Feedback & Input for the Wastewater Treatment Workgroup

A Summary of WWTWG Member Interviews

December 1, 2020



Background (1 of 2): WWTWG Scope and Purpose

SCOPE

 "...to facilitate implementing regulatory approaches to wastewater treatment in the Bay watershed..."

PURPOSE

- Track and report wastewater data
- Identify and evaluate new wastewater treatment technologies
- Engage in peer-to-peer learning and provide a discussion forum
- Leverage resources
- Respond to Water Quality Goal Implementation Team



Background (2 of 2): Interviews

- Ten interviews of WWTWG members (all 6 states, plus other members)
- August to November, 2020
- Discussion themes:
 - History
 - Involvement
 - Issues/interests/concerns



Findings: Common Themes

- Varying backgrounds/tenure
- Limited personnel, time, and budgeting capacity
- Need for balance
 - Conversation vs. action
 - Level-of-effort vs. benefit
- What happens after 2025?
 - How will jurisdictions continue to hit required numbers?
- Has the WWTWG run its course?
- Technical and Planning topics (next pages)



Suggested **Technical** and Planning

- Biosolids
- Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs)
- Combined Sewer Overflows (CSOs)
- Septic data accuracy/loads
- Post-discharge treatment
- Focus on smaller plants
- Discharge data accuracy
- Peracetic acid (for disinfection)
- Climate change impacts on POTWs (resilience/adaptation)
- Local waterbodies (Benefits/BMPs) (other Expert Panels/Committee)
- Strategies with co-benefits (Chemical Workgroup)
- Infrastructure funding strategies
- Customer affordability
- Regulatory timelines vs. planning horizons for municipal infrastructure

Chesapeake Bay Program

- Copper Biotic Ligand Model (BLM) standards
- County of Maui v. Hawaii Wildlife Fund case

Other Topics

- Bay Program needs from WWTWG?
- Meeting frequency?

