Status and Trends Workgroup: 2016-2017 Workplan

Under the Scientific, Technical Assessment and Reporting (STAR) Team of CBPO

The Indicators Framework Action Team conducted work in 2015 culminating in a revised <u>Indicators Framework</u>, Indicator Management Process, and a recommendation to re-convene the Status and Trends workgroup under STAR to evaluate our indicator and information needs to support adaptively managing and communicating our progress toward the Bay Watershed Agreement. These findings were approved by the Management Board in November 2015. The following outlines the mission, key actions, and incremental next steps of this workgroup.

Mission

Enforce the integrity of the Indicators Framework and staff the indicators management process. Work with the Goal Implementation Teams to identify information needed to adaptively manage achievement of goals and outcomes and communicate our work. Ensure up-to-date indicators for Partnership products and foster cross-outcome collaboration.

Scope of Work

- Implement the Indicator Framework
- Develop clearly defined criteria to maintain integrity of Indicator Framework
- Identify indicator gaps using the Framework
- Ensure indicators link directly to Agreement outcomes using the Indicator Framework
- Discuss and vet ideas for new indicators
- Offer guidance to GIT coordinators and staffers seeking to develop new indicators
- Develop new indicators as needed (this includes supporting efforts currently underway)
- Manage the process of sunsetting old indicators
- Ensure up-to-date indicator Analysis & Methods documentation
- Recognize links among existing indicators: use framework to identify how some indicators provide information that supports multiple outcomes (e.g., performance indicators for one outcome may be an influencing factor indicator for another outcome).

Partners of the Workgroup

Indicators Coordinator: staffs the Status & Trends workgroup and facilitates the indicators management process.

STAC: uses its reach of scientific and technical experts to address Partnership information needs and advise on adaptive management.

STAR: showcase GIT needs and provide input on workplan of the Status & Trends workgroup. Provide connection to other related workgroups, such as Integrated Monitoring Networks workgroup.

Key Actions

*Note: Items with an asterisk indicate that taking the action is contingent upon group discussion and consensus that determines the action would be helpful or necessary.

Completing the Indicator Framework: Indicator Needs Assessment

Action	Timeframe	Lead	Completed
Action		Ledu	Completed
	(Calendar Year)		
Define the Universe			
 Identify which outcomes in the Agreement are measurable (and 			May Coordinators &
which are not)			Staffers
			June Status &
Come to agreement on treatment of these different parts			
			Trends
Engage STAC in Prioritization Process			
 Establish criteria needed to prioritize indicator acquisition in light of 	TBD		
adaptive management needs—with which indicators should we start			
filling in gaps?			
	TBD		
Develop STAC workshop proposal if needed*	עסו		
Create Program Examples of Indicator Framework in Action	1	1	
 Select subset of Outcomes where a completed Indicator Framework 	Q2 2017		
could act as an example across the Program			
Identify existing or nonexistent indicators or metrics to complete the	Q2 2017		
Indicator Framework for that subset of Outcomes	Q2 2017		
Formulate Prioritized List of Indicators Needed	T	1	
 Work with GITs to identify needed indicators of all types 	Q2 2017		
 Analyze for commonalities among needs for Factors Influencing 	Q2 2017		
indicators			
Consider whether filling information gaps (a) is possible and (b)	Q4 2017		
	Q+ 2017		
would support adaptive management			

Develop overview of current indicators (number) and needed	Q4 2017
indicators (number and status) for Program to practice adaptive	
management, including	
 Performance indicators 	
 Factors Influencing indicators 	
 Output indicators (workplan activities) 	
Present on information needs as necessary	ongoing

Supporting Indicator Development

Action	Timeframe	Lead	Completed
	(Calendar Year)		
Develop guiding questions to assist GITs in developing indicators in each of	Q4 2016		
the categories of the Indicator Framework*			
Confirm and codify plans related to development of any revised/new	Ongoing		
indicators, including			
Environmental Literacy (3 indicators, 1 per Outcome)	Q4 2016		
Diversity	Q4 2016		
Stream Health	Q4 2016		
Tree Canopy	Q4 2016		
Oysters	Q1 2017		
 Stewardship 	Ongoing		
Climate Resiliency	Ongoing		
Local Leadership	Ongoing		
Toxic Contaminants Policy and Prevention	Ongoing		
 (other indicators to be added as needs are identified) 	Ongoing		
Work with Communications team to develop progress statements for	Q2 2017		
nonmeasurable parts of Outcomes. Determine structure for assessing			
progress on these actions.			

Apply the Indicator Framework to Existing Indicator Suite

Action	Timeframe	Lead	Completed
	(Calendar Year)		

Align Current Indicators to Indicator Framework	
 Identify current indicators not directly linked to Outcome 	Q2 2017
Identify current indicators that require other adaptation support	Q3 2017
Work with GIT Coordinators to suggest changes or alternatives that	Q3 2017
connect directly to the Outcome via the Indicator Framework	
Identify indicators that are no longer needed or relevant	Q3 2017
Work with GITs to sunset these indicators or transition them to other	Q4 2017
CBP publications	
Connect Indicators to Biennial Review Process	
 Facilitate use of existing indicators to make determinations about 	Beginning Q1
progress	2017 - ongoing
Encourage use of indicators in adaptive management through	
biennial review process	
 Encourage use of the Indicator Framework to identify other 	
information needs	
 Work with information needs identified in biennial review process to 	
determine if indicator is needed and, if so, guide GIT through	
indicator development process	
Help GITs prepare for biennial reviews	
 Frame biennial review process as an opportunity to gauge Outputs 	
(workplan activities) and their relationship to achievement of the	
overall Outcome	