Draft Agricultural Verification Protocol Concept Chesapeake Bay Program Agriculture Workgroup (AgWG) 6/15/2012 BMP Inventory Cost-Share Information **BMP Functionality Information** Verification Relative Cost Relative Data Relative Data Ag Protocol Verification Assessment Method Methodology Issues Confidence Credit Category Annual BMP Annual BMP / State NGO Private Meets Specs Functional Not Installation Low: < \$1/acre Cost Cost Cost Funded Equivalent Effective Effective Date Medium:\$1 to \$3/acre Structural BMP Structural BMP Share High: > \$3/acre Share Share (5=maximum, (% of Approved 1=minimum) ВМР Effectiveness Value) 5/5 On-farm Farm inventory by Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Through on-site Accredited High trained federal, state, visit by trained data source Assessment and/or county agency personnel, through personnel. collecting data, training/certi check databases, fication check on-farm records On-farm Yes Yes Accredited High 5/5 Farm inventory by Yes Yes Through on-site Yes Assessment trained independent visit by trained data source through third-party personnel. personnel, collecting data, training/certi check databases, fication check on-farm records On-farm Farm inventory by Yes Yes Yes Yes Accredited High 4/4 Yes Yes Yes Through on-site Assessment trained agricultural visit by trained data source industry personnel. personnel, through collecting data, training/certi check databases, fication check on-farm records Farmer Self-Farmer completes self-Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes On-site visit by Confirmation Medium/High 4/5 certified inventory trained personnel, checks of self Assessment survey with 100% check collecting data, reported by trained federal, state check databases, practices and/or county check on-farm personnel. records Farmer completes self-Maybe Maybe Maybe Maybe Statistical sample Statistical Medium 3/3 armer Self-Yes Maybe Maybe Assessment certified inventory on-site visit by sample survey with 10% spot trained personnel, confirmation check by trained federal, collecting data, checks of self state and/or county check databases, reported agency personnel. check on-farm practices records

									A						
Farmer Self- Assessment	Farmer completes in- office self-certified inventory with trained federal, state and/or county agency personnel.	Yes	Yes	Maybe	Maybe	Yes	Maybe	Maybe	No. F	Maybe	Farmer certified during the visit at USDA or governmental office	Farmer Self certification with Professional Assistance	Low/Medium	2/4	
Farmer Self- Assessment	Farmer completes self- certified inventory survey.	Maybe	No	Maybe	By Farmer self certification when submitted	Wide variance in knowledge of farmers	Low	2/2							
Records Review	Review of existing in- office agency records by trained federal, state and/or county agency personnel.	Yes	Yes	Maybe	Maybe	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Trained personnel verify through knowledge of the farm or through calls made to the farmer	Misses many non-C/S practices	Low/Medium	4/4	
Records Review	Review of existing on- farm records by trained federal, state and/or county agency personnel.	Yes	Yes	Maybe	Maybe	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Trained personnel verify through knowledge of the farm or through calls made to the farmer	Misses many non-C/S practices	Low/Medium	3/3	
Records Review	Review of existing on- farm records by trained independent third-party personnel.	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Trained personnel verify through knowledge of the farm or through calls made to the farmer	Misses many non-C/S practices	Low/Medium	3/3	
Records Review	Review of existing on- farm records by trained agricultural industry personnel.	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Trained personnel verify through knowledge of the farm or through calls made to the farmer	Misses many non-C/S practices	Low/Medium	3/3	

Sampling	Statistical transect survey completed by trained personnel on a County or Watershed scale.	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Yes	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe		Verified by the trained personnel completing the transect survey on the ground	Can only determine existence and quality of practices not source of funding	Low / Medium / High [Determined by design and rigor of sampling methodology]	1 to 4/1 to 4 [Determined by design and rigor of sampling methodology]	
Sampling	CEAP/NRI survey conducted in-person at field-level with NASS trained personnel.	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	No	No	No	No	No	procedures	Survey conducted at various watershed HUC scales using specific field points	Low / Medium / High [Determined by design and rigor of sampling methodology]	1 to 4 / 1 to 4 [Determined by design and rigor of sampling methodology]	
	NASS survey conducted via survey with NASS trained personnel.	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	NASS certification procedures	Survey using self-reported information with statistical checks	Low / Medium / High [Determined by design and rigor of sampling methodology]	1 to 4 / 1 to 4 [Determined by design and rigor of sampling methodology]	
_	Remote Sensing, aerial photography	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Verification by visit to each site or a statistical sample to collect and certify data	Variation in scale and quality of remote imagery effects data quality	Low / Medium / High [Determined by design and rigor of methodology]	1 to 4 / 1 to 4 [Determined by design and rigor of sampling methodology]	