

Fertilizer data in Chesapeake Bay Program Modeling tools

Tom Butler, EPA

3.6.23

Welcome!

- [Chesapeake Bay Program](#) (CBP)
 - 19 federal Agencies
 - 40 state agencies
 - 1800 local governments
 - 20 academic institutions
 - 60 non-governmental organizations
 - EPA coordinates/oversees



Why are we here?

Excess Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Sediment pollute the Chesapeake Bay watershed

The Chesapeake Bay Program uses environmental models to estimate the impacts of management practices on these pollutants

There are concerns with the fertilizer data used in these models

A group of experts has been convened to improve fertilizer data inputs

What is this group?

Fertilizer Expert Group

- Formal committee
 - Works on both short-term and long-term solutions
- States
- Federal agencies
- Industry representatives
- **Make Recommendations**

What will this group produce?

Two sets of recommendations:

Short term (Phase 6) next four months

Long term (Phase 7) next two years.

What is the group's timeline?

Short term (Phase 6)



Current version of our modeling tools
Cannot change data sources
Must utilize trends of alternate data sets

Long term (Phase 7)



Next version of modeling tools
Complete redo
Can change data sources

Short term timeline (subject to change)

[illegible]

Short term timeline (subject to change)

		2022							2023					
Solution	Activity	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J
<div>Phase 6 short term (FEG)</div>	Meet individually with jurisdictions to discuss data													
		Finished												
	Discuss initial findings with CBP advisory group													
		Finished												
	Hold first full group meeting of FEG													
	Complete data review of preprocessing methods and ag inputs													
	MB informal briefing													
	USDA presentation to AgWG and WQGIT													
	Analyze compatability of new data sources													
	Summarize investigation results and brief PSC													

We are here

Long term timeline

[illegible]

Long term timeline



Different potential data sources:

Fertilizer Data Option	Description	Phase (6, 7, or both)	Should this option be considered? (Y/N)
Association of American Plant Food Control Officials (AAPFCO)	Continue the status quo.		
State reported fertilizer sales tonnage	Replacing or supplementing AAPFCO with state reports of fertilizer sales tonnage.		
USDA NASS Survey data	Examine the feasibility of developing new surveys or using alternate surveys.		
Fertilizer industry data	Engaging with fertilizer companies to determine what data could be collected to inform fertilizer applications.		
Other	Any alternative ideas not mentioned above.		

Questions?

Short term timeline (subject to change)

We are here

6-Mar-23

- Formally Meet with Fertilizer Expert Group

20-Apr-23

- USDA presentation to AgWG and WQGIT

May-23

- Provide phase 6 recommendations to AgWG, WQGIT, MB

1-Apr-23

- Review current data processing methods
- clearly document in a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP).

30-Apr-23

- Itemize and characterize known sources of fertilizer data

8-Jun-23

- Present Path forward to the PSC