# HOW WELL HAS THE INTEGRATION OF SSRF INTO SRS WORKED?

WHAT HAVE YOU FOUND MOST USEFUL ABOUT SSRF?

Identifying and discussing science needs

Prioritization of science needs instead of long laundry lists

One landing place for science needs to see what can be combined, etc

Assistance with articulating needs, collection and organization, STAR support...hopefully leading to filling the gaps!

Thinking about and discussing specific science needs; having them compiled in one place.

Bringing science needs to the research community; science needs database

Levels the playing field for all outcomes to articulate their needs, and not have to search for a rainmaker to fund

Structure that allows us to refer to alist for other program elements such as GIT Funding

Stimulating goal teams to think about science needs

19

WHAT HAVE YOU FOUND MOST USEFUL ABOUT SSRF?

Being able to look at the science needs for other outcomes to see where there are synergies

Landscape view of all needs helps give perspective and see connections

Modeling folks are using it!

We have a ready to go wish list for funders

Ongoing science to help guide restoration instead of "We know enough, we just need to do it"

Helps keep the program adaptive

Potentially connecting new science providers with the CBP.

Provide contact for each science need.

Additional assistance to be more strategic in how we incorporate science needs into the database- ensuring the needs are actually scientific, there is adequate detail, consistency in how needs are being conveyed, etc.

19

WHAT HAVE YOU FOUND MOST USEFUL ABOUT SSRF?

Draft as output from SRS Quarterly then final after L&A comment period

WHAT IMPROVEMENTS WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE IN THE SSRF PROCESS?

Denise's idea! (categorize the needs)

An improvement would be for Emily to NOT go to ORD

Connect needs to potential fillers!

More specificity in articulation of needs, indication of urgency

Need to get STAC more involved

Mimic STACs SPURR approach to articulating needs

It would be great to have some small grants to be used as seed money to kickstart high priority science needs

Better communicate needs to potential scientific providers. Increase the number of science providers so expand CBP science capacity

Engage STAC while developing list of science needs, not after

15

WHAT IMPROVEMENTS WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE IN THE SSRF PROCESS?

Detailed elucidation of the science needs to help target resources more effectively

Obtain feedback from resource managers as to their usage

More assistance with improving how science needs are being incorporated into the database- ensuring the needs are actually science needs, there is enough detail for scientists to work with, greater consistency, etc.

Reach out to Ches Bay Trust, SeaGrants, private foundations to stimulate funding tied to science needs

Need a better acronym than SSRF

Better integration of social science

WHEN IN THE SRS PROCESS DO COHORTS FEEL THEIR SCIENCE NEEDS ARE "FINALIZED" TO BE PUT IN THE DATABASE?

Post SRS STAR meeting

Same time the Logic and Action plan is finalized

It seems like after the Management Bd gives the final check-off

Think there needs to be an additional review step by a dedicated group or staff person after the post SRS STAR meeting

4

ARE YOU USING SSRF TO TARGET PROJECTS, GENERATE PROPOSALS, OR LAUNCH DISCUSSIONS WITH POTENTIAL PARTNERS TO FULFILL YOUR SCIENCE AND RESEARCH NEEDS?

5

Yes	No
5	0

5