# Evaluation of Producer Surveys to Identify and Inventory Agricultural Conservation Practices for the Bay Model

Jon Harcum Steve Dressing Tetra Tech, Inc.

Agriculture Workgroup Meeting March 15, 2018

## **Evaluation of Producer Surveys**

### PSU/DEP Conservation Practice Inventory

- Survey Population and Sample Size
- Surveys mailed to 20,0000 farms
- 6,782 surveys returned (34%)
- ~10% post-stratified sampling by county (n=710) for on-site verification

#### **Previous Presentations to AgWG**

#### March 2017

- Review of PSU/DEP study
- Add-on Tt Analyses

#### September 2017

• Update on developing recommendation report

#### February 2018

- Draft Recommendation Report
  March 2018
- Revised Recommendation Report

## AgWG decision from January 26, 2017

The AgWG approved the following proposed methodology for setting statistical confidence standards for BMPs submitted through alternative verification methods:

- Two-step process
- First step
  - Sample size greater than or equal to 20
  - False Alarm Rate (FAR) threshold of 0.2 or below (upper 90% confidence limit value)
  - Hit Rate (HR) threshold of 0.7 or greater (lower 90% confidence limit value)
- Second step
  - Correct for bias in the BMP quantity
  - Ratio of Post-Agreement Rate (PAG)/Hit Rate (HR) (lower 90% confidence limit value)

## Feb'18 Draft: Draft Two-Step Process

### First step:

- Only the results from producer surveys that include follow-up, independent verification using a stratified random sample of the returned mail surveys may be used.
- Any statistical adjustments made to the survey results only apply to the data set of returned surveys and cannot be used to extrapolate to non-respondents.
- Follow-up verification must be made using a 10 percent (or greater) random sample for each stratum (e.g., county) and a minimum of two (2) samples per BMP and stratum\*.
- The 90% confidence interval half-width cannot exceed the greater of 10% of the predicted total <u>or</u> 200 acres (or linear feet) for any state watershed-wide or stratum-specific estimate.

## Second step:

• Adjust the survey data based on field verification data.

\*Variability in agricultural systems across the survey area may indicate a need for more samples per stratum.

## AgWG Review and Comment

### AgWG draft report review:

- Two sets of comments were received
- Key comments
  - Pointed to a bit of professional judgement that would be difficult to build a consensus around.
  - Concern around "small numbers" component
- Response
  - Removed requirements related to half-width confidence limits
  - Removed "small numbers" component
  - Updated reported information to use the lower 90% confidence interval (or, if greater, the verified acreage)

## **Revised Two-Step Process**

## First step:

- Only the results from producer surveys that include follow-up, independent verification using a stratified random sample of the returned mail surveys may be used.
- Follow-up verification must be made using a 10 percent (or greater) random sample for each stratum (e.g., county) and a minimum of two (2) samples per BMP and stratum\*.
- Any statistical adjustments made to the survey results only apply to the data set of returned surveys and cannot be used to extrapolate to non-respondents.

### Second step:

• For reporting purposes, use the greater of the verified acreage or the lower 90% confidence interval determined by the approach taken to adjust survey data based on field verification.

\*Variability in agricultural systems across the survey area may indicate a need for more samples per stratum.