# Update on Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Expert Review Panel

July 10, 2012

### **Overall**

- Continuing with monthly calls
  - Occasional sub panel calls
    - Exsitu, Soils, Concentration
- Completed panel interviews and summary
- Making progress towards the end goal of developing reduction recommendations

### **Exsitu Subpanel**

- Looking at 3 focus topics: Attached Growth, Suspended Growth, and Other
- Tt sent out exsitu white paper
- Subpanel will review state alternative system approval process programs and provide comments and ultimately integrate into panel recommendations, if necessary

## **Soils Subpanel**

- Soil-site subpanel integration
- Looking at 5 focus topics: Dispersal Configuration, Soil Type/Series, Groundwater Interaction, Vegetation/ET, PRB
- Tt sent out combined soil/siting white paper
- Discussion regarding reducing the number of soil types in Bay watershed to something more manageable for the model.
- Discuss developing the PRB recommendation paper

## **Concentrations Subpanel**

- At May 2012 call there was a desire to review concentrations coming from septics
- Panel looking at where the baseline concentration for septics in model was derived and potential recommendation for updating the information.
- Ning has provided model documentation
- Tetra Tech developing white paper to assist panel

### **Proposed BMP Evaluation Spreadsheet**

## Two approaches

- Scoring Approach framework is based on semi-quantitative assessment of variables that are expected to contribute to TN removal in onsite systems.
- Matrix Approach framework is based on assigning percentage TN removals to various system characteristics.
- Panelists review BMP evaluation spreadsheet and provide feedback on which approach (matrix vs. scoring) is preferred
  - Matrix is preferred based on full group discussion
- Panelists provide feedback on the structure of the matrix and the categories of treatment systems in matrix

# **Matrix Approach—DRAFT Example**

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
1	Exsitu (pre) Treatment Type	_		_			_	
2		% reduction	mg/l					
3	Septic tank effluent TN		40					
4								
5	Submerged constructed wetlands	15%	34					
6	Single pass filters	30%	28					
7	Suspended growth ATU	30%	28					
8	Recirculating media filter (RMF)	50%	20					
9	RMF with denite design	70%	12					
10	Pretreatment with denitrification-driving compound addition	90%	4					
11								
12								
13	Soil Treatment Unit Characteristics							
14	For Pre-Nitrified Effluent	Coarse Tex	tured Soils	Medium Text	tured Soils	Fine Textu	red Soils	
15		% reduction	mg/l	% reduction	mg/l	% reduction	mg/l	
16	Exsitu effluent TN		28		28		28	
17								
18	Gravity distribution	15%	23.8	30%	19.6	40%	16.8	
19	Pressurized distribution (e.g., low pressure pipe)	20%	22.4	40%	16.8	60%	11.2	
20	Full coverage pressurized distribution (e.g., spray, drip)	25%	21	50%	14	80%	5.6	
21								
22	Un-Nitrified Effluent	Coarse Textured Soils		Medium Textured Soils		Fine Textured Soils		
23		% reduction	mg/l	% reduction	mg/l	% reduction	mg/l	
24	Exsitu effluent TN		40		40		40	
25								
26	Gravity Distribution	10%	25.2	20%	22.4	30%	19.6	
27	Pressurized Distribution (e.g., low pressure pipe)	15%	23.8	30%	19.6	50%	14	
28	Full coverage pressurized distribution (e.g., spray, drip)	20%	22.4	40%	16.8	70%	8.4	
29								
30	* Assumes sufficient soil organic matter; decrease	removal per	centages b	y half for insi	ufficient or	ganic matter		
31	* Assumes that sufficient anoxic conditions exist i	n the STU; do	ecrease rer	noval percen	tages by ha	alf for insuffic	ient anoxic	conditions
32	* For systems with shallow placed dispersal and m	anaged vege	tation, mul	tiply removal	percentage	es by 1.5		
33								
34								
35								
36								
l4 →	Matrix approach   Matrix approach (e	xample) 🦯	Scoring app	proach 🦯 🤉	Scoring app	r(I] 4		IIII
D -	. J							