

Federal Facilities Workgroup

Agenda

Date: December 13 ,2022

Time: 10:00 A.M. – 12:00 P.M.

<https://umces.webex.com/umces/j.php?MTID=m4cf33ac86a96a7a26a788907739aae88>

Meeting number: 2622 584 0998 Password: FFWG

Join by phone: 1-408-418-9388, Access code: 2622 584 0998

This meeting will be recorded for internal use only to assure the accuracy of meeting notes.



Agenda Item, (Discussion Lead), and Desired Outcome	Time	Materials, Notes, and Action Items
Introductions and Announcements- Greg Allen, EPA Greg will go over: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Call for Jurisdictional Co-chair • Status of 2-year Programmatic Milestones • 2022 BMP Progress Reporting Status 	10:00 - 10:15	<p>If you are interested in being a Co-Chair, please email Greg Allen (allen.greg@epa.gov) and Sophie Waterman (swaterman@chesapeakebay.net).</p> <p>Greg noted last call for comments on 2-year programmatic milestones. Federal facilities do get mentioned in those milestones and that's why the call for comment goes out to the FFWG. The milestones will be posted on our website soon.</p> <p>Olivia Deveraux mentioned that the deadline for the state to report all the data that the federal agencies and other entities provided to the states was December 1st. All the states submitted. You can view the state data here.</p> <p>Greg showed the current 2022 BMP reporting progress status chart.</p>
2021 Federal Agency Progress Evaluation Draft Final Report & Presentation- Stephanie MacDurmon, Brown and Caldwell Overview of draft final report and comments and suggestions from the workgroup. We will also be reviewing a timeline for review and comment.	10:15-11:15	<p><u>Presentation</u></p> <p>Background: In 2019 there was a similar progress evaluation done. Through that evaluation, it was found that agencies had “Low” or “medium” confidence in CAST BMP data. That means that the loads we are seeing out of CAST may not accurately represent true progress happening on federal lands. This impacts the ability to estimate the remaining effort. The other 2019 issues included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of consensus around equitable FPGs in several jurisdictions • More work needed to accurately represent federal progress in CAST <p>Goals: To help mitigate the issues found in 2019 there were goals set for the 2021 evaluation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide actionable information to improve the reporting and crediting • further the effort to build consensus about the equity of FPGs

Agenda Item, (Discussion Lead), and Desired Outcome	Time	Materials, Notes, and Action Items
		<p>2021 Evaluation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BMP Credit Evaluations (the analysis of the federal BMPs in the jurisdiction database, NEIEN and CAST) • 2021 Progress Scenario Review (Assess progress of federal agencies that meet a minimum completeness criterion based on the agency's BMP record.) • Federal Planning Goals (Discussions to develop consensus between EPA, jurisdictions, and federal agencies on FPGs.) • Level of Effort Evaluation (a look at % reduction and pound reductions needed to reach the 2025 FPG) <p>Stephanie concluded with the next steps/Action Items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action: Members will provide comments on the Federal Agency Progress Evaluation Report, which will be available January 26 through February 16. • Action: Agencies should review your BMP Credit Evaluation results, and based on the results, create a plan to improve your data for next year. • Action: Sustain discussion between federal agencies and the jurisdictions to address action items related to the FPGs. <p><i>Discussion</i></p> <p>Rene Senos of NPS asked about the gaps in the BMP Credit Evaluation Results in regard to NPS in jurisdictions like DC. Are those gaps because DC is taking credit for their BMPs? Alicia Ritzenthaler from DOEE said that she is also interested in those gaps and plans to follow up with NPS after the call. Oliva Devereaux noted that the BMPs in DC were on land uses that might have gotten captured elsewhere and the gaps in NPS's MD data is due to it being 2022 data not 21 data.</p>
<p>End of Year Reflection and Thoughts on FFWG 2023 Work Items</p> <p>Members are welcome to share their thoughts on the 2022 reporting year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What things that went well? • What were challenges? <p>A discussion on what members hope the FFWG will work on in 2023.</p>	11:15-11:45	<p>Greg Allen mentioned some potential 2023 topics include understanding data flows and how to tackle the current break downs and closing the sizable gaps. Phase 7 is also on the horizon and that could be an area to dig into. It might behoove the group to have jurisdiction or agency specific meetings to highlight success stories or challenges that could be addressed by the expertise of the group.</p>

Agenda Item, (Discussion Lead), and Desired Outcome	Time	<i>Materials, Notes, and Action Items</i>
Meeting Wrap Up	11:45-12:00	<i>2023 Meetings</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • January 10 • March 14 • May • July 11 • September 12 • November 14

