# Crop Nutrient Application Scenarios

Chris Brosch, DE Nutrient Management Commission 8.17.23

## Today's discussions:

- AMT Tested CAST scenarios:
  - Making all manure eligible crops on manure eligible land uses 100% eligible for manure applications and remove the timing component to create a single annual average application
- Delmarva change for CAST23
  - Turned on manure eligibility for crops that had low limits (% of total need)
  - Made timing of those applications in DE match MD ES. (perhaps no effect)

### Yield goal to establish nutrient need

Step 1.

• Calculate the acres of crop

Step 2.

 Separate acres into nutrient management (NM) acres and nonnutrient management acres

Step 3.

• Determine the yield for each crop

Step 4.

• Calculate the mass of nutrients required to produce the yields

Step 5.

Determine timing and land use application eligibility

Step 6.


• Distribute biosolids then manure then inorganic fertilizer to meet crop need

## Yield data sources

NASS surveys	Annual data		
	Acres and yields		
Census of	Every five years		
Agriculture	Acres and yields		
Scenario builder	No yield data		
	90 crops		
max yields	Max yields from literature values		

## Manure processing in **CAST**

Pasture



# Manure processing in CAST


**Direct Deposition on** 

Pasture


Volatilization

Applied to pasture

land use




### Trade-offs: The Water Bed Effect



Optimizations are not always free. Making changes to increase one thing may have negative trade-offs in other areas.

## Manure processing in CAST



Manure source or category

BMPs impacting manure calculations

Key

Losses of Manure
Nutrients

Application of Manure Nutrients


## Nutrient eligibility

- All can get nutrient application
- 11 can get manure application

### Land Uses eligible to receive nutrient application **Double Cropped Land Full Season Soybeans** Grain with Manure Grain without Manure **Leguminous Hay** Other Agronomic Crops Other Hay **Pasture** Silage with Manure Silage without Manure **Small Grains and Grains Specialty Crop High Specialty Crop Low**

### Manure eligibility

- States supply these eligibility requirements
  - Even if a land use can accept manure that does not mean that it will.
  - Even if a crop is on a land use that can accept manure does not mean it does
  - Even a crop that can accept manure does not mean that 100% of it's need is manure eligible
- "disposal" manure is applied beyond the need in ~10 counties with over abundance.
- https://cast.chesapeakebay.net/Home/SourceDa ta



NEWS PUBLIC REPORTS LEARNING ABOUT CONTACTUS

Q

- Efficiency BMPs
- Liliciency Divirs
- · Load Source Conversion BMPs
- Load Reduction BMPs

- BMP Units
  - . BMP Load Source Group
  - · Load Source Group Components
  - BMP Animal Group

- Geographic References
- · Geographic Scale and Names
- Agencies

Delivery Factors


Download Source Data

Download data tables including the tables listed below. Note that actual crop nutrient applications vary depending on acres of the crop, application yield goal, nutrient management, and monthly timing, and type and amount of nutrients available. The tables provide the factors used to determine the nutrient application amounts that may be applied depending on the specific conditions in any scenario.


- · Double cropped crops
- · Crop and load source relationship
- Plant and harvest dates
- · Developed land (turfgrass) nutrient pounds per yield
- · Agricultural crop nutrient pounds per yield
- · Crop nutrient application by month
- · Crop nutrient uptake, removal, and nitrogen fixation
- · Crop cover factor
- · Crop cover fraction
- · Sediment tons available to erode due to plowing, by crop type


**Detailed Crop and Turfgrass Source Data** 


Download data tables including the following tables:





Search Cast

























## Decision tree happens at each "timing"







-30 days before planting

Planting

60 days after planting






### Test in AMT eliminated all manure control


- Sequences on crops were eliminated
- Manure eligibility was set to "yes," but control fractions remained.

### N lbs/acre in Lancaster, PA


### Grain with Manure Ibs/acre



### Full Season Soybeans lbs/acre




### Pasture




### N lbs/acre in Kent, DE


#### Grain with Manure lbs/acre



### Full Season Soybeans lbs/acre



### Pasture



#### N lbs/acre in Buckingham, VA Grain with Manure lbs/acre NT current 250 -200 -NutrientSource 150 -200 -Biosolids Fertilizer Manure 50 -0 -2015 2016 2013 2014 2012 2013 2014 2012 2015 2016 BaseYear Full Season Soybeans lbs/acre NT current 8 -NutrientSource 6 psacre 4 -Biosolids Fertilizer Manure 2-0 -2013 2014 2015 2012 2013 2014 2016 2016 2012 2015 BaseYear Pasture NT current 30 -NutrientSource bsacre - 02 Biosolids Fertilizer 10 -Manure 0 -2014 2015 2016 2012 2013 2014 2016 2012 2013 2015 BaseYear

# Eligibility change on Delmarva

Timing change in DE to match MD ES Counties

# What did we do?

### Ran two versions of CAST

One with the existing timing and eligibility

One with UPDATED timing and eligibility



Calculated the difference between these two versions

(Updated version – Existing version)

### What is in the versions??

## Ran two versions of CAST:

# **Existing Version**

- 1) An updated BMP history, including the most up to date BMP history there is so any other version will have a less recent BMP history. This a C21 based on a BMP history pulled from NEIEN after the 2022 progress submissions were completed.
- 2) Updated fertilizer to account for any omitted data. This is the fertilizer issue identified by the PSC where a portion of ag fertilizer was omitted in CAST 19.
- 3) This uses the corrected AAPFCO dataset from 1985-2016 + the state submitted data from 2017-2020 with option A used for non-submitted states

Option A is the use of state data with nonreporting states information being filled with a percentage difference based on the data from reporting states.

### What is in the versions??

NOTE\* Difference between versions is in the red box

## Ran two versions of CAST:

# **Updated Version**

- 1) An updated BMP history, including the most up to date BMP history there is so any other version will have a less recent BMP history. This a C21 based on a BMP history pulled from NEIEN after the 2022 progress submissions were completed.
- 2) Updated fertilizer to account for any omitted data. This is the fertilizer issue identified by the PSC where a portion of ag fertilizer was omitted in CAST 19.
- 3) This uses the corrected AAPFCO dataset from 1985-2016 + the state submitted data from 2017-2020 with option A used for non-submitted states
- 4) The timing and eligibility data which was updated based on DE and MD's recent submission.

Option A is the use of state data with nonreporting states information being filled with a percentage difference based on the data from reporting states.

### What is in the versions??

NOTE\* Difference between versions is in the red box

## Ran two versions of CAST:

# **Existing Version**

# **Updated Version**

An updated BMP history, including the most up to date BMP history there is so any other version will have a less recent BMP history. This a C21 based on a BMP history pulled from NEIEN after the 2022 progress submissions were completed.

 Updated fertilizer to account for any omitted data. This is the fertilizer issue identified by the PSC where a portion of ag fertilizer was omitted in CAST 19. 3) This uses the corrected AAPFCO dataset from 1985-2016 + the state submitted data from 2017-2020 with option A used for nonsubmitted states

1) An updated BMP history, including the most up to date BMP history there is so any other version will have a less recent BMP history. This a C21 based on a BMP history pulled from NEIEN after the 2022 progress submissions were completed.

2) Updated fertilizer to account for any omitted data. This is the fertilizer issue identified by the PSC where a portion of ag fertilizer was omitted in CAST 19. 3) This uses the corrected AAPFCO dataset from 1985-2016 + the state submitted data from 2017-2020 with option A used for nonsubmitted states

 The timing and eligibility data which was updated based on DE and MD's recent submission.

Option A is the use of state data with non-reporting states information being filled with a percentage difference based on the data from reporting states.

Option A is the use of state data with non-reporting states information being filled with a percentage difference based on the data from reporting states.

# Changes in Corn


FIPS	CountyName	${\bf State Abbreviation}$	CropName	LoadSource	Nutrient	DaysAfterPlanting	${\bf Fraction Applied}$	FertilizerOnly	${\bf Biosolid Eligible}$	<b>NEW FertilizerOnly</b>	NEW_BiosolidEligible
10001	Kent	DE	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	-20	0.25	N	Υ		
10001	Kent	DE	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	45	0.75	Υ	N		
42071	Lancaster	PA	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	-15	0.8	N	Υ		
42071	Lancaster	PA	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	0	0.1	Υ	N		
42071	Lancaster	PA	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	45	0.1	Υ	N		
51029	Buckingham	VA	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	0	0.75	N	Υ		
51029	Buckingham	VA	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	60	0.25	Υ	N		
10001	Kent	DE	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	-5	0.2	N	Υ	N	N
10001	Kent	DE	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	0	0.2	Υ	N	N	N
10001	Kent	DE	corn for grain	Grain with Manure	Nitrogen	45	0.6	Υ	N	N	N

# Changes for FS soy & hay

FIPS	CountyName	StateAbbreviation	CropName	LoadSource	Nutrient	DaysAfterPlanting	FractionApplied	FertilizerOnly	BiosolidEligible
10001	Kent	DE	soybeans for beans	Full Season Soybeans	Nitrogen	0	1	N	Υ
42071	Lancaster	PA	soybeans for beans	Full Season Soybeans	Nitrogen	-20	1	N	Υ
51029	Buckingham	VA	soybeans for beans	Full Season Soybeans	Nitrogen	-20	1	N	Υ

FIPS	CountyName	${\bf State Abbreviation}$	CropName	LoadSource	Nutrient	DaysAfterPlanting	FractionApplied	FertilizerOnly	BiosolidEligible
10001	Kent	DE	other managed hay	Other Hay	Nitrogen	58	0.5	N	Υ
10001	Kent	DE	other managed hay	Other Hay	Nitrogen	210	0.5	N	Υ
42071	Lancaster	PA	other managed hay	Other Hay	Nitrogen	58	0.5	N	Υ
42071	Lancaster	PA	other managed hay	Other Hay	Nitrogen	210	0.5	N	Υ
51029	Buckingham	VA	other managed hay	Other Hay	Nitrogen	-20	1	N	Υ

### N EOT Load difference in lbs




## Absolute change in load


State	Phos (EOT) change	Nit (EOT) change
Delaware (CBWS Portion Only)	(1,659)	(116,284)
Maryland (CBWS Portion Only)	(10,270)	(265,620)
New York (CBWS Portion Only)	(91)	15,193
Pennsylvania (CBWS Portion Only)	1,456	97,590
Virginia (CBWS Portion Only)	5,284	24,062
West Virginia (CBWS Portion Only)	583	2,261

### P lbs/acre in Lancaster, PA


### Grain with Manure lbs/acre



### Full Season Soybeans lbs/acre



### Pasture



#### P lbs/acre in Kent, DE Grain with Manure lbs/acre NT current 40 -NutrientSource 30 bsacre 20 -Biosolids Fertilizer 10 -Manure 0 -2012 2014 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2013 2015 2016 BaseYear Full Season Soybeans lbs/acre NT current 15 -NutrientSource bsacre 5. Biosolids Fertilizer 5 -Manure 0 -2014 2012 2013 2016 2013 2015 2014 2012 2015 2016 BaseYear Pasture NT current 4-psacre 2-NutrientSource Fertilizer Manure 0 -2012 2013 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015 2016 BaseYear

#### P lbs/acre in Buckingham, VA Grain with Manure lbs/acre NT current 80 -NutrientSource 60 -80 -40 -Biosolids Fertilizer Manure 20 -2015 2016 2013 2012 2014 2012 2014 2013 2015 2016 BaseYear Full Season Soybeans lbs/acre NT current 12.5 -10.0 -NutrientSource Ibsacre 7.5 -Biosolids Fertilizer 5.0 -Manure 2.5 -0.0 -2013 2014 2015 2016 2012 2013 2014 2016 2012 2015 BaseYear Pasture NT current 15 -NutrientSource Dsacre 10 -Biosolids Fertilizer 5-Manure 0 -2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 BaseYear

### P EOT Loads difference in lbs

