Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership Biennial Strategy Review System
1st Biennial Review Meeting
DRAFT Agenda (12.20.16)

Purposes:
    1) To identify <u>broad themes</u> that should be considered as we review and possibly modify our workplans over the coming two years:
- a) what is working and what is not working in our progress toward meeting our Watershed Agreement Outcomes, and
- b) recent developments or changes in the scientific, fiscal, and policy arenas,

    2) Determination of information to be included in the PSC report to the EC on progress related to management strategy implementation

When: February 9, 2017

Where: Location TBD

Who:
- Management Board (MB) leaders and members
- Outcome Leaders (Goal Implementation Team or Workgroup leaders)
- Advisory Committee and STAR Chairs

Agenda

I.   Introduction, Meeting Purpose and State of the Program Highlights   9:00 AM – 9:30 AM
Participant Introductions, purposes of the meeting, how we will achieve those purposes, briefly explain SRS process, how this meeting fits in, provide chair's overview of key themes and activities regarding program operations (including results of program evaluations and influential reports), invite a few additions from participants
Discussion Lead: Nick DiPasquale, MB Chair

II.   Panel 1: Progress toward Watershed Agreement   9:30 AM – 10:30 AM
The panel will focus on two topics: (1) an overview of progress toward outcomes in the agreement, and (2) presentations and discussion of addressing factors affecting progress.
- *Part 1:* An overview of progress based on CBP indicators of environmental health, restoration and stewardship *(15 min.)*
  Presenters: Indicators Coordinator (Laura Free), ChesapeakeProgress Content Specialist (Catherine Krikstan)
- *Part 2: Examples and Discussion of Factors affecting progress in achieving outcomes (30 min.) Three examples to be provided organized around the themes of* Conservation, Restoration, and People*, each with a 5-minute "lightning" presentation on how various factors have influenced or are expected to guide their management priorities and actions.* <u>*The Guiding questions addressed for each presentation*</u>*:*
  1. *How have your management actions successfully addressed factors affecting your outcome?*
  2. *What approaches are you taking to address factors that pose the biggest challenges?*

Panelists: 3 Outcome reps, TBD. Facilitator: Scott Phillips

Presentations will be followed by 15 minutes of facilitated discussion with the presenters, followed by question and answer period with the audience.

Key messages emerging from panel will be considered during the break-out sessions and could inform future quarterly review sessions.

Morning Break   10:30 AM – 10:45 AM

III.   **Panel 2: What is changing in the scientific, fiscal, and policy arenas?**   10:45 AM – 11:45 AM
Panel review of recent developments or expected future developments/changes in our scientific understanding of the Bay, Climate change, Fiscal opportunities, and Federal / State policy - 10 min each. Followed by group discussion / questions (20 min). Key messages are to be considered during break-out sessions and are suggested for emphasis in the quarterly review sessions over the coming two-year cycle.
Panelists: TBD

IV.   **Group Discussion of Panels/Review of Objectives for Breakout Session**   11:45 AM – 12:15 PM
Discussion on how panel topics can be considered in the afternoon break-out session and in formulating a report to the PSC.
Discussion Lead: Nick DiPasquale

Lunch Break   12:15 PM – 12:45 PM

V.   **Breakout Session for each Goal Implementation Team (GIT)**   12:45 PM – 2:00 PM
Breakout into GIT-focused groups with the charge of developing a list of:
- *Issues that are relevant to the goals and outcomes under GIT management*
- *Identification of lessons learned and strategic resource shifts*
- *Top messages to suggest for inclusion in report to PSC/EC*

Breakout Group Lead(s): GIT Chairs

Afternoon Break   2:00 PM – 2:15 PM

VI.   **Breakout Session Reports and MB Q&A**   2:15 PM – 3:25 PM
Each GIT Chair provides a few example issues with significant relevance to its management strategies, mention of management strategy shifts in the context of the decision framework and key messages to recommend for report to the PSC.
Discussion Lead(s): GIT Chairs

VII.   **Group Discussion and Synthesis**   3:25 PM – 3:45 PM
Development of list of scientific, fiscal, and policy issues for use during Quarterly Reviews. Finalize content areas and messages to be reported to PSC.
Discussion Lead: Dave Goshorn, GIT 6 Chair

VIII.   **Wrap-up, Next Steps and Review of Quarterly Review Schedule**   3:45 PM – 4:00 PM
Discussion Lead: Nick DiPasquale