

Attachment B

Issues to Bring Forward to the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership's BMP Verification Review Panel for Discussion at the Panel's December 6, 2012 Meeting

Issues Identified to Date by Committee Members and Workgroups

- How should the partnership address verification of practices and technologies employed to reduce nitrogen air emissions (October 12, 2012 Verification Review Panel conference call)
- Feedback on the possible approach for discounting of the credit received based on the relative confidence in the approach taken in verifying implementation of the practice (September 12, 2012 Verification Committee meeting)
- At what scale should we be looking at in terms of losses of riparian forest buffers (September 12, 2012 Verification Committee meeting)
- How should the partnership operationally define equity in verification expectations across source sector and across jurisdictions as embodied within principle 5 (September 12, 2012 Verification Committee meeting)
- How can the partnership better address equity in verification within source sectors—examples include MS4 vs. non-MS4 areas, municipality-owned stormwater facilities vs. Home Owners Association-owned stormwater facilities (September 12, 2012 Verification Committee meeting)
- Is the partnership setting a higher bar for the verification of stream and wetland restoration practices compared with the other source sectors (September 12, 2012 Verification Committee meeting)
- How should the partnership go about communicating verification to those local partners and implementers—e.g., producers, municipalities, wastewater and stormwater municipal authorities, non-governmental organizations actively funding BMP implementation—who will be directly or indirectly effected by the decisions of the partnership (July 19, 2012 Verification Committee conference call)
- How should the partnership deal with our legacy BMPs, particularly in terms of stormwater practices (June 19, 2012 Verification Committee meeting)