

Consensus Review: Beyond 2025 Steering Committee Report

Sherry Witt, GDIT, B25 SC Facilitator

Consensus-building Process

Discussion

- Identify opinions and information on each section of the report
- Public feedback accessible to the Steering Committee, revised-tracked changes draft shared, and an opportunity to share significant concerns with the Co-Chairs and Response Team in advance of today's meeting.

Formation

- A formal decision proposal on the issue is presented
- <u>Proposal</u>: Can you support this section of the report for submission to the Management Board and Principal Staff Committee?

Call for Consensus

- Each voting member is asked to state their intention to agree, disagree, or modify the proposal
- Use of SC voting member roll call to announce intention on a scale of 1 to 5 that aligns to the Consensus Continuum identifying dissenting votes (1s, 2s)

If consensus is not achieved:

Identify & Address Concerns	 Dissenter(s) presents their concern(s), starting another discussion to address the concern. Dissenter(s) supply an alternative proposal(s) or a process for generating one.
Modify Proposal	 The proposal is amended to address concerns. Process returns to a call of consensus. If consensus again is not reached, or if time runs out, we will discuss options at the end of meeting.

Consensus Continuum











Stop

"I do not agree and feel the need to stand in the way of this decision"

Hold

"I believe more work is needed before we make a decision"

Stand Aside

"I trust the group and will not block this decision but need to register my disagreement"

Agreement with Reservations

"I can live with it"

Endorsement

"I like it"

Alignment with the Consensus Continuum

Consensus Voting (during September meeting)		Consensus Continuum (per Governance Document)	
0	I wish to abstain from this decision.	Abstain	I am not registering agreement or disagreement, but consciously determining to not influence the decision.
1	I do not agree and feel the need to stand in the way of releasing this part of the report to the Management Board and PSC	Stop	I do not agree and feel the need to stand in the way of this decision
2	I believe more work is needed on <u>this part of</u> <u>the report</u> before we make a decision to <u>release it to the Management Board and PSC</u>	Hold	I believe more work is needed before we make a decision
3	I trust the group and will not block the decision to release this part of the report to the Management Board and PSC but need to register my disagreement	Stand Aside	I trust the group and will not block this decision but need to register my disagreement
4	I can live with this part of the report being released to the Management Board and PSC	Agreement with Reservations	I can live with this
5	I like it and endorse this part of the report for the Management Board and PSC	Endorsement	I like it

Consensus Review Process

Consensus Review Periods (~20-25 min each)

- 1. BLUF, Recommendation #1
- 2. BLUF, Recommendation #2
- 3. All other components of the Executive Summary
- 4. Synthesis Narrative: Science
- 5. Synthesis Narrative: Restoration & Conservation
- 6. Synthesis Narrative: Partnership

Call of Consensus

- SC voting members (or alternates) register your support using a consensus continuum scale 1 to 5, with option to skip/no vote
- If we meet consensus, we move on to next review
- If we have dissent (1's or 2's), we will use remaining time to discuss concerns, offer modifications, and work through consensus again

If we cannot get to consensus

- Those that registered a [1] or [2] will raise their concerns and offer a modification which will be captured on shared screen
- If time runs out and we are still not at consensus, we will discuss options at the end of the meeting