

### LOOKING BACK...



#### **WORKPLAN APPROACH**

### Section A: 2022-2024 Recommended Partnership Actions

- Address identified needs
- Foundational and effective
- "Suited" for CBP-requiring collaborative partner participation, MB direction, leverages expertise
- To be completed or initiated by 2024

### <u>Section B: Current and Planned Efforts to Advance Climate</u> <u>Directive</u>

- Includes CBP, MD, DE, DC, NY, VA, PA
- Federal Agency Commitments



# WORKPLAN ACTION HIGHLIGHTS

- Jurisdiction nature-based climate projects
- Create a Bay-wide plan for tidal wetland restoration, marsh migration, and coastal resiliency
- Improve understanding of Best Management Practice (BMP) responses to climate change conditions.
- Federal Climate Commitments

### **OPTIONS FOR MANAGING IMPLEMENTATION**

Option 1: Distributed Responsibilities— have distributed responsibilities within CBP with an annual report out to the Management Board.

- Coordination for collective CBP actions under MB, GIT 6, or somewhere else.
- Coordinating climate responsibilities of MB would take additional support, including for organizing annual meeting

## Option 2: Distributed Responsibilities w/ Coordination Support

• Cross-program climate coordinator position established

## Option 3: Elevate CRWG to a Goal Implementation Team

Federal Agency Commitments



## FEEDBACK REQUESTED

How should the partnership organize itself and resources to be more effective and strategic on climate work and implementing the Climate Change Directive?

• Should the partnership update and refine the Climate Directive workplan?