Summary of Recommended MS Actions To Date

For Discussion 12-4-14

A. Increase Financial Assistance

- 1. Increase incentives for riparian forest buffer, e.g.:
 - a. Update/increase marginal pastureland and cropland rental rates
 - b. Remove payment caps for: (1) water development, (2) water development, (3) water facilities, (4) stream crossing
 - c. Develop awards program
 - d. Provide Special Incentive Payments if certain environmental targets are achieved Oregon CREP Model
- 2. Link EQIP/CSP eligibility (additional ranking points) to having RFBs
 - a. EQIP could do more to leverage the implementation of riparian forest buffers through CREP in application rankings. This limits the ability to leverage funding and provide a substantial incentive for riparian forest buffers.
 - b. Improve CSP structure to support forest buffers
- 3. Remove payment caps for: (1) water development, (2) water development, (3) water facilities, (4) stream crossing
- 4. Utilize State/local/private easement to provide long-term resource protection

B. Improve Technical Assistance

- 1. Improve staffing to provide better technical assistance for riparian forest buffers and related practices
 - a) NRCS often has limited funds made available through the Farm Service Agency, but there is not a way for the FSA to directly contract with technical assistance providers
 - b) Local level leadership should prioritize TA for riparian forest buffers ---counties that prioritize riparian forest buffers have more success than those that do not
 - c) State Farm Service Agency and NRCS offices lack outcome-based performance measures to assess success (e.g., miles and acres of riparian forest buffer established)
 - d) Develop "team" of experts--- could be circuit riders shared among counties—train knowledgeable of opportunities and program requirements. Provide materials (posters, pamphlets, question and answers, etc)
 - e) More training for technical service providers, land trusts, and other partners on the importance of riparian forest buffers, assessment of the costs/benefits forest buffers for landowners, and marketing strategies.

2. Customer service

- a) Application process needs to be streamlined especially for offices where partners are not co-located. Agencies that are working together with a streamlined process have more success than those that do not.
- b) Landowners do not always have a point-of-contact that they can rely on for guidance for the life of the contract
- c) Conduct annual status reviews

- d) Involve landowner during all stages of the planning process
- e) Certify all practice performance
- f) Develop network of providers to deliver full services to producers -(construct fence, water facilities, stream crossing, site prep, tree planting, and maintenance
- g) Work and share more information with the contractors

C. Improve Outreach

- 1. Simplify program communication to "plain English"
- 2. Develop and maintain a database of potential clients -use the data base to target outreach efforts use GIS data
 - a) Provide additional resources (databases) to the State to target outreach efforts
 - b) Train staff on outreach marketing opportunities and program requirements. Provide staff outreach materials (posters, pamphlets, question and answers, etc)
- 3. Develop marketing platform
 - a) Develop a vibrant WEB presence with updated program information and "one-stop shop" website
 - b) Have USDA/ERS mine data on existing enrollment to better understand the demographics of CRP participation and their operations
 - c) Develop demographic media materials (dairy vs. grain producer, older vs. new farmer, tenant vs. absentee landowner, etc.)
 - d) Conduct focus groups and do other analysis to better understand how to market the program
 - e) Develop a State outreach committee comprised of major program participants
 - f) Identify and conduct RFB farm tours
 - g) Include Agroforestry message into the marketing
 - h) Explore the use of Public Service Announcements
 - i) Develop RFB signage that denotes RFBs to the general public
- 4. Improve outreach through partners/programs
 - a) Use one-on-one outreach efforts through the use of staff with good backgrounds of buffers and good marketing skills
 - b) Seek to increase role of partners in outreach, particularly groups that have a huge mailing list such as Farm Bureau
 - c) Work with State Agencies to cross-sell RFB enrollments when discussing Ag certainty.
 - d) Explore outreach possibilities with partners with successful RCPP
 - e) Deliver consistent message to producers, from multiple sources
- 5. Develop a 1-800-CREP hotline

D. Improve Establishment, Maintenance, Compliance, Re-enrollment

- 1. Establishment
- a) Successful establishment of a riparian forest buffer requires long-term maintenance. Fields of leaning or downed tree-tubes and other signs of failure discourage landowners from enrolling in programs.

- b) Incentives for establishment are inadequate and need to begin before planting and occur for at least five years
- c) Consider new approaches and research of deer fencing, increased herbicide applications, specialized crews for establishment/maintenance

2. Maintenance

- a) Given limited incentives, landowners are often stuck with maintenance issues (e.g. invasive species, tree shelters, loss due to flooding, etc.) after the first couple of years after planting
- b) Ensure that those responsible get a "cradle to grave" understanding of the maintenance requirements.
- c) Provide extensive review of contract maintenance requirements and review maintenance requirements though out the contract
- d) Seek higher maintenance rates (see financial assistance)
- e) Streamline the weed control process (number of field visits)
- 3. Compliance
- a) Conduct practice certification on all practices.
- b) Increase annual (in-field) status reviews
- c) Seek flexibility to re-enroll/upgrade non-compliant CP-21s (grass filter strips—that have trees) to be enrolled as a CP22. Provide one-time amnesty.
- d) Seek additional flexibility in maintenance requirements for CP-21 to allow some natural regeneration (need to talk with wildlife community)
- 4. Re-enrollment
 - a) Prioritize technical assistance resources to expiring CP-22s
 - b) Many CREP contracts are set to expire in the next few years and lack the outreach and technical assistance and changing crop prices could lead to a decline in the area of riparian forest buffers
 - c) Grass buffer contracts that have naturally regenerated to forest are unable to reenroll into a forest buffer contract.

E. Program/Policy/Leadership Actions

- 1. Expand the acreage cap of the CREP
- 2. Allow for flexibility to pay partial Practice Incentive Payments (PIPs)
- 3. Allow for flexibility to raise payment caps for livestock crossing, water development, fencing, etc. (see above)
- 4. Expand the establishment period for RFBs from 2 years to 3-4 years.
- 5. Provide flexibility on marginal pastureland eligibility determinations.
- 6. Flexibility to allow simultaneous enrollment in RFB in CREP and stream bank stabilization in EQIP or to reward more ranking points for EQIP offers that have RFBs.
- 7. Contract out Maryland FSA could contract out with certified TSP to do the work.
- 8. Modify the design for CP22 standard to permit a grass strip adjacent to the drainage ditch in order to permit periodic maintenance activities of the drainage district.
- 9. Provide better accounting of current RFB activity including NRCS and State programs.

- 10. Farm Service Agency and NRCS goals should reflect state WIP targets.
- 11. Lack of coordination with other federal, state, and private conservation funding programs on how investments can be leveraged.

F. Conservation

- 1. Revive easement programs at state level;
- 2. Utilize state/local/private easement to provide long-term resource protection

G. Increase Use of Targeting

- 1. Re-enrollments
- 2. Geographic
 - i) --for water quality
 - ii) --for habitat
 - iii) --lots of new tools/data to use
- 3. Demographic
 - i) --use market research when developed
 - ii) --pastures and larger farms with streams