan and the logic table above it. Use color to indicate the status of your actions: a green row indicates an action has been completed or is moving forward as planned; a yellow row indicates an action has encountered minor obstacles; and a red row indicates an action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier.
- 2. **Required:** In the column labeled **Factor**, list the significant factors (both positive and negative) that will or could affect your progress toward an Outcome. The most effective method to ensure logic flow is to list all your factors and then complete each row for each factor. Consult our Guide to Influencing Factors (Appendix B of the Quarterly Progress Meeting Guide on the <u>GIT 6 webpage</u> under "Projects and Resources") to ensure your list is reasonably comprehensive and has considered human and natural systems. Include any factors that were not mentioned in your original Management Strategy or Work Plan but should be addressed in any revised course of action. If an unmanageable factor significantly impacts your outcome (e.g., climate change), you might choose to list it here and describe how you are tracking (but not managing) that factor.
- 3. **Required:** In the column labeled **Current Efforts**, use keywords to describe existing programs or current efforts that other organizations are taking that happen to support your work to manage an influencing factor but would take place even without the influence or coordination of the Chesapeake Bay Program. You may also include current efforts by the Chesapeake Bay Program. Many of these current efforts may already be identified in your Management Strategy; you may choose to link the keywords used in this table to your Management Strategy document for additional context. You may also choose to include some of these efforts as actions in your work plan; if you do, please include the action's number and hyperlink.
- 4. **Required:** In the column labeled **Gap**, list any existing gap(s) left by those programs that may already be in place to address an influencing factor. These gaps should help determine the actions that should be taken by the Chesapeake Bay Program through the collective efforts of Goal Implementation Teams, Workgroups, and internal support teams like STAR, or the actions that should be taken by individual partners to support our collective work (e.g., a presentation of scientific findings by a federal agency to a Chesapeake Bay Program workgroup). These gaps may already be listed in your Management Strategy.
- 5. **Required:** In the column labeled **Actions**, list the number that corresponds to the action(s) you are taking to fill identified gaps in managing influencing factors. Include on a separate line those approaches and/or actions that may not be linked to an influencing factor. To help identify the action number, you may also include a few key words. Emphasize critical actions in **bold**.
- 6. **Optional:** In the column labeled **Metric**, describe any metric(s) or observation(s) that will be used to determine whether your management actions have achieved the intended result.
- 7. **Optional:** In the column labeled **Expected Response and Application**, briefly describe the expected effects and future application of your management actions. Include the timing and magnitude of any expected changes, whether these changes have occurred, and how these changes will influence your next steps
- 8. **Optional:** In the column labeled **Learn/Adapt**, describe what you learned from taking an action and how this lesson will impact your work plan or Management Strategy going forward.

Toxics Contaminants Research Logic Table and Work Plan

Primary Users: Goal Implementation Teams, Workgroups, and Management Board | Secondary Audience: Interested Internal or External Parties **Primary Purpose:** To assist partners in thinking through the relationships between their actions and specific factors, existing programs and gaps (either new or identified in their Management Strategies) and to help workgroups and Goal Implementation Teams prepare to present significant findings related to these actions and/or factors, existing programs and gaps to the Management Board. | Secondary Purpose: To enable those who are not familiar with a workgroup to understand and trace the logic driving its actions.

Reminder: As you complete the table below, keep in mind that removing actions, adapting actions, or adding new actions may require you to adjust the high-level Management Approaches outlined in your Management Strategy (to ensure these approaches continue to represent the collection of actions below them).

Long-term Target: Develop a research agenda and further characterize the occurrence, concentrations, sources and effects of toxic contaminants of emerging and widespread concern.

Two-year Target: Completion of performance targets related to key actions

KEY: Use	KEY: Use the following colors to indicate whether a Metric and Expected Response have been identified.						
N. Antuin	Specific metrics have not been identified						
Metric	Metrics have been identified						
Expected Response	No timeline for progress for this action has been specified						
Expected Response	Timeline has been specified						

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions (critical in bold)	Metrics	Expected Response and Application	Learn/Adapt
What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?	What current efforts are addressing this factor?	What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?	What actions are essential to achieve our outcome?	Optional: Do we have a measure of progress? How do we know if we have achieved the intended result?	Optional: What effects do we expect to see as a result of this action, when, and what is the anticipated application of these changes?	Optional: What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?
Communicating the potential impacts of consuming contaminated fish and addressing their causes. The jurisdictions have different assumptions about human exposure through fish consumption, which can limit comparability across the watershed	Tracking of impairments, which lead to fish consumption advisories based on jurisdictional reporting of PCB impairments.		Interaction between jurisdictions to ensure there is consistent efforts to reduce contaminants, which contribute to fish consumption advisories.			
Multiple factors affecting health and mortality of fish and wildlife. There are multiple contaminants and additional factors are causing the degradation (and mortality) of fish so trying to identify specific causes is extremely difficult.	Studies addressing the multiple causes of factors affecting fish and shellfish, including EDCs and fish health; surveys of toxic contaminants and oysters. Limited information on wildlife;	Evolving towards a more geographic approach to focus in areas where fish health issues are most prevalent. Greater emphasis on linkage between factors affecting fish habitat and health, including toxic contaminants	More integrated studies to address the topic. Increase collaboration with academic institutions conducting research. Working with partners to plan potential studies to address selected topics.			
Lack of data on the occurrence and trends of toxic contaminants. There is no	Jurisdictions have monitoring programs for selected toxic	Longer-term, and comparable monitoring for selected toxic contaminants through	Better utilize jurisdictions monitoring that is used for biannual			

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions (critical in bold)	Metrics	Expected Response and Application	Learn/Adapt
What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?	What current efforts are addressing this factor?	What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?	What actions are essential to achieve our outcome?	Optional: Do we have a measure of progress? How do we know if we have achieved the intended result?	Optional: What effects do we expect to see as a result of this action, when, and what is the anticipated application of these changes?	Optional: What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?
watershed-wide monitoring program on the condition of fish and wildlife that is integrated with water and sediment sampling.	contaminants, but mostly not adequate for trends.	design of an integrated monitoring network. Data synthesis to improve current understanding of sources, status and trends of toxic contaminants, and their relation to nutrients and sediment.	integrated reports; Design an integrated monitoring network to improve long-term information			
Limited information of the practices to mitigate contaminants, and their potential co-benefits with nutrients and sediment reductions	Some academic partners looking at contaminant mitigation from selected BMPs. Fact sheets on potential cobenefits between toxic contaminants, nutrients, and sediment. Developed reports on ag and urban contaminants.	Increased interaction with WQ GIT to develop and promote joint approaches to reduce toxic contaminants, nutrients, and sediment.	Focused source- sector approach with emphasis on agricultural and urban settings; Generate more information on potential co-benefits and explore use of CBP decision tools (such as CAST).			
Resource constraints. The constraints include (1) minimal capacity within	Coordination of efforts between members of the	Expand capacity through increased coordination with ongoing academic research, state, and	Invite more partners to the TCW. Have more focused interaction between			

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions (critical in bold)	Metrics	Expected Response and Application	Learn/Adapt
What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?	What current efforts are addressing this factor?	What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?	What actions are essential to achieve our outcome?	Optional: Do we have a measure of progress? How do we know if we have achieved the intended result?	Optional: What effects do we expect to see as a result of this action, when, and what is the anticipated application of these changes?	Optional: What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?
the CBP to address contaminants; (2) an emphasis on nutrients and sediment that limits the opportunity for increased CBP focus on toxic contaminants; and (3) minimal funding opportunities to conduct additional studies.	Toxic Contaminant WG.	federal efforts. Increase emphasis on toxic contaminants within CBP monitoring and modeling teams. More focus on cobenefits.	researchers and stakeholders such as through workshops (such as STAC, ChesRMS) and GIT WGs.			
Synthesis. This is a new factor which recognizes the findings from technical articles and reports need to be summarized and communicated to be used effectively by resource managers	Very little current effort	Summarize existing information and provide implications for better management of contaminants	USGS is considering more resources towards synthesis. TCW needs to consider other possibilities (such GIT funding, etc.).			

		WORK PLAN ACTION	IS						
	Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier								
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline				
Manage	Wanagement Approach 1: Supply information to make fish and shellfish safe for human consumption								
	Generate further information on mercury, focused on determining whether further Chesapeake Strategies are	Summarize existing impairments in the watershed through the creation of a story map for mercury. Jurisdictions supply information and the CBP GIS team and Monitoring team integrate into a story map. Inventory available mercury monitoring data (water, sediment, fish tissue) to inform status or trends. Have CBP Monitoring team lead the inventory and assessment of data. Communicate information from ongoing study of mercury and fish consumption advisories in the watershed.	TCW; MDE, PA DEP, VA DEP, DOEE, WV DEP, DNREC. CBP GIS team and monitoring team. TCW; MDE, PA DEP, VA DEP, DOEE, WV DEP, DNREC. CBP monitoring team. USGS and partner states		2018-19 2018-19 2019-2020				
needed to supplement national efforts to reduce its	national efforts to reduce its impact on fish and associated	Conduct sampling of mercury in young of the year fish. Results will eventually be used to assess trends. Reported annually.	MDE and MD DNR		Ongoing; annual sampling and reporting				
		Review and obtain information documented during the establishment of Maryland's proposed Mercury TMDL. Additional fish tissue collections are planned in 2018 to determine if the remaining waters listed for mercury are impaired. Hg TMDL development will be delayed in Maryland until listing reassessment is completed.	MDE		MDE information will not be available until early 2019.				

		Red - action has not been taken or has encountered	d a serious barrier		
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
1.2	Inventory any ongoing progress of regional PCB models within the Chesapeake Bay.	Stay informed on progress of models in James River, Anacostia, upper Potomac, any others as they may inform adaptive management decisions/areas of focus for others in the watershed. Assess if information could be used to inform co-benefits and CBP tools (such as CAST), (see Management Approach 4).	TCW partners constructing models and USGS.		2018-2020
1.3	Science to support PCB Policy and Prevention (Please see the Toxic Contaminants Policy and Prevention Strategy and Workplan for further details).	Inprove understanding of sources and fate of PCBs in the environment to inform mitigation options [includes summarizing best practices for PCB track down studies, informing stakeholders of results of atmospheric deposition studies, WWTP biosolids and effluent loads as well as reporting of other potential sources such as demolition/construction, dredged sediments], -Status and change in environment through the more prevalent use of the 1668 congener based analytical method, communicate lessons learned from innovative monitoring approaches, and gather data from TMDL implementation plan progress to assess changes over time, and -BMP effectiveness for removal of PCBs, including co-benefits from nutrient and sediment BMPs and explore use in CBP decision tools (such as CAST). (Please see the Toxic Contaminants Policy and Prevention Strategy and Workplan for further details).	(See Toxic Contaminants Policy and Prevention Workplan)		(See Toxic Contaminants Policy and Prevention Workplan)

		WORK PLAN ACTION	IS					
	Green - action has be		v - action has encountere	d minor obstac	les			
A - 1.*	Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier							
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline			
Manage	ment Approach 2: Understanding	the influence of contaminants in degrading the health,	and contributing to mort	ality, of fish and	d wildlife			
2.1	Assess the effects of contaminants on fish and shell fish in tidal waters	Inform presence of select UV filters, hormones, and antibiotics in eastern oysters and hooked mussels in urban streams and the Chesapeake Bay mainstem.	UMBC, USDA FS		2018-2020			
		Inform presence of select CECs in bivalves in the estuarine waters investigated as part of NOAA Oxford study.	NOAA Oxford		2018-2020			
		Communicate results of Bullhead catfish tumor study, which showed a dramatic decrease in the tumor prevalence in the Anacostia River.	FWS		2019			
		Continue study and evaluate findings from condition of Yellow Perch in urban areas. Specifically, FWS and UMD conducted yellow perch sampling in Fall 2017-Winter 2018 in the Severn, Choptank, and Mattawoman. The sampling will be repeated in Fall 2018-Winter 2019. The goal is to determine whether the findings of abnormal yolk and abnormal chorion about ten years ago in the Severn are still apparent. FWS will update those findings with new data, with additional molecular analysis, analyzing lesions and movement over time.	FWS, MD DNR, USGS		2018-2020			
2.2	Generate information to document fish health conditions in the Bay watershed.	Report and communicate results of study to understand the influence of contaminants and other factors degrading the health, and contributing to mortality of fish. The final publication on the retrospective analysis of the relationships between	USGS		2019			

	Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier						
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline		
		fish health, estrogenicity and land-use will be					
		completed. Final outcomes will be communicated to the TCW.					
		Report and communicate results of study examining the influence of endocrine-disrupting compounds (EDCs) and their effects on fish conditions. The data collected at the integrator sites (2013-2017) is being compiled, analyzed and published as a series of journal articles. The first is compiling long term, integrative indicators at the South Branch Potomac site, which could be a template for subsequent information. This information will be summarized with other data collected by the USGS into a series	USGS		2019		
		of synthesis powerpoint presentations.					
		Continue monitoring of and communicating results of fish conditions in areas of concern within jurisdictions. Specifically, USGS is working with PA, MD and WV. One summary paper on disease issues and potential immunosuppression was published in 2018. In addition, WV and PA are collaborating with USGS to assess the immune response of wild smallmouth bass.	PA DEP, MD DNR, WV DEP		2018-2020		
		Communicate results of risk assessment study of EDCs compounds with occurrence of intersex and other fish health conditions. Young of the year fish data and model results from PA are being used in	USGS		2019		

WORK PLAN ACTIONS Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier Geographic **Expected Timeline** Action Responsible Party (or Description Performance Target(s) Parties) Location # this investigation, as well as long-term historical trend data. Continue studies and evaluate the relationship MD DNR 2018-2020 between the amount of impervious surface and the impact on fish conditions. During 2014 – 2018 the MBSS is re-sampling streams that were sampled 20 and 14 years ago. The data will be used to examine for potential change over time in stream biological, physical habitat, and chemical conditions. Continue stream IBI studies as part of the Maryland MD DNR 2019 biological stream survey to evaluate health of fish communities in 2019-2020. Assess the effects of toxic Publish results of study examining EDCs found in 2.3 USGS 2019 contaminants on wildlife wildlife within the Chesapeake watershed. Interact with state federal wildlife service agencies TCW; DE, MD, PA, VA, 2019-2020 to assess priority needs related to contaminant WVA, working with effects on wildlife Habitat Goal Team. Management Approach 3: Document the occurrence, concentrations, and sources of contaminants in different landscape settings 3.1 Better define the sources and Communicate results of study to identify the sources USGS 2019 occurrence of EDCs and other and occurrence of toxic contaminants contributing to degraded fish health. Chemistry data collected at toxic contaminant groups in different landscape settings the integrator site is being compiled into a data

release. These data will be summarized is at least

	Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier						
Action	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or	Geographic	Expected Timeline		
#	•		Parties)	Location			
		one journal article and will be added to the synthesis					
		efforts.					
		Communicate results of study of sources and	USGS		2018-2020		
		occurrence of EDCs in agricultural watersheds (same					
		locations as USGS fish health studies). Initiate					
		planning for study of urban watersheds, focusing on					
		impact of BMPs on EDCs in the environment.					
		Communicate GIS analysis to identify toxic	USGS		2019		
		contaminant "hotspots" based on land use.					
		Vulnerability metrics are being detailed and will be					
		communicated in the synthesis reporting.					
		Continue Pennsylvania studies on occurrence of	PA DEP		2018-2020		
		pesticides and hormones and other toxic	USGS				
		contaminants in surface water.					
		Inform presence of select CECs in sediment, water,	NOAA Oxford		2018-2020		
		and bivalves.					
		Inform presence of select UV filters, hormones, and	UMBC, USDA FS		2018-2020		
		antibiotics in eastern oysters and hooked mussels in					
		urban streams and the Chesapeake Bay mainstem					
		near both agricultural and urban landscapes.					
		Inventory jurisdiction toxic contaminant monitoring	TCW and states, DOEE		2018-2020		
		efforts by individual groups					
		Evaluate outcomes from Anacostia River sediment	TCW, DOEE, USGS,		2018-2020		
		investigation to improve understanding of	UMBC, FWS				
		contaminants other than PCBs in urban					
		environments.					

		WORK PLAN ACTION	IS		
	Green - action has bee	en completed or is moving forward as planned Yellov Red - action has not been taken or has encountered	v - action has encountere	d minor obstac	les
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
3.2	Examine the co-occurrence of toxic contaminants with nutrients and sediments to inform co-benefit analysis (see MA 4)	Inventory co-located data, spatially analyze to evaluate possible contaminant associations and source, and use results to inform co-benefit understanding (also see MA4)	TCW; USGS, MDE, VDEQ, DOEE, DNREC, PA		2018-2020
3.3	Loading rates of toxic contaminants for use in CBP models	Interact with CBP Modeling group to assess data needs required to inform loading rates of toxic contaminants in various landscape settings	TCW		2018-2020
	<u> </u>	prioritize options for mitigation to inform policy and			
4.1	Summarize further information about direct and co-benefits for mitigation of toxic contaminants, and nutrient	Inventory case studies where innovative remediation of sediments/water have occurred in the watershed and evaluate how they could be adapted or implemented for TMDL compliance.	USGS, working with academic and state partners		2018-2020
	and sediment reductions. (also see Science portion of the Policy and Prevention Strategy	Investigate the impact of Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs) on PCB loadings to waterways.	MDE funded study.		2018-2020
	and Work Plan)	Further evaluate findings from the CSN literature review on the potential toxic contaminant reductions provided by traditional stormwater BMPs and Ag BMPs. Decide on most appropriate findings for additional outreach from these and CBP fact sheets.	TCW partners, USGS		2018-2020
4.2	Monitor/survey efficiency of BMPs to remove toxic	Bioretention efficacy and optimization for removal of toxic contaminants	UMCP		2018-2020
	contaminants (mostly PCBs) (also see Science portion of the	Design/testing of enhanced media in stormwater control structures for degradation of toxic contaminants	UMCP		2018-2020

WORK PLAN ACTIONS Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier **Expected Timeline** Geographic Action Responsible Party (or Description Performance Target(s) Parties) # Location Riparian forest buffer removal of toxic contaminants Policy and Prevention Strategy PSU 2018-2020 and Work Plan) Explore use of CBP decision Prepare a matrix of information needed for inclusion 4.3 **EPA** and TCW 2018-19 of selected contaminants (begin with PCBs) in CAST, tools to include selected and assess availability of information. contaminants 4.4 Interact with source teams to Communicate with agricultural, stormwater, and TCW chairs with 2018-2020 selected investigators. wastewater source teams to identify synergies with communicate and apply findings on the co-benefits for nutrient/sediment and toxic contaminant mitigation mitigation of nutrients, options sediment, and toxic contaminants CBC will, in turn, pursue action within our member The Chesapeake Bay 4.5 CBC 2018-2020 Commission will work state General Assemblies and the United States collaboratively with the Bay Congress. See CBC Resolution #14-1 for additional Program partners to identify information on the CBC's participation in the legislative, budgetary and management strategies. policy needs to advance the goals of the Chesapeake Watershed Agreement. Management Approach 5: Gather information on issues of emerging concern. Continue to investigate STAC, TCW 5.1 2018-2020 Attend, summarize microplastics workshop previously identified issues of USGS, TCW Track progress USGS NE region microplastics study 2018-2020 emerging concern including and identify relevance to CB. contaminant toxicity to pollinators, microplastics and

UOG.

	Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier							
Action	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or	Geographic	Expected Timeline			
#			Parties)	Location				
5.2	Prioritize new issues of	Track research progress by USGS and NOAA on the	USGS, NOAA, TCW		2018-2020			
	emerging concern and identify	toxins that are produced from algal blooms						
	tasks to complete							
		Aggregate and analyze recent regulations and	UMBC, TCW		2018-2020			
		management approaches related to UV filters,						
		hormones, and antibiotics in other states to help						
		outline possible strategies for CB						
		Determine the nature and extent PFAS data in CB	USGS, TCW		2018-2020			
		watershed, track progress fish consumption						
		advisories neighboring watersheds (DRB) to help						
		outline possible strategies for CB						
		Provide technology Transfer from MD, VA, and	MDE, TCW		2018-2020			
		DC to other jurisdictions on successful efforts to						
		reduce chloride impacts due to road salt application						
		while maintaining public safety.						
		Provide technology transfer from MD to other	MD DNR, TCW		2018-2020			
		jurisdictions on successful efforts to find beneficial						
		reuse of fly ash produced from coal combustion and						
		minimize disposal in CCR facilities.						