Fish Habitat Action Team - Revisiting Group Priorities (June, 2021)

Group Priorities from 2019/2020:

- Assessment/decision support tool
 - Agreement on and consistent use of decision support tools at different spatial scales to focus on doing the most good for the most fish
 - Regional assessment with local scale case studies targeting high priority areas based on determined criteria
- Quantifying habitat
 - Models on relationship between fish and habitat
 - Emphasis on connection between ecosystem stressors and that people impact fish habitat
 - BMP selection and fisheries management incorporate fish habitat information provided by the FHAT
- Guide funding and resource allocation
 - Maximize where resources are directed and effectiveness of actions
 - Funders of conservation/restoration projects informed on prioritized areas to invest in
- Collaboration
 - Guide decision making across multiple outcomes (stream health, water quality, etc.)
 - Common collaborative approach to identify habitat needs and streamline improvement process
 - Collaborate with regional councils and partnerships
- Local government considerations
 - Localities recognize and incorporate fish habitat values in planning exercises
 - Local government recognizing important role to incorporate fish habitat in local watershed planning process

What is the value of the FHAT and how can our Action Team be more relevant:

- Informing management
 - Promote development of and incorporation of habitat based reference points in assessments
 - Developing products relating habitat to fish productivity-recruitment that can inform stock assessments and identify habitat objectives

New research

- Use habitat assessment work underway to develop indicators that can be used, reviewed each year to communicate habitat condition and inform fish management
- Prioritize new research to identify species assemblages specific to shallow-water habitat type
- Communications for localities
 - Development of communication strategies and products that can be presented to local governments to highlight importance of fish habitat and need to implement BMPs etc.
- USGS map of fish species occupancy in non-tidal waters could be used as a template for freshwater mussels at some point in the future.

What are other areas of focus for the FHAT moving forward:

- Quantifying ecosystem services from habitats of interest
 - Develop methods for monitoring fish response at fish habitat restoration projects (living shorelines, SAV restoration etc.)
 - Quantify success of Living Shoreline approaches in terms of ecosystem services (specifically provision of forage & juv. commercial fish sps.)
 - Quantifying how ecosystem services change as habitat changes to understand what restoration can address
 - Quantification of fish habitat use of key species in tidal wetlands/marshes -Climate Resiliency and Wetland Workgroups have project to quantify marsh loss and assess marsh migration - it would be helpful to also have a better sense of the fish habitat co-benefit (Julie Reichert-Nguyen)
- Habitat connections to other areas of importance
 - Hypoxia monitoring
 - Invasive species
 - Interactions with aquaculture
 - Better understanding of shifting fish communities due to the impacts of climate change. As species migrate North, does the bay have the health metrics necessary to support survival