GIT Work Plan and June GIT Priorities Surveys

Part 1:

1. (High) 1) Maintain sustainable population (i.e. between the abundance and exploitation targets and thresholds) by preparing and delivering the 2013 Blue Crab Advisory Report and convening the Fisheries GIT to discuss the report and adapt management approaches when necessary.

		Response Percent
Low		0.0%
Medium		0.0%
High		100.0%

2. (High) CBSAC will recommend male blue crab reference points to be adopted and implemented by the Fisheries GIT ExComm in 2013.

		Response Percent
Low		0.0%
Medium		0.0%
High		100.0%

3. (High) In order to make progress towards developing an jurisdictional blue crab allocation framework, we should begin preliminary discussions on a bay wide blue crab allocation process. This discussion could include consideration of preliminary or percentage based allocation for each jurisdiction possibly based off of annual landings.

		Response Percent
Low		0.0%
Medium		0.0%
High		100.0%

4. (High) A) Initiate discussions on interjurisdictional blue crab allocation process; and B) develop an interjurisdictional blue crab allocation framework complete with preliminary numbers for each jurisdiction.

		Response Percent
Low		0.0%
Medium		0.0%
High		100.0%

5. (High) Continue commercial accountability pilot project. Evaluate efficacy of accountability program in MD and consider application in VA and PRFC.

		Response Percent
Low		0.0%
Medium		0.0%

5. (High) Continue commercial accountability pilot project. Evaluate efficacy of accountability program in MD and consider application in VA and PRFC.

High		100.0%

6. (High) Fisheries GIT will continue to make progress on towards the EO oyster outcome to resotre 20 tributaries by 2025 by selecting priority tributaries and developing tributary specific restoration plans (blueprints) through the MD and VA interagency oyster teams.

		Response Percent
Low		0.0%
Medium		0.0%
High		100.0%

7. (High) The Fisheries GIT will define its role to promote aquaculture, address challenges to oyster restoration such as substrate limitation and permitting, and evaluate ways to improve wild oyster fishery management by considering reference points.

		Response Percent
Low		0.0%
Medium		50.0%
High		50.0%

8. Evaluate the use of diploids versus triploids in restoration efforts.

		Response Percent
Low		100.0%
Medium		0.0%
High		0.0%

Part 2:

1. (Unplaced) Identify a single tributary that by oyster metrics is seen as restored , and then quantify the ecosystem services and fishery benefits.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		100.0%	2
High		0.0%	0

2. (Unplaced) Educate land use decision makers about their impacts on oyster restoration projects.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		100.0%	2
High		0.0%	0

3. (High) Develop a draft statement on the possibility of using oysters in nutrient trading .

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		100.0%	2
High		0.0%	0

4. (Medium) Provide economic valuation and cost comparison for restoration (non-fished) versus the "put and take" restoration efforts.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		100.0%	2
High		0.0%	0

5. (Low) Cost per pound of nitrogen reduction. Use this opportunity to create smart land use decisions in the Harris Creek watershed. Make the connection where the restoration efforts are funded, underway, and successful.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		100.0%	2
High		0.0%	0

6. (Unplaced) Develop messaging campaign to provide legislators with quantifiable benefits for their constituents (What does $27 million get them? i.e. ecosystem services, nitrogen removal, fishery productivity, etc.).

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		50.0%	1
High		50.0%	1

7. (Unplaced) Research the documentation concerning post-Katrina oyster restoration.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		100.0%	2
Medium		0.0%	0
High		0.0%	0

8. (High) Establish a unified approach amongst jurisdictions for precautionary invasive catfish management.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		50.0%	1
High		50.0%	1

9. (Unplaced) Convene the Invasive Catfish Task Force to coordinate research findings and develop and present policy options/recommendations to implement the goals from the Invasive Catfish Policy Statement.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		0.0%	0
High		100.0%	2

Part 3:

1. (High) Develop integrated results with clear articulated (Bay-wide) goals for invasive catfish.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		50.0%	1
High		50.0%	1

2. (High) In June 2013, the Fisheries GIT should be prepared to address the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) determination on menhaden management. Consider implementation strategies based on ASMFC outcomes.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		100.0%	2
High		0.0%	0

3. (High) Continue to develop a land use and fisheries strategy that identifies and prioritizes a set of actions the Fisheries GIT will implement to improve the linkage between land use decisions and fisheries health and sustainability and educates planners and local citizens.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		50.0%	1
High		50.0%	1

4. (High) Develop a new shad indicator.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Low		0.0%	0
Medium		100.0%	2
High		0.0%	0