

November 10 Management Board Actions and Decisions

Multiple Tributary Modeling - Selection of Tributaries to include in the RFA

Decision: The Management Board endorses Option B as presented: The partnership’s modeling team will develop multiple tributary models in-house for the Potomac, James and York Rivers; in addition, a RFA for outside assistance to add data for the Choptank, Patapsco and Rappahannock Rivers will be issued in the upcoming weeks. If the partnership finds additional funding, one or two additional tributaries will be added in prioritized order: the Patuxent, Chester, and Pocomoke Rivers.

The overall timeline for estuarine models can be found at : <https://www.chesapeakebay.net/what/programs/modeling/phase-7-model-development>

Management Board Meeting Frequency and Length

Decision: The Management Board agreed to a new meetings schedule, holding twice-monthly meetings, each limited to two-hours in length. The new schedule will begin in December or January, pending availability of MB representatives. For the next few months and until partner concerns are resolved, CAST-21 will be the sole topic for one of the two meetings.

Action: CBPO will send a survey to the Management Board to identify the December bi-weekly date.

CAST Decision on Charge and Distribution of Actions

Action: Dave Goshorn (MD) and Jill Whitcomb (PA) will work offline to draft language for a proposed path forward on CAST-21 to be distributed to MB members in advance of the next MB meeting on CAST, pending scheduling by CBPO Staff.

STAC CESR Report

Update: The STAC CESR (Comprehensive Evaluation of System Response) Report is projected to be finalized in January 2023. STAC is reviewing summary presentation materials which will be made available to the Management Board in late November or December so they can better understand what to expect from the CESR Report

Climate Change and Resiliency QPM Action Table

November 2022 Quarterly Progress Meeting for the Climate Change and Resiliency Cohort		
Wetlands		
Where Help is Needed	MB Response Option Results	Follow On Response
1. Ensuring that each jurisdiction has (a) representative(s) on the Wetlands Workgroup that can speak to all programs in the jurisdiction that deal with wetlands	1. Acknowledge that the MB is not committing to take specific action; express gratitude for the work and information. 2. Handle the outcome request. 3. Elevate to the PSC. 4. Refer to another team/workgroup.	Action 1.1: MB Jurisdiction and Federal representatives will reach out to Katlyn Fuentes (HGIT Staffer, fuentesk@chesapeake.org) to confirm that adequate representation is present on the Wetlands Workgroup. Due: December 1, 2022

<p>2. Increasing staffing support: One of the greatest limitations to accomplishing more action items is the lack of capacity in workgroup members and staff. If greater staffing support was provided to the workgroup, specifically filling the vacant HGIT staffer, achievement of these action items will become more feasible. Prioritize staffing the Wetlands Workgroup in workforce strategy.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acknowledge that the MB is not committing to take specific action; express gratitude for the work and information. 2. Handle the outcome request. 3. Elevate to the PSC. 4. Refer to another team/workgroup. 	<p>Action 1.2: MB asks EPA CBPO to report back to them at a future MB Meeting on funding/plans for staffing support to HGIT and other teams in FY 23 and out-year, so that MB can better assess level of support and program needs.</p>
---	--	---

Black Duck

Where Help is Needed	MB Response Option Results	Follow On Response
<p>1. Increasing staffing support: One of the greatest limitations to accomplishing more action items is the lack of capacity in workgroup members and staff. If greater staffing support was provided to the BDAT and Wetlands workgroup, specifically filling the vacant HGIT staffer, achievement of these action items will become more feasible.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acknowledge that the MB is not committing to take specific action; express gratitude for the work and information. 2. Handle the outcome request. 3. Elevate to the PSC. 4. Refer to another team/workgroup. 	<p>Action 2.1: This request will be addressed through action 1.2: “MB asks EPA CBPO to report back to them at a future MB Meeting on funding/plans for staffing support to HGIT and other teams in FY 23 and out-year, so that MB can better assess level of support and program needs.”</p>
<p>2. Increase support for wetlands outcome through the wetlands workshop and action plan (12/8 management board meeting).</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acknowledge that the MB is not committing to take specific action; express gratitude for the work and information. 2. Handle the outcome request. 3. Elevate to the PSC. 4. Refer to another team/workgroup. 	<p>Decision 2.2: The Management Board does not commit to take specific action in response to this request. At the December 8 Management Board meeting, the Wetlands Workgroup will present on the action plan created through discussions at the Wetlands Workshop earlier this year. The Management Board is invited to review the action plan in advance and come prepared to engage with Wetlands Workgroup’s call for support.</p>

Climate Adaptation/Climate Monitoring and Assessment

Where Help is Needed	MB Response Option Results	Follow On Response
----------------------	----------------------------	--------------------

<p>1. For Awareness (no response needed):</p> <p>a. The dedicated climate staffer position has been a critical asset in supporting progress on climate resilience activities allowing the workgroup to better function in assessing climate change impacts and engage in cross-workgroup efforts in support of adaptation projects.</p> <p>b. If contacted, support the CRWG Marsh Adaptation Project requests:</p> <p>i. Encourage your organization to participate in the stakeholder outreach activities (e.g., interviews, listening sessions, workshop, etc.; outreach tentatively planned from January-April 2023)</p>	<p>1. Acknowledge that the MB is not committing to take specific action; express gratitude for the work and information.</p> <p>2. Handle the outcome request.</p> <p>3. Elevate to the PSC.</p> <p>4. Refer to another team/workgroup.</p>	<p>Decision 3.1: The Management Board does not commit to take specific action in response to this request.</p>
<p>2. Define accountable parties for actions identified under the Climate Change Directive - this is a Partnership-wide plan that requires high-level coordination beyond the scope of the CRWG.</p>	<p>1. Acknowledge that the MB is not committing to take specific action; express gratitude for the work and information.</p> <p>2. Handle the outcome request.</p> <p>3. Elevate to the PSC.</p> <p>4. Refer to another team/workgroup.</p>	<p>Decision 3.2: The Management Board determined that they did not have enough information to take specific action during the November meeting.</p> <p>Action 3.2: Garrett Stewart will distribute materials related to Climate Directive Workplan to the Management Board for comment. Responses should be sent to Bo Williams and Scott Philips before 11/23 so they can be incorporated into their December Presentation.</p>

<p>3. Identify potential data-providers and/or analysts within your organization that could potentially assist with prioritized climate change indicators by March 2023 for CRWG to meet with to see what is feasible support-wise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Flooding related to community resilience (no partners yet) b. High temperature extremes related to urban tree canopy and environmental justice (initial conversations with Forestry Workgroup) <p>Potential partners identified (no commitments yet):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> c. Bay water temperature change indicator related to fish and/or SAV habitat d. Stream temperature change indicator related to brook trout habitat e. Relative sea level rise indicator related to wetland loss and gains (marsh migration) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acknowledge that the MB is not committing to take specific action; express gratitude for the work and information. 2. Handle the outcome request. 3. Elevate to the PSC. 4. Refer to another team/workgroup. 	<p>Action 3.3: STAC will broadcast these and other science needs to partner institutions. Julie Reichert-Nguyen and Mark Bennet will refine language for the data call and send to Denice Wardrop and Meg Cole for distribution.</p>
---	--	--