Environmental Literacy Indicator Tool (Self-Assessment) DRAFT

The purpose of the **Environmental Literacy Indicator Tool** is to identify and track the implementation of teacher-supported Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs) and related environmental education efforts in school systems in the mid-Atlantic region. This tool provides local education agencies (LEAs) an opportunity to document the scope of existing programs, identify program strengths, and highlight areas requiring greater focus.

The program areas and criteria within this tool are founded on research-based best practices in the field of environmental literacy. This self-assessment will measure LEA capacity, implementation, and efforts to provide MWEEs and related programming consistent with the goals outlined in the *Mid-Atlantic Elementary and Secondary Environmental Literacy Strategy*. Data from this tool will be compiled and provided to each state and its partners to assist with ongoing efforts to support development of high quality programming.

The results of this self-assessment will provide valuable information to the state(s) and the Chesapeake Bay Program Education Workgroup about the progress LEAs are making toward the established goal to:

Enable students in the region to graduate with the knowledge to use scientific evidence and citizenship skills to act responsibly to protect and restore their local watershed.

Completing the Environmental Literacy Program Capacity Tool:

Section I: Local Education Agency Information: LEA descriptive information

Section II Program Capacity

Section III: Program Implementation
Section IV: Continuous Improvement

Section V: LEA Summary Report: pre-populated from the data entered

SECTION I:	Local Education	n Agency (LEA)) Information - L	Districtwide

State: Choose an item.
LEA Name:
Name of Individual Completing Assessment:
Title of Individual Completing Assessment:
E-mail address:

School/Grade Level	Number of Schools	Number of <u>Certified</u> Sustainable		umber of <u>USDE</u> on Schools
		Schools	Nominated	Awarded
Elementary:				
Middle:				
High:				
Other: (please specify):				

Section II - Program Capacity - Please review the following elements and using the scale below make a determination about your LEAs capacity to address them. For those elements that are "Partially or Fully in Place" please provide (by commenting and/or uploading) supporting evidence demonstrating that the element is tangible, observable or measurable.

	Environmental Literacy Program Elements	Not in Place	Planning Stage	Partially in Place	Fully in Place	Evidence
a.	an <u>established program leader</u> for environmental education (providing effective, sustained and system leadership)		Point of contact identified but without authority/ understanding to coordinate development	Program leader identified with appropriate skills, authority and knowledge to coordinate development of program and monitor implementation	Program leader is a well- established member of administrative team and reports progress to Superintendent or Director of Curriculum	Title, Job Description, # of hours dedicated to EE
b.	an <u>established team</u> that facilitates multi- grade/multi-discipline curricular infusion environmental projects and practices		Program leader identified	EE team established but without multi-grade/multi-discipline representation	EE team established with multi-grade/multi-discipline representation	Agenda, meeting dates/notes, team description
C.	an integrated program infusing environmental concepts in appropriate curricular areas		Connections with other LEA initiatives identified	Several connections can be made between the EE curriculum and other LEA initiatives.	EE connections can be found in many LEA initiatives in multiple disciplines PK-12	Lesson plans, examples of curriculum
d.	well-articulated plan to ensure opportunities for all students to engage in teacher-supported meaningful watershed educational experiences at the elementary, middle and high school levels		MWEEs are integrated into one curriculum and provided once in each grade band	MWEEs are integrated across curricula and provided once in each grade band	MWEEs are trans- disciplinary, provided for students annually, and integrated within PK-12 curriculum	Written plan, dedicated funding
e.	a system in place to support educators that fulfills essential elements of professional development to support meaningful watershed educational experiences (MWEEs)		PD plan is established and approved by school system	Professional development is scheduled and implemented	Professional development is ongoing and adjusted based on participant feedback	# of PD hours, certificates, designated days
f.	plan or initiative to maintain school buildings, grounds and operations as models of sustainability for students and the community including the use of school grounds as outdoor learning spaces		Plan /initiative has been identified	Plan implemented in some schools	Plan implemented in all schools (districtwide)	Copy of plan or policy
g.	established community partnerships for delivery of environmental education including implementation of teacher supported meaningful watershed educational experiences		Potential partnerships have been identified	Partner organizations provide onsite or school-based EE activities targeted to one grade band	Partner programs are integral part of PK-12 student learning experiences which are enhanced by participating in partner programs delivered in natural or local community setting.	MOU/MOA, list of partners,

SECTION III: Program Implementation – Student Participation in Teacher-Supported Meaningful Watershed

Educational Experiences Please provide data for your LEA about student participation in teacher-supported Meaningful Watershed

Educational Experiences (MWEEs). For each grade level, indicate the number of students per grade, the number of students participating in

Outdoor Learning Experiences, and the number of students participating in MWEEs (percentages will auto-populate for both). Please also indicate the 'Data Confidence Level': low, medium, or high about the information you are providing.

Outdoor learning experiences are field experiences that do not fulfill all requirements of a teacher supported MWEE. Because these efforts also contribute to environmental literacy for students, it is important to document these efforts.

	S	tudent Particip	oation <i>Ele</i>	ementary Leve	Data Confidence Level			
	Total # of Students	# of Uni Outdoor Learning Experiences (OLEs)	que Students % Participating in OLEs	Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs)	% Participating in MWEEs	Low estimate (data not currently collected)	Medium based on some available data (not systemic)	High based on data collected systemically
K	800	250	31%	100	12.5%			
1 st	850	300	35%	200	24%			
2 nd	900	450	50%	250	28%			
3 rd	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample			
4 th	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample			
5 th	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample			
Other	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample			
Total	2550	1000	39%	550	22%	4-11	Only March	

The Chesapeake Bay Program is responsible for reporting student participation in MWEES: every Meaningful Watershed Educational Experience (MWEE) qualifies as an Outdoor Learning Experience (OLE) but not every Outdoor Learning Experience (OLE) qualifies as a Meaningful Watershed Educational Experience (MWEE).

SECTION III: Program Implementation – Student Participation in Teacher-Supported Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences Please provide data for your LEA about student participation in teacher-supported Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs). For each grade level, indicate the number of students per grade, the number of students participating in Outdoor Learning Experiences, and the number of students participating in MWEEs (percentages will auto-populate for both). Please also indicate the 'Data Confidence Level': low, medium, or high about the information you are providing.

Outdoor learning experiences are field experiences that do not fulfill all requirements of a teacher supported MWEE. Because these efforts also contribute to environmental literacy for students, it is important to document these efforts.

	Stu	udent Participa	ation <i>Mid</i> e	dle School Le	Data Confidence Level			
		# of Uni	que Students	s Participating in.				
	Total # of Students	Outdoor Learning Experiences (OLEs)	% Participating in OLEs	Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs)	% Participating in MWEEs	estimate based on some based or (data not currently available data (not collect		High based on data collected systemically
6 th	800	250	31%	100	12.5%			
7 th	850	300	35%	200	24%			
8 th	900	450	50%	250	28%			
Other	Sample	Sample Sample Sample □ □ □						
Total	2550	1000	39%	550	22%			

The Chesapeake Bay Program is responsible for reporting student participation in MWEES: every Meaningful Watershed Educational Experience (MWEE) qualifies as an Outdoor Learning Experience (OLE) but not every Outdoor Learning Experience (OLE) qualifies as a Meaningful Watershed Educational Experience (MWEE).

SECTION III: Program Implementation – Student Participation in Teacher-Supported Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences Please provide data for your LEA about student participation in teacher-supported Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs). For each grade level, indicate the number of students per grade, the number of students participating in Outdoor Learning Experiences (choose subject from drop-down), and the number of students participating in MWEEs (choose subject from drop-down). The percentages for both will auto-populate. Please also indicate the 'Data Confidence Level': low, medium, or high about the information you are providing.

Outdoor learning experiences are field experiences that do not fulfill all requirements of a teacher supported MWEE. Because these efforts also contribute to environmental literacy for students, it is important to document such efforts.

		Student Participation High School Level							Confidence	Level
			# of Ur	nique Stude				Low	Medium	High
	Total # of Students	Le: Expe	atdoor arning eriences DLEs)	% Participating in OLEs	Wate Educ Exper	Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs)		estimate (data not currently collected)	based on some available data (not systemic)	based on data collected systemically
9 th	800	200	Drop down*	25%	100	Drop down*	12.5%			
10 th	850	400	Drop down*	47%	200	Drop down*	24%			
11 th	900	450	Drop down*	50%	250	Drop down*	28%			
12 th	Sample	Sample	Drop down*	Sample	Sample	Drop down*	Sample			
Other	Sample	Sample	Drop down*	Sample	Sample	Drop down*	Sample			
Total	2550	1050	41	1%	550	22	2%			

The Chesapeake Bay Program is responsible for reporting student participation in MWEES: every Meaningful Watershed Educational Experience (MWEE) qualifies as an Outdoor Learning Experience (OLE) but not every Outdoor Learning Experience (OLE) qualifies as a Meaningful Watershed Educational Experience (MWEE).

^{*}Drop-down menu will include list of course subjects (biology, environmental/earth science, government, history, other)

SECTION IIIA: Program Implementation – Professional Development for Teacher-Supported Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences

Please provide data for your school system about the **need for** professional development for <u>teacher-supported Meaningful Watershed</u> <u>Educational Experiences (MWEEs)</u>. For each grade level, indicate the number of educators per grade, the number of teachers providing instruction specific to environmental education, and the number of educators who **need** formal environmental education professional development.

		Elementary Sch	ool Level – Teach	er PD
	Total # of Teachers Per Grade	# of Teachers Providing Instruction Specific to Environmental Education	# of Teachers Who Need Formal PD in Environmental Education	% of Teachers Who Need PD
K	40	20	10	50%
1 st	42	15	5	33%
2 nd	45	15	3	20%
3 rd	Sample	Sample	Sample	
4 th	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample
5 th	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample
Other	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample
Total	127	50	18	36%

SECTION IIIA: Program Implementation – Professional Development for Teacher-Supported Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences

Please provide data for your school system about the **need for** professional development for <u>teacher-supported Meaningful Watershed</u>
<u>Educational Experiences (MWEEs)</u>. For each grade level, indicate the number of educators per grade, the number of teachers providing instruction specific to environmental education, and the number of educators who **need** formal environmental education professional development.

	Middle School Level – Teacher PD						
	Total # of Teachers Per Grade	# of Teachers Providing Instruction Specific to Environmental Education	# of Teachers Who Need Formal PD in Environmental Education	% of Teachers Who Need PD			
6 th	40	20	10	50%			
7 th	42	15	5	33%			
8 th	45	15	3	20%			
Other	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample			
Total	127	50	18	36%			

SECTION IIIA: Program Implementation – Professional Development for Teacher-Supported Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences

Please provide data for your school system about the **need for** professional development for <u>teacher-supported Meaningful Watershed</u>
<u>Educational Experiences (MWEEs)</u>. For each grade level, indicate the number of educators per grade, the number of teachers providing instruction specific to environmental education, and the number of educators who **need** formal environmental education professional development.

		High School	Level – Teacher I	PD
	Total # of Teachers Per Grade	# of Teachers Providing Instruction Specific to Environmental Education	# of Teachers Who Need Formal Environmental Education PD	% of Teachers Who Need Formal Environmental Education PD
9 th	40	20	10	50%
10 th	42	15	5	33%
11 th	45	15	3	20%
12 th	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample
Other	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample
Total	127	50	18	36%

SECTION IV: Continuous Environmental Education Improvement Efforts
1. What are the strongest elements of your environmental education program? What data or subjective assessments support this?
2. What are the greatest challenges related to establishing/implementing your environmental education program?
3. What are opportunities to grow your environmental education program?
4. What do you plan to do in the next three years?
5. Please share any success stories as exemplars and models of best practice: