Crop Nutrient Application Scenarios

Chris Brosch, DE Nutrient Management Commission

8.17.23

Today's discussions:

- AMT Tested CAST scenarios:
 - Making all manure eligible crops on manure eligible land uses 100% eligible for manure applications and remove the timing component to create a single annual average application
- Delmarva change for CAST23
 - Turned on manure eligibility for crops that had low limits (% of total need)
 - Made timing of those applications in DE match MD ES. (perhaps no effect)

Yield goal to establish nutrient need

Step 1.	Calculate the acres of crop
Step 2.	 Separate acres into nutrient management (NM) acres and non- nutrient management acres
Step 3.	• Determine the yield for each crop
Step 4.	 Calculate the mass of nutrients required to produce the yields
Step 5.	 Determine timing and land use application eligibility
Step 6.	 Distribute biosolids then manure then inorganic fertilizer to meet crop need

Yield data sources

NASS surveys

Annual data

Acres and yields

Census of Agriculture Every five years

Acres and yields

Scenario builder max yields No yield data

90 crops

Max yields from literature values





Trade-offs: The Water Bed Effect



Optimizations are not always free. Making changes to increase one thing may have negative trade-offs in other areas.



Nutrient eligibility

- All can get nutrient application
- 11 can get manure application

Manure eligibility

- States supply these eligibility requirements
 - Even if a land use can accept manure that does not mean that it will.
 - Even if a crop is on a land use that can accept manure does not mean it does
 - Even a crop that can accept manure does not mean that 100% of it's need is manure eligible
- "disposal" manure is applied beyond the need in ~10 counties with over abundance.
- https://cast.chesapeakebay.net/Home/SourceDa ta



Schesapeake Assessment Scena	ario Tool		the second	-) LOG IN		
HOME NEWS PUBLIC REPORTS LEARNING ABOUT CO	DNTACT US	Alles Associate	Search Cast	۹		
 BMP Definitions Efficiency BMPs Load Source Conversion BMPs Load Reduction BMPs 	 BMP Units BMP Load Source Group Load Source Group Components BMP Animal Group 	 Geographic References Geographic Scale and Names Agencies Delivery Factors 				
Download data tables including the tables listed below. Note that actual cr	Download Source Data	nutrient management, and monthly timing, and type and amount of nutrients ava	ilable. The tables provide the factors us	ed to		
determine the nutrient application amounts that may be applied depending Double cropped crops Crop and load source relationship	g on the specific conditions in any scenario.					
Plant and harvest datesDeveloped land (turfgrass) nutrient pounds per yield		AutoSave 💽 🛱 🏷 × 🖓 × 코 Detaile	d-SourceData-Crop (2) - Excel	𝒫 Search		
Agricultural crop nutrient pounds per yieldCrop nutrient application by month		File Home Insert Page Layout Formu	ilas Data Review View	/ Help Acrobat	Power Pivot	
Crop nutrient uptake, removal, and nitrogen fixation Crop cover factor Crop cover faction		Arial 8 A^ A	≡ <u> </u>	ext General	~] 🕎 [
Sediment tons available to erode due to plowing, by crop type		$\begin{array}{c c} Paste & L \\ P \\ v \\ v \\ v \end{array} \qquad $	≡ ≡ ≡ € ∓ 🖽 Merge	& Center ~ \$ ~ %	• •	onal Formatas ng ~ Table ~ St
	Detailed Crop and Turfgrass Sou	Clipboard IS Font IS	Alignment	rs Num	ber ы	Styles
		$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	G H I I	I K I	MN	0 P
Download data tables including the following tables:		1 FIPS CountyNa StateAbbr CropNam LoadSour Nutri 2 42043 Dauphin PA turforass Non-Regult Phose	ent DaysAfter FractionA Fertilizer(BiosolidEligible		
		3 (51163 Rockbridge VA collards Specialty C Phose 4 (51079 Greene VA potted flow Specialty C Nitrog	ohoru 0 1.000000 Y	N N		
		5 51057 Essex VA cut flowers Specialty C Nitrog	en 30 0.500000 N	N .		
		6 754065 Morgan WV cropland u(Pasture Nitrog 7 724003 Anne Arun MD wheat for (Small Grain Nitrog	en -30 0.500000 N	Y Y		
		8 42043 Dauphin PA mustard gr Specialty C Phose	ohoru -20 1.000000 Y	N		
		9 51097 King And C VA aquatic pla Specialty C Nitrog	en 240 0.083333 N	N		
		10 36053 Madison NY parsiey Specialty Chitrog 11 51045 Craio VA sorohum fc Grain with Nitrog	en 0 1.000000 Y	N		
		12 24047 Worcester MD carrots Specialty C Phose	ohoru:0 1.000000 Y	N		
		13 36007 Broome NY bulbs; corn Specialty C Phose	ohoru 0 1.000000 Y	N		
		14 42113 Sullivan PA Cropland in Other Agro Nitrog 15 51710 Norfolk City VA mushroom Specialty C Nitrog	en 300 0.083333 N	N		
		16 24021 Frederick MD broccoli Specialty C Nitrog	en 30 0.250000 Y	N		
		17 42087 Mifflin PA small grain Other Hay Phose	ohoru 210 0.500000 N	Y		
		18 (51091 Highland VA sorghum to Double Cro Nitrog	en 10 0.750000 N	Y Y		
		20 51540 Charlottesy VA aquatic pla Specialty C Nitrog	en 10 0.083333 Y	N		
		21 51087 Henrico VA mustard gr Specialty C Nitrog	en 0 1.000000 Y	N		
		22 24031 Montgomer MD broccoli Specialty C Phose 22 42025 Clinton DA wild have As Open S Phose	ohoru -20 1.000000 Y	N		
		24 51790 Staunton C VA corn for sil Silace with Phose	ohoru -30 1.000000 Y	N		
		25 54031 Hardy WV tobacco Other Agro Nitrog	en -5 0.400000 Y	N		
		26 51045 Craig VA herbs - fre Specialty C Nitrog	en 30 0.500000 N	N		
		2/ [36051 Livingston NY rye for gra Small Grain Phose 28 54077 Preston WV turforass MS4 Tree (Phose	onoru -20 1.000000 N ohoru 105 0.500000 V	Y		
		29 51193 Westmorek VA carrots Specialty C Phose	ohoru ¹⁰ 1.000000 Y	N		
		30 51135 Nottoway VA beets Speciality C Nitrog	en 0 1.00000 Y	N		
		31 42035 Clinton PA snap bean Specialty C Nitrog	en 0 1.00000 Y	N		
		CropNutrientLbsPerYieldUnitAg	CropNutrientLbsPerYieldUnitUrb	CropNutrientApplica	itionTiming ((÷ : •

Ready 🛛 🛠 Accessibility: Investigate



















Decision tree happens at each "timing"



Test in AMT eliminated all manure control

- Sequences on crops were eliminated
- Manure eligibility was set to "yes," but control fractions remained.

N lbs/acre in Lancaster, PA

Grain with Manure Ibs/acre



Full Season Soybeans lbs/acre











1.5

2012

- 11

2013

11

2014

- 11

2015

1.

2016

. .

2015

2016

BaseYear

- 11

2014

10 -

0 -

2012

11

2013

Manure

Eligibility change on Delmarva

Timing change in DE to match MD ES Counties

What did we do?

Ran two versions of CAST

One with the existing timing and eligibility

One with UPDATED timing and eligibility

Calculated the difference between these two versions

(Updated version – Existing version)

What is in the versions??

Ran two versions of CAST:

Existing Version

 An updated BMP history, including the most up to date BMP history there is so any other version will have a less recent BMP history. This a C21 based on a BMP history pulled from NEIEN after the 2022 progress submissions were completed.

2) Updated fertilizer to account for any omitted data. This is the fertilizer issue identified by the PSC where a portion of ag fertilizer was omitted in CAST 19.

3) This uses the corrected AAPFCO dataset from 1985-2016 + the state submitted data from 2017-2020 with option A used for non-submitted states

Option A is the use of state data with nonreporting states information being filled with a percentage difference based on the data from reporting states.

NOTE* Difference between versions is in the red box

What is in the versions??

Ran two versions of CAST:

Updated Version

1) An updated BMP history, including the most up to date BMP history there is so any other version will have a less recent BMP history. This a C21 based on a BMP history pulled from NEIEN after the 2022 progress submissions were completed.

2) Updated fertilizer to account for any omitted data. This is the fertilizer issue identified by the PSC where a portion of ag fertilizer was omitted in CAST 19. 3) This uses the corrected AAPFCO dataset from 1985-2016 + the state submitted data from 2017-2020 with option A used for non-submitted states

4) The timing and eligibility data which was updated based on DE and MD's recent submission.

Option A is the use of state data with nonreporting states information being filled with a percentage difference based on the data from reporting states. What is in the versions??

NOTE* Difference between versions is in the red box

Ran two versions of CAST:

Existing Version

Updated Version

1) An updated BMP history, including the most up to date BMP history there is so any other version will have a less recent BMP history. This a C21 based on a BMP history pulled from NEIEN after the 2022 progress submissions were completed.

2) Updated fertilizer to account for any omitted data. This is the fertilizer issue identified by the PSC where a portion of ag fertilizer was omitted in CAST 19. 3) This uses the corrected AAPFCO dataset from 1985-2016 + the state submitted data from 2017-2020 with option A used for nonsubmitted states 1) An updated BMP history, including the most up to date BMP history there is so any other version will have a less recent BMP history. This a C21 based on a BMP history pulled from NEIEN after the 2022 progress submissions were completed.

2) Updated fertilizer to account for any omitted data. This is the fertilizer issue identified by the PSC where a portion of ag fertilizer was omitted in CAST 19. 3) This uses the corrected AAPFCO dataset from 1985-2016 + the state submitted data from 2017-2020 with option A used for nonsubmitted states

4) The timing and eligibility data which was updated based on DE and MD's recent submission.

Option A is the use of state data with non-reporting states information being filled with a percentage difference based on the data from reporting states. Option A is the use of state data with non-reporting states information being filled with a percentage difference based on the data from reporting states.

Changes in Corn

FIPS	CountyName	StateAbbreviation	CropName	LoadSource	Nutrient	DaysAfterPlanting	FractionApplied	FertilizerOnly	BiosolidEligible	NEW FertilizerOnly	NEW_BiosolidEligible
10001	Kent	DE	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	-20	0.25	N	Υ		
10001	Kent	DE	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	45	0.75	Υ	N		
42071	Lancaster	PA	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	-15	0.8	N	Y		
42071	Lancaster	PA	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	0	0.1	Y	N		
42071	Lancaster	PA	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	45	0.1	Y	N		
51029	Buckingham	VA	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	0	0.75	N	Y		
51029	Buckingham	VA	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	60	0.25	Y	N		
10001	Kent	DE	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	-5	0.2	Ν	Y	N	N
10001	Kent	DE	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	0	0.2	Y	Ν	N	Ν
10001	Kent	DE	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	45	0.6	Y	N	Ν	Ν

Changes for FS soy & hay

FIPS	CountyName	StateAbbreviation	CropName	LoadSource	Nutrient	DaysAfterPlanting	FractionApplied	FertilizerOnly	BiosolidEligible
10001	Kent	DE	soybeans for beans	Full Season Soybeans	Nitrogen	0	1	N	Υ
42071	Lancaster	PA	soybeans for beans	Full Season Soybeans	Nitrogen	-20	1	N	Υ
51029	Buckingham	VA	soybeans for beans	Full Season Soybeans	Nitrogen	-20	1	Ν	Υ

FIPS	CountyName	StateAbbreviation	CropName	LoadSource	Nutrient	DaysAfterPlanting	FractionApplied	FertilizerOnly	BiosolidEligible
10001	Kent	DE	other managed hay	Other Hay	Nitrogen	58	0.5	N	Υ
10001	Kent	DE	other managed hay	Other Hay	Nitrogen	210	0.5	N	Υ
42071	Lancaster	PA	other managed hay	Other Hay	Nitrogen	58	0.5	N	Υ
42071	Lancaster	PA	other managed hay	Other Hay	Nitrogen	210	0.5	N	Υ
51029	Buckingham	VA	other managed hay	Other Hay	Nitrogen	-20	1	N	Y

N EOT Load difference in lbs



Absolute change in load

State	Phos (EOT) change	Nit (EOT) change
Delaware (CBWS Portion Only)	(1,659)	(116,284)
Maryland (CBWS Portion Only)	(10,270)	(265,620)
New York (CBWS Portion Only)	(91)	15,193
Pennsylvania (CBWS Portion Only)	1,456	97,590
Virginia (CBWS Portion Only)	5,284	24,062
West Virginia (CBWS Portion Only)	583	2,261

P lbs/acre in Lancaster, PA

Grain with Manure Ibs/acre



Full Season Soybeans lbs/acre





















P EOT Loads difference in lbs

