

Implementing the Climate Directive Workplan Management Board, December 8, 2022



Chesapeake Bay Program Science. Restoration. Partnership.

The MB will decide on an option to implement the workplan

Scott Phillips, USGS Bo Williams, EPA

Photo Credit: Will Parsons

LOOKING BACK...



WORKPLAN APPROACH

2022-2024 Recommended Collective Partnership Actions

- Nine actions to address needs by CBP partnership
- Multiple CBP entities identified for each action
- "Suited" for CBP-requiring collaborative partner participation, MB direction, leverages expertise
- To be completed or initiated by 2024

<u>Current and Planned Efforts to Advance Climate Directive</u>

- Jurisdictions: Includes CBP, MD, DE, DC, NY, VA, PA (Appendix A)
- Federal Agencies: Includes EPA, DOD, NOAA, NRCS, USGS, NPS, USFWS (Appendix B)

CONSIDERATIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CLIMATE WORKPLAN

- Collective actions involve multiple portions of CBP
- Beyond the responsibility of the Climate Resiliency WG
- MB needs to decide on an option
- Three options for consideration



OPTIONS FOR MANAGING IMPLEMENTATION

All options require additional resources

Option 1: Distributed Responsibilities— have distributed responsibilities within CBP with an annual update to the Management Board.

- Coordination for collective CBP actions under MB, GIT 6, or another approach
- Jurisdictions and Federal Agencies responsible for their actions

Option 2: Distributed Responsibilities w/ Coordination Support

• Cross-program climate coordinator position established

Option 3: Elevate CRWG to a Goal Implementation Team

• Establish a new GIT with associated support

ACTIONS/DECISIONS

- Suggest Management Board support **Option 1**
 - Distributed management approach overseen by MB
 - Least amount of resources
- Other options could be considered in future if resources increase