# Chesapeake Bay TMDL's 2017 Midpoint Assessment

# WQGIT Recommendations for Midpoint Assessment Schedule Adjustments

The purpose of this document is to lay out alternative revisions to the Midpoint Assessment schedule, particularly as it relates to the finalization of the Phase 6 modeling tools and the development of the Phase III Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs). The need for such revisions are due to delays in processing the Phase 6 land use dataset. Further details about these delays, as well as the role of the Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP) partnership in setting the Midpoint Assessment schedule, can be found on page 5 of this document.

The benefits of such schedule adjustments include protecting the integrity of the local review of the Phase 6 land cover/land use data and allowing a partnership review of the final calibrated Phase 6 Watershed Model (which was not built into the original partnership-approved Midpoint Assessment schedule and is something partners have requested).

These alternatives reflect feedback from the WQGIT, and representatives from the Modeling Workgroup and the Land Use Workgroup.

## **Points of Agreement Across All Alternatives**

- All data inputs (with the exception of Phase 6 land cover/land use data) will be due to the Modeling Workgroup by September 30, 2016.
- Final Phase 6 land cover/land use data will be completed and delivered to the Modeling Workgroup by December 1, 2016.
- A fatal flaw review period for the final Phase 6 Watershed Model should be built into the revised schedule. It is during this time that the partnership should achieve a better understanding of the Model's capabilities and limitations, particularly in the context of uncertainty.
- Partnership decisions are scheduled to be made in fall/winter 2016 on any additional load reductions needed because of Conowingo infill and climate change<sup>1</sup> based on the draft Phase 6 Watershed Model and Water Quality Sediment Transport Model (WQSTM).
- Adequate review time should be provided between the release of the draft and final Phase III WIP planning targets.
- The Modeling Workgroup needs three months to review the modeling data and run the calibration.
  - The Modeling Workgroup will begin the WQSTM calibration with final Phase 6 loads in April 2016, as well as the Chesapeake Bay (CB) hypoxia sensitivity scenarios of nitrogen and phosphorus loads from 16 major CB basins to support allocation decisions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By July 2016, the Modeling Workgroup will be able to implement a simulation system for Conowingo, including nutrient processing, high storm events, and changes in deposition as infill occurs. Linkages to the WQSTM will be made and scenarios will be available for early policy decisions in fall 2016. The Modeling team will also be able to generate climate change analyses by fall 2016 to inform early policy decisions within that same timeframe.

The Modeling Workgroup will begin key allocation scenarios of No Action, Limit of Technology,
 Progress Scenarios, Phase 2 WIPS, etc² in May 2016.

## **WQGIT Recommended Alternatives**

## **ALTERNATIVE 1**

Deliverable	Current Schedule	Revised Schedule
All Phase 6 data inputs due (except land use)	September 2016	No change – Sept 2016
Final Phase 6 land use dataset due	September 2016	December 2016
Final calibration of Phase 6 Model	October – December 2016	January – March 2017
Final recommendations on approaches for	March 2017	No change – March
establishing local area targets		2017
Partnership fatal-flaw review of final Phase 6	N/A	April-May 2017
Model		
Release of final Phase 6 Model	January 2017	June 2017
EPA releases draft <sup>3</sup> expectations for Phase III WIPs	January 2017	No change – Jan 2017
EPA releases final expectations for Phase III WIPs	June 2017	No change – June 2017
EPA releases draft <sup>4</sup> Phase III WIP Planning Targets	Spring 2017	July 2017 <sup>5</sup>
EPA releases final Phase III WIP Planning Targets	December 2017	No change – Dec 2017
Draft Phase III WIPs due to EPA	June 2018	No change – June 2018
Final Phase III WIPs due to EPA	December 2018	No change – Dec 2018

#### **Considerations for Alternative 1**

- Allows for a two-month fatal-flaw CBP partnership review of the Phase 6 Watershed Model. However, concerns have been expressed as to whether that is enough time to conduct the fatal flaw review.
- Allows five months between release of draft and final Phase III WIP expectations and planning targets for partnership review and feedback.
- The deadlines for the Phase III WIPs adhere to the original schedule.
  - o However, a request was made to release the draft Phase III WIP expectations prior to January 2017.
- Compresses the review of the draft targets by one month from the original schedule.
- The timing of the release of the draft Phase III WIP documents and the recommendations for local area targets may be premature, as both of these issues should be informed by understanding the Phase 6 Watershed Model's capabilities and limitations after the fatal flaw review period. It's essential that expectations for the Phase III WIPs and local area targets can be supported by the partnership's modeling tools.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Modeling Workgroup will begin running sensitivity scenarios in April 2016 and scoping scenarios such as the ones listed above in July 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The timing between the release of the draft and final Phase III WIP expectations document allows for CBP partnership review and comment of those draft expectations, and to recommend revisions that will inform the final set of expectations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The timing between the release of the draft and final Phase III WIP planning targets allows for CBP partnership review and comment of the draft targets, and to recommend revisions that will inform the final planning targets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Modeling team can have draft Phase III WIP planning targets available for partnership review one month after the finalization of the Phase 6 modeling tools. Policy decisions on Conowingo and climate change should have already been made, so those decisions will help inform allocation development.

• Some partners have requested that the development of the draft and final Phase III WIP expectations should be led by the partnership, and not by EPA.

## **ALTERNATIVE 2**

Deliverable	Current Schedule	Revised Schedule
All Phase 6 data inputs due (except land use)	September 2016	No change – Sept 2016
Final Phase 6 land use dataset due	September 2016	December 2016
Final calibration of Phase 6 Model	October – December 2016	January – March 2017
Final recommendations on approaches for	March 2017	No change – March
establishing local area targets		2017
Partnership fatal-flaw review of final Phase 6	N/A	April-May 2017
Model		
EPA releases draft Phase III WIP Planning Targets	Spring 2017	April 2017
Release of final Phase 6 Model	January 2017	June 2017
EPA releases draft expectations for Phase III WIPs	January 2017	No change – Jan 2017
EPA releases final expectations for Phase III WIPs	June 2017	No change – June 2017
EPA releases final Phase III WIP Planning Targets	December 2017	No change – Dec 2017
Draft Phase III WIPs due to EPA	June 2018	No change – June 2018
Final Phase III WIPs due to EPA	December 2018	No change – Dec 2018

## **Considerations for Alternative 2**

- This revised schedule reflects Alternative 1 with the exception of the release of the draft Phase III WIP
  planning targets. The draft Phase III planning targets would be released at the beginning of the fatal flaw
  review period of the Phase 6 Watershed Model (April 2017).
- Timing the release of the draft Phase III WIP planning targets with the fatal flaw review of the Phase 6
   Watershed Model may result in a more detailed review of BMP processes, current progress and sector load details.
  - O However, concerns were expressed about releasing the targets before identifying any fatal flaws that may change those targets. It would be difficult to communicate those changes to local stakeholders. Therefore, another recommendation would be to hold off on releasing the draft planning targets until after the fatal flaw review period of the Phase 6 Watershed Model.
- Concerns were expressed about including deadlines for the Phase III WIPs before decisions have been made
  on the final Phase 6 Watershed Model, potential development of local area targets, and the scope of the
  Phase III WIP expectations. Information from these decisions would inform the level of effort needed to
  achieve the jurisdictions' Phase III WIP goals.

#### **ALTERNATIVE 3**

Deliverable	Current Schedule	Revised Schedule
All Phase 6 data inputs due (except land use)	September 2016	No change – Sept 2016
Final Phase 6 land use dataset due	September 2016	December 2016
EPA releases draft expectations for Phase III WIPs	January 2017	No change – Jan 2017
Final calibration of Phase 6 Model	October – December 2016	January – March 2017
Final recommendations on approaches for	March 2017	No change – March
establishing local area targets		2017
Partnership review of draft final Phase 6 Model	N/A	April – June 2017
EPA releases final expectations for Phase III WIPs	June 2017	No change – June 2017

Release of final Phase 6 Model	January 2017	July 2017
EPA releases draft Phase III WIP Planning Targets	Spring 2017	August 2017
EPA releases final Phase III WIP Planning Targets	December 2017	February 2018
Draft Phase III WIPs due to EPA	June 2018	August 2018
Final Phase III WIPs due to EPA	December 2018	February 2019

#### **Considerations for Alternative 3**

- This revised schedule allows for a three month fatal-flaw review of the Phase 6 Watershed Model, and six months between the release of the draft and final Phase III WIP Planning Targets.
- The deadlines for the Phase III WIPs are delayed by two months to allow for one full year between release of
  the draft targets and when the draft Phase III WIPs are due, as well as a year between release of the final
  targets and when the final Phase III WIPs are due.
- Concerns were expressed that one year from the release of the final Phase III WIP planning targets to when
  the final Phase III WIPs are due is not enough time for state jurisdictions to meaningfully engage their local
  partners.

## Post May 9 WQGIT Discussion / Comment submitted by PADEP:

• Pennsylvania recommends reducing the amount of review time between the draft and final Phase III WIP planning targets so the deadline for the final Phase III WIPs can remain on the original schedule.

## Recommended changes\* to Alternative 3 (as proposed by PADEP)

Deliverable	Current Schedule	Revised Schedule
Release of final Phase 6 Model	January 2017	July 2017
EPA releases draft Phase III WIP Planning Targets	Spring 2017	August 2017
EPA releases final Phase III WIP Planning Targets	December 2017	No change – December
		2017
Draft Phase III WIPs due to EPA	June 2018	August 2018
Final Phase III WIPs due to EPA	December 2018	No change – December
		2018

<sup>\*</sup>Changes not reviewed or included in 5/9 WQGIT polling

## **ALTERNATIVE 4**

Deliverable	Current Schedule	Revised Schedule
All Phase 6 data inputs due (except land use)	September 2016	No change – Sept 2016
Final Phase 6 land use dataset due	September 2016	December 2016
EPA releases draft expectations for Phase III WIPs	January 2017	No change – Jan 2017
Final calibration of Phase 6 Model	October – December 2016	January – March 2017
Final recommendations on approaches for	March 2017	No change – March
establishing local area targets		2017
Partnership review of draft final Phase 6 Model	N/A	April – June 2017
EPA releases final expectations for Phase III WIPs	June 2017	February 2018
Release of final Phase 6 Model	January 2017	July 2017
EPA releases draft Phase III WIP Planning Targets	Spring 2017	August 2017
EPA releases final Phase III WIP Planning Targets	December 2017	February 2018
Draft Phase III WIPs due to EPA	June 2018	TBD

Final Phase III WIPs due to EPA	December 2018	TRD
Fillal Filase III WIFS due to EFA	December 2016	עסו

## **Considerations for Alternative 4**

- This revised schedule reflects Alternative 3 with the exception of the Phase III WIP deadlines (TBD).
- Partners expressed concerns about having deadlines for the Phase III WIPs prior to receiving expectations
  for those plans and the revised planning targets. Priority should be focused on finalizing the Phase 6 suite of
  modeling tools and defining the Phase III WIP expectations.
  - Some partners recommended establishing the Phase III WIP deadlines once the final Phase III WIP
    expectations document and final planning targets are released.
  - Concerns were expressed about how the Bay TMDL and WIP goals would be achieved if no deadlines were in place for the Phase III WIPs.

## Background & Current Status of the Midpoint Assessment & Phase III WIP Schedule

- In October 2012, the WQGIT established the priorities for the Bay TMDL's Midpoint Assessment (MPA) and agreed that the associated deadlines for these priorities would follow the Phase 6 model calibration schedule. The PSC approved the MPA guiding principles, priorities and schedule in December 2012.
  - Currently, the final calibration of the Phase 6 Watershed Model is scheduled from October 2016 –
     December 2016, with the release of the final model in January 2017.
  - The beta version of the Phase 6 Watershed Model was released for a one-year partnership review in January 2016. This type of review of the modeling tools is unprecedented in Bay Program history.
    - Other beta versions will be released to account for any updated information. These release dates include April 2016, July 2016, and October 2016.
    - Each beta version released to the partnership provides a stronger sense of what the final version of the model will be.
  - All information (e.g. BMP panel recommendations, final land use data inputs) are due to the CBP modeling team by September 2016 for the final calibration run.
- The Phase 6 calibration schedule is especially critical to work underway for Phase 6 land use, the BMP expert panels, and how Conowingo and climate change are to be modeled in the Phase 6 decision support tools.
- The Phase III WIP schedule was developed based on this calibration schedule, to allow time for the Bay watershed jurisdictions to develop their Phase III WIPs that considers the new science, data, and tool refinements as part of the MPA.
  - EPA releases final expectations for Phase III WIPs in June 2017 (6 months after Phase 6 models are final).
  - EPA releases the final Phase III WIP planning targets in December 2017 (one year after Phase 6 models are final).
  - Draft Phase III WIPs are due to EPA in June 2018 (18 months after Phase 6 models are final; 6 months after Phase III WIP planning targets available).
  - o Final Phase III WIPs are due to EPA in December 2018 (two years after Phase 6 models are final; one year after Phase III WIP planning targets available).

## Delays to Midpoint Assessment Schedule

- Schedule adjustments are needed due to delays in the finalization of the Phase 6 land use dataset:
  - The three contractors (Chesapeake Conservancy, University of Vermont, and Worldview Solutions) responsible for the collection of the high resolution land cover data are behind schedule. They are also experiencing challenges in accuracy with their preliminary data products.

- New wetlands data and tree canopy data won't be available and submitted to the CBPO Land Use Team until the end of July.
- The CBPO Land Use Team expects to receive all of Virginia's high resolution land cover data in June, as opposed to receiving this data on a rolling basis in the spring 2016 time period.
- Therefore, the team has less than three months to process and finalize the Phase 6 land use data set.
- All of these delays could compress the local review of this data, which is an essential component to building local credibility and confidence in the Phase 6 modeling tools.
- <u>BOTTOM LINE:</u> More time specifically, three months is needed to review, process, and finalize the Phase 6 land use dataset. <u>However, the September 30, 2016 deadline for all other data inputs (e.g. BMP Expert Panel recommendations) remains.</u>

May 9 WQGIT Polling Results for Revised Midpoint Assessment and Phase III WIP Schedule Alternatives

Which alternative is your first preference? Option 1 – 15% (2) Option 2 – 38% (5) Option 3 - 32% (4) Option 4 - 15% (2) Which alternative is your second preference? Option 1 - 15% (2) Option 2 – 39% (5) Option 3 - 31% (4) Option 4 - 15% (2) Which alternative to the proposed schedule can you NOT support? Option 1 - 46% (6) Option 2 – 8% (1) Option 3 - 0% (0) Option 4 – 38% (5) I can live with any - 16% (2)