Nutrient Management Task Force (NMTF)

Process & Product

December 14, 2015

Charge to the NM TF

- Develop a "cross walk" between states' nutrient management programs and the adopted Ph 5.3.2 NM tier definitions
- ID information/documentation states will use to determine reported acres
- Links to supporting documentation
- Compliance information for each program.
 - Compliance defined as an acre fully meeting the definition and required elements of the NM tier (p. 61-62 of NM Panel report)

What we learned

- "Crosswalk" template, as a tool, successfully consolidated information sought by the Partnership.
- Crosswalk allows the partnership to see the clear differences in state programs
- Overarching comments
 - 590 Clarification
 - Level of confidence around compliance field v. paper assessment
 - Tier 1 and 2 requiring ALL elements
 - Identification for continued improvements
- State specific comments

Quick look at current crosswalk

- All states: Identified their ag programs and the NM Tier they equate to.
- All states: Reporting Tier 2 acres for the first time.
- A few states: Reporting Tier 3 acres for the first time.
- A couple of states: Plan to report additional acres in future, but not this progress year (2015).
- The compliance levels pertain to the acres eligible under each state program, e.g.:
 - A high compliance rate may be cited, but a smaller universe of acres may be eligible
 - A lower compliance rate cited may correspond to a higher level of eligible acres.

Quick look at current crosswalk*

Level®bf®Compliance®by®rogram®

		Level ad lacompilative aby a rogiania		
⁵ state?	Program ²	Tier 1 1	Tier 2 2	Tier ®
DE?	199NMalaw?	>85%	65%?	_
DE?	223NMPlanCostShare2	>85%	65%?	
DE?	333Manure Relocation 2	>85%	65%	
MD?	133NMIbnIPasture,3Vegetable,3brIContainer3Nursery2	62-73%		
MD?	223NMIDnICropland2	62-73%	62-73%	
MD?	3211Enhanced 11Decision 12Ag 12bn 13Cropland 12	62-73%	62-73%	62-73%
NY?	123CAFO3GP2	95%	95%[95%
NY?	223AEM2	95%	95%[95%
NY②	3PINRCSI QIP/CSPP	95%	95%	95%
PA?	123Chpt292A3CAFO2	95%	95%?	
PA?	222Act383NM3CAO2	95%	95%?	
PA?	3PICNMPsP	95%	95%?	
PA?	423MMP3AO?	NA@n@015@		
PA?	523NRCS55902	95%	95%?	
PA?	633NutrientBalanceSheet2	95%?		
VA?	133Ag3NMP?	91%	65%	100%
VA?	233SPrecisionAg2	NA@n@015@	NA@n@2015@	NA@n@015@
WV?	133AgCertified3NMPlanning3	<u>}</u> [<u>.</u>	
WV?	23CAFOTT	<u>}</u> [5	<u>.</u>	

^{*}NOTE: compliance levels are for acres that are eligible under each state program

Schedule

- December: States will finalize submissions.
 - States with insufficient data will provide more documentation to justify submissions, or adjust state-reported acres downward.
- January: EPA will make any necessary adjustments.
 - EPA will finalize and implement a methodology for adjusting any state-reported acres, if states are unable to provide sufficient documentation or adjust state-reported acres downward.
 - EPA will continue to work with the Ag Workgroup as it develops and implements its methodology.
- February: States will work with CBPO modelers to finalize NEIEN data submissions to ensure consistency with crosswalks.
- Post-February: CBPO modelers will conduct the 2015 progress run.