DATE:

The Honorable Jasmine Gore Daniel Chao, Chair CBP Local Government Advisory Committee c/o Jennifer Starr, Coordinator Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay 151 West Street, Suite 101 Annapolis, MD 21401

Dear Chair Gore:

On behalf of the Chesapeake Executive Council (EC), I would like to express our appreciation and gratitude to you and the Local Government Advisory Committee (LGAC) members for the time and resources you put into problem-solving issues to address local governments' contributions to the protection and restoration of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed. Thank you especially for your thoughtful recommendations to the EC (dated September 19, 2022). We greatly appreciate the opportunity to respond to your recommendations.

Overall, we agree with many of the key recommendations highlighted in your letter. The partnership is certainly concerned about the ever-increasing impacts of climate change and the adverse impacts it can have on our efforts throughout the watershed. The partnership is taking climate change into consideration as we work towards attaining the broad spectrum of our outcomes. Like LGAC, many Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP) jurisdiction partners have long recognized that the detrimental impacts caused by flooding events can undermine efforts to improve water quality, habitat, fisheries and other CBP outcomes. The CBP Management Board MB agrees that a more concerted focus on flood mitigation and adaptation is needed and we look forward to raising this issue for discussion at a future Management BoardMB meeting and also for consideration for a Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee (STAC) workshop.

While we are optimistic that the new funding provided by Congress through the Federal American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA), the federal Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), and the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) will have far reaching impacts on our collective work, we recognize the added challenges those funds are creating in relation to administrative burden and staffing issues. We look forward to engaging with you at the MB Management Board and Principals' Staff Committee (PSC) to brainstorm solutions to those problems.

The following are additional responses to the Local Governments Advisory Committee's LGAC's highlighted recommendations:

• "LGAC calls on the Chesapeake Executive Council to take the leadership role in promoting the requisite green infrastructure workforce development, training and certification programs as a means to support local economies and continue watershed restoration efforts." ...LGAC urges jurisdiction Governors and the District of Columbia Mayor to require their Cabinet leadership to: a) Collect a listing of all training opportunities, including categories for recruitment, development, retention, education related to green jobs at the local/state levels to support the planning, design and

Commented [WJ1]: Management Board is abbreviated below.

maintenance of watershed goals; and b) List all jobs in high-demand or hard to fill within their state Environmental Offices.

- o Partnership response: The CBP's Management BoardMB is committed to working across the partnership to help better understand and promote workforce development related to the Watershed Agreement outcomes, including green infrastructure jobs. To this end, the Management BoardMB during its May 2022 meeting established a Workforce Action Team in 2022 and is hiring a contractor through the GIT-funding process to conduct a Landscape Assessment that will begin in Summer 2023. The MB will request that the jurisdictions report the information requested by LGAC to the MB to inform the Landscape Assessment, and report findings back to the MB at regular intervals. The MB will also provide reminders to the partners to regularly post job openings on the partnership's watershed-wide resources website (http://chesapeakenetwork.org/, hosted by the Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay) and other list serves and websites as appropriate.
- "Develop jurisdiction-specific consolidated funding application portals. LGAC calls on jurisdiction members of the Chesapeake Executive Council to develop consolidated and easy-to-use grant portal to include all federal funding opportunities and calls on EPA and its federal partners to simplify and streamline grant application processes relating to Chesapeake Bay priorities for the purpose of inclusion and full representation of the watershed communities."
 - Partnership response: The Management BoardMB agrees that identifying barriers to infrastructure project implementation under the BIL and ARPA would be helpful to the partners. To help identify those barriers, the MB will include such a discussion on a future MB meeting. As preparation, the jurisdiction partners will be asked to identify common issues and be prepared to discuss options for addressing each issue.

The partnership appreciates applauds the astute thinking around how grant proposals are submitted and how selections are determined and agrees that streamlined funding delivery systems are necessary to efficiently and effectively accomplish our goals.; Hhowever, each granting body has unique federal and state requirements (under separate statutes), which significantly complicates the ability to create a single grant application process. Nevertheless, a discussion about the feasibility of streamlining application processes is worth exploring and will be discussed at a future MB meeting.

Thank you again for your ongoing commitment to helping the partnership. We look forward to working with you on these issues in the coming months. In the meantime, please do not hesitate to reach out to me with any suggestions that might help address these challenging issues.

Sincerely,

Commented [WJ2]: I do not recall this Workforce Action Team – can you please provide the month in which this Workforce Action Team was discussed during the MB meeting?

Commented [WJ3]: When will this request happen, and how long with jurisdictions be provided to report the information? What information will be requested? What is "regular intervals"?

The Management Board is comprised of jurisdictions, so I am unclear as to where this directive of "will request" came from?

Commented [BG4R3]: This was discussed during the "Next Generation Stewards" SRS review on May 12, 2023. The intervals for reporting will be determined at a later date.

Commented [BG5]: J. Starr: "not asking MB to identify barriers—asking *jurisdictions* to develop easy to us grant portals— ask is for MB jurisdiction reps to recognize this need and encourage this effort so \$\$ can be used for WIP efforts.

Commented [WJ6]: Pennsylvania DEP has provided a list of barriers to IIJA/BIL to the CBPO Project Officer. I recommend that the EPA CBPO look at what states have already submitted as concerns (e.g. lack of information regarding procurement under BABA, etc.) as a starting point, for the MB to react to.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 1"

Commented [WJ7]: This statement sounds disingenuous – recommend saying that we agree that streamlined funding delivery systems are necessary to efficiently and effectively accomplish our goals.

Commented [WJ8]: There are potentials to streamline grant applications — through allocation-based programs — which are currently being used. It may also be important to highlight areas where EPA is striving to make adjustments to their own programs as an example.

Commented [BG9R8]:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Kandis Boyd, Ph.D. Management Board Chair Chesapeake Bay Program

Cc: Chesapeake Executive Council Members Principals' Staff Committee Members Management Board Members