



Watershed Agreement Goal Revision Process Summary

1. Lead GIT proposes retirement, modification, or new goal.
2. MB, PSC, and EC, in turn, consider proposal and either:
 - a) Accept and forward to next group,
 - b) Return to preceding group with comments for revision, or
 - c) Reject and ends the process.
3. PSC must seek and consider public comment at least once prior to submitting to EC for consideration.



Watershed Agreement Outcome Revision Process Summary

1. Lead GIT proposes retirement, modification, or new Outcome.
2. MB, PSC, and EC, in turn, consider proposal and either:
 - a) Accept and forward to next group,
 - b) Return to preceding group with comments for revision, or
 - c) Reject and ends the process.
3. PSC must seek and consider public comment at least once.
4. If satisfied, the PSC may elect to either:
 - a) Accept the Outcome as Final and inform EC, or
 - b) Forward the Outcome to the EC for a final decision.



Information Required for Changes to Goals and Outcomes

Retired

- Justification and background
- Evidence of completion (reason for/logic behind retirement)
- Subsequent and/or complimentary Goal/Outcome needed?
- Disposition of indicator(s)?

Modified

- Justification and background
- Data to support modification
- Proposed wording
- Partner and resource implications
- Baseline and measures

New

- Justification and background
- Connection to 2014 WA logic
- Proposed wording
- Lead GIT/Workgroup
- Partner and resource implications
- Baseline and measures

List of Outcomes for Consideration of Changes Needed

Retire

- Blue Crab Management
- 2017 Watershed Implementation Plans

Modify

- Public Access Site Development (or new)
- Diversity
- Fish Passage
- SAV

New

- Public Access Site Development (or modify)