Forest Harvesting Practices BMP Update

LORENZO CINALLI & ALANNA CROWLEY

Introduction – CAST & Timber Harvest **BMPs**



Chesapeake Assessment Scenario Tool

CAST – water quality modeling tool

States can receive sediment & nutrient reduction credits by reporting timber harvest BMPs

 BMPs apply an efficiency on modeled loads: XX% reduction based on land use

Phase 7 CAST Structure

Average Load △ Inputs * Sensitivity **BMPs** Acres Land to Water **River Delivery**

Load by land-river segment and land use

Potential Cast Changes

- 1. Base loads from harvested forests
- 2. Efficiency rates of timber harvest BMP
- 3. Credit duration

Current Timber Harvest BMP in CAST:

Forest Harvest BMPs decrease loads by:

- Total Suspended Solids (TSS) 60%
- Total Nitrogen (TN) 50%
- o Total Phosphorus (TP) − 60%

- Determined via 2009 report by Pamela Edwards & Karl Williard
- No differentiation in BMP type
- 1-year credit duration
- More information in the BMP Guide, Page 162

Research Methodology

- Published 2009 Present
- Within the CBW or neighboring states
- Eastern mixed deciduous and pine forests
- Interview with experts

Literature Review

Reference	Sediment	Nitrogen	Phosphorus
Hawks, Bolding et al. (2022)	64%	/	/
Hawks, Aust et al. (2022)	83%	/	/
Lakel et al. (2009)	97%	/	/
A.J. Lang et al. (2022)	88.2%	/	/
Dangle et al. (2019)	100%	/	/
Cristan et al. (2019)	75.6%	/	/
Maine FS (2021)	"Not measurable"	/	/
Witt Et al. (2016)	"Low impact"	"Low impact"	/
DaSilva et al. (2012)	/	"No significant increase"	"No significant increase"
Marchman et al. (2013)	/	"Statistically insignificant"	"Statistically insignificant"
Boggs et al. (2015)	/	"No significant increase"	"No significant increase"
Average	85%	/	/
Edwards & Williard Average	67%	51%	72%
Current CAST Efficiencies	60%	50%	60%

Recommendations

- 1. Recommend **no changes to base loading rates** of harvested forests.
- 2. Recommend changing the efficiency rates to:
 - TSS from 60% to 85%
 - TN from 50% to 90%
 - TP from 60% to 85%
- 3. Recommend changing the credit duration to three years.

Process moving forward

- 1. Approval by the FWG on September 4th
- 2. Present the recommendations to the Watershed Technical Workgroup on October 3rd
- 3. Present the recommendations to the WQGIT on October 28th





