		Farm Inventory	Fairin Jinventory	Farm Inventory	Farm inventory	Farm Inventory		Ag Protocol Category	
		Farmer completes in- office self-certified inventory with trained federal, state and/or county agency personnel.	Farmer completes self-certified inventory survey and trained federal, state and/or county personnel visit. site to confirm.	Farm inventory by trained agricultural industry personnel.	Farm inventory by trained independent third-party personnel.	Farm inventory by trained federal, state, and/or county agency personnel.		Assessment Method	
	·	Maybe	Yes-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Federal C/S	Does ;≠ Cost-Share	Ches
·		Maybe	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	State C/S /	Does : Capture Cost-Shared Information	Draft A Chesapeake
		Maybe	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NGO C/S	nation	: Agricke Ba
		Maybe	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Private Funded		cultur y Pro
		Maybe	At onsite visit	Yes	Yes	Yes	Meets Specs	Other BN	al Ve
Page 1 of 4		Maybe	At onsite visit	Yes	Yes	Yes	Functional Equivalent	May 10. 2012 Other BMP Information	Draft Agricultural Verification Protocol Con apeake Bay Program Agriculture Workgrou
1 of 4		Maybe	At onsite visit .	Yes	Yes	Yes	Almost: provides benefits, but needs work to meet specs	12	on Pro
	Whatip	Must check records to verify	Through record checks	Record checks may not be possible.	Record checks may be possible.	Through record checks	Installati on Date		otocol Con Workgrou
	e **	Farmer certified during the visit at USDA or governmental office	Through on-site visit by trained personnel	Through on-site visit by trained personnel while collecting data, check databases	Through on-site visit by trained personnel while collecting data, check databases	Through on-site visit by trained personnel while collecting data, check databases		Verification Methodology	Concept ;roup (AgWG)
		Farmer Self certification with Professional Assistance	% of checks determines acceptance of some practices	Accredited data source through training/certification  Accredited data source through training/certification		Verification Issues	NG)		
		Med	High to Medium	Medium	High	High		Relative Benefit	
Cost	wide Sife	Low	bigh	H/W	Medium	High		Relative Cost	
		75/75	90/90%	06/06	co//co) %0/%0	100%/100%	Annual BMP/ Structural BMP	Data Confidence	
Grading	These are either in or out	0%/0%	cu/ao1 - 80/%0	0%/0% /0 <b>%/</b> 0%	601 <sub>00</sub> 1 %0/%0	100%/100%	Annual BMP/ Structural BMP	Data Credit	

(OD

Farm Récords	Farm Records	Office Records	Farm Inventory		Ag Protocol Category			
Review of existing onfarm records by trained independent third-party personnel.	Review of existing on- farm records by trained federal, state and/or county agency personnel.	Review of existing office records by trained federal, state and/or county agency personnel.	Farmer completes self- certified inventory survey.		Assessment Method			
Yes	Yes	Yes	Maybe	Federal C/S	Cost-Sha		Ches	
Yes	Yes	Yes	Maybe	State C/S	Cost-Shared Information		apea	Draft
Maybe	Maybe	Мауье	Maybe	NGO C/S Private Funded	nation		ke Ba	: Agri
Only by eliminati on	Only by eliminati on	Only by eliminati on k white a language of the control of the cont	Maybe				y Pro	cultur
Yes	Yes	Yes	Maybe	Meets Specs	Other BM	Ma	gram	al Vei
No	No 3	No	Maybe	Functional Equivalent	Other BMP Information	May 10. 2012	Chesapeake Bay Program Agriculture Workgrou	<b>Draft Agricultural Verification Protocol Con</b>
No	No	No	Maybe	Almost: provides benefits, but needs work to meet specs	Ď	12	lture \	on Pro
Yes	Yes	Yes	Must check records to verify	Installati on Date			<b>Nork</b> g	tocol
Trained personnel verify through knowledge of the farm or through calls made to the farmer	Trained personnel verify through knowledge of the farm or through calls made to the farmer	Trained personnel verify through knowledge of the farm or through calls made to the farmer	By Farmer self certification when submitted	2.25 2.25(1) 2.25(1) 2.35(2) 3.34 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	Verification Methodology		roup (AgWG)	Concept
Misses many non C/S practices	Misses many non C/S practices	A ( w k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k k	Wide wariance in knowledge of farmers		Verification Issues		/G)	
Medium	Medium	Medium	Med		Relative Benefit			
Medium	Medium	Medium	Low		Relative Cost			
0%/0%	50/50	90) 90)	0%/50 5 <b>0</b> /50	Annual BMP/ Structural BMP	Data Confidence			
0%/0%	0%/0%	0%/0%	0%/0%	'Annual BMP/ Structural BMP	Data Credit			

			ĬĘ.	•		•
NASS Survey		Transect Survey	Farm Records		Ag Protocol Category	
NASS survey conducted via survey with trained personnel.	CEAP survey conducted in-person at field-level with NASS trained personnel.	Transect completed by trained personnel on a County or Watershed scale.	Review of existing onfarm records by trained agricultural industry personnel.		Assessment Method	
NO O	Maybe	Maybe Ce	Yes	Federal C/S	Cost-Shar	Ches
No	Maybe	yes pendant	Yes	State   C/S	Cost-Shared Information	<b>Draft</b> apeak
No	Maybe	Maybe Maybe For Field pr	Maybe	NGO C/S	ation	Agric (e Bay
. No	Maybe	Maybe Pri	Only by eliminati on	Private   Funded		ultura / Prog
No	No C	res	Yes	Meets Specs	IVIa) Other BMI	al Vei
No	No	Maybe	No.	Functional Equivalent	May 10. 2012 Other BMP Information	Draft Agricultural Verification Protocol Co
No	No	No	No	Almost: provides benefits, but needs work to meet specs	n.	n Proture V
No, must check records to determin e	No, must check records to determin e	No, must Verified check trained records comple to transec determin ground e/2ate cF	Yes	installati on Date		tocol
NASS certification procedures ·	No, must NASS certification check procedures records to determin e	No, must Verified by the check trained personnel records completing the to transect on the determin ground	Trained personnel verify through knowledge of the farm or through calls made to the farmer		Verification Methodology	Concept roup (AgWG)
		Can only determine existence and quality of practices not source of funding /	Misses many non C/S practices		Verification Issues	/G)
Med	Med.	Medium	Medium		Relative Benefit	
Low	Med	Medium	Medium		Relative Cost	
0%/0% 75/75	o%/o% Related to study	0%/0% Related to 57 udy.	54/50 54/50	Annual BMP/ Structural BMP	Data Confidence	
0%/0%	0%/0%	0%/0%	0%/0%	Annual BMP/ Structural BMP	Data Credit	

<u> </u>	$\frac{1}{2}$
F	÷

	Je.					
NRI Point or some other statistically selected sites	Aerial Photography Remote Sensing		Ag Protocol Category			
	Remote Sensing or Field Visit to the points.		Assessment Method			
*	No o	Federal C/S	Cost-Sha		Che	-
	No	State C/S	Cost-Shared Information		sapea	Draf
	No	NGO C/S Private Funded	nation		ke Ba	t Agri
	No	1990年3月 No. 1994年3月 - 1997年3月 -			y Pro	cultur
	No	Meets Specs	Other BN	Ma	gram	al Ve
	No /	Functional Equivalent	Other BMP Information	May 10. 2012	Agricu	ritication
	No	Almost: provides benefits, but needs work to meet specs	'n	12	Iture V	on Pro
	No, must check records to determin e	Installati on Date			Vorkg	tocol
	No, must Verification can be check same as Aerial records Remote Sensing to method or by visit determin to feach sife to collect and certify data Bench work ronging.		Verification Methodology		Chesapeake Bay Program Agriculture Workgroup (AgWG)	Draft Agricultural Verification Protocol Concept
	some		Verification Issues		/G)	
	The state of the s		Relative Benefit			
	Low		Relative Cost			
	0%/0% but 75/75 poperds on study	, Annual BMP/ Structural BMP	Data Confidence			
	0%/0%	Annual BMP/ Structural BMP	Data Credit			