GIT 6 Membership Criteria Justification
Feb 25, 2019 DRAFT

GIT 6 proposes the following four membership criteria to the Management Board for acceptance. Justification is provided in those cases in which the proposed criteria differ from those recommended on page 12 of the CBP Governance Document (Version 3.0).

- **Members from each signatory (one each with an alternate identified = 9):** No change from Governance Document

- **At-Large Members (up to 8):** Governance Document recommends up to 6 At-Large Members. GIT 6 recommends up to 8 At-Large Members to adequately represent the diversity of subject matter experts we feel necessary to fulfill our mission. Current At-Large positions include:
    - Coordinators Team Lead
    - SRS Coordinator
    - ChesapeakeStat Team Lead
    - Cross-Program Coordinator
    - Federal Agency (non-EPA) Representative
    - Adaptive Management Expert
    - Communications Expert
    - Organizational Development Expert

- **Chair of each GIT 6 Workgroup (2):** Governance Document recommends that a member from each GIT Workgroup be invited to GIT meetings as an advisor. GIT 6 recommends that the Chairs of the 2 GIT Workgroups serve as full GIT members to ensure greater involvement and cooperation between the GIT and its Workgroups.

- **One member each from the Local Government, Scientific & Technical, and Citizen's Advisory committees (3):** Governance Document recommends that a member from each Advisory committee be invited to GIT meetings as an advisor. GIT 6 recommends that a representative from each advisory committee serve as full voting members of GIT 6 in light of GIT 6's roles in Local Leadership Outcome, adaptive management, and overall governance of the partnership.

As recommended in the Governance Document, GIT 6 will strive to select a diversity of members that are broad and inclusive, have a high level of commitment, and represent as a wide as possible suite of skills and perspectives.