
Water Quality Goal Implementation Team (WQGIT) Signatory and At-Large Member Feedback on the Proposed Soil Health Outcome (March 24, 2025 WQGIT meeting)

Based on what you've seen or heard so far, what is your current stance on adding soil health as an outcome to the revised agreement?



Role	Name	Affiliation	Vote	Additional Verbal/Chat Comments
Signatory	George Doumit	DE	3	
	George Onyullo	DC	3	
	Greg Sandi	MD	2	Concerns with the urban sector because a lot of our BMPs are impacted by salt water from the winter and that changes our soil structures within those BMPs, microbial communities, all sorts of things that would make it a real challenge to promote soil health outside of lawns. I see real complications from a scientific perspective on that. I think this topic may gain support as an output in the future. And it is important to keep discussing.
	Cassie Davis	NY	2	(Stance provided by Emily Dekar in Cassie's Absence)
	Scott Heidel	PA	2	Very supportive of soil health, just want to get this to the best place to move it forward.
	Kevin Mclean	VA	2	
	Dave Montali	WV	1	Leaning no at this time, and it's partly because maybe I don't have all the information, the timeline is too rushed, the workgroups that might be most involved are skeptical, and there's just a lot of information that I don't think we have. The timeline is too rushed to promote something at this time.

	Adrienne Kotula	CBC	2	100% on board with the importance of soil health. The question we at the Commission have is have you guys thought about other avenues to address soil health as a priority? Could see the ag advisory committee as being a great avenue for prioritizing soil health. Also working with jurisdictions on their milestones and getting soil health worked in in that direction. I'm just wondering if there are other ways it could be prioritized throughout the program that are maybe not an outcome.
	Bo Williams	EPA	3	
At-Large	KC Filippino	HRPDC	2	I'm supportive of moving this topic along in general and feel like it's an important issue that needs to be evaluated for a variety of outcomes, but I'm not comfortable right now having this as a new outcome, I'm a 2.
	Joe Wood	CBF	3	I am a 3 at this point but would appreciate a day to think on this.
	Emily Dekar	USC	2	I am 2. I am very pro-soil health as a topic, but I don't believe it fits as an outcome currently.
	Kevin Du Bois	DoD	3	I trust the group and will not block this decision but must register my disagreement.
	Tom Howard	Resolve Hydro	2	If not included as an outcome, what are other ways to formalize support for monitoring and/or promoting soil health? Strongly supportive of advancing the topic but leaning 2 at this time.
	Mike LaSala	LandStudies	3	I would say 3, but that 3 is also staring at 2 very strongly.