

# STAC REVIEW OF INVASIVE CATFISH TASK FORCE REPORT

Fisheries GIT Meeting  
December 3, 2014  
Bruce Vogt, NOAA



**Chesapeake Bay Program**  
*Science. Restoration. Partnership.*

# TIMELINE IN REVIEW

January  
2012: Task  
Force  
Established

2012-13:  
Research  
for and  
drafting of  
Report

February  
2014: Task  
Force  
produces  
draft  
report/recs

March-April  
2014: Task  
Force  
requests  
STAC  
Review

November  
2014: STAC  
Releases  
Review

# STAC COMMENTS

## Charge to STAC:

Provide comments on the technical feasibility, reasonableness, likelihood of success, and potential unintended effects of the Task Force's recommendations...

# STAC COMMENTS

**2 lead STAC  
Reviewers**

**+**

**5 External  
Reviewers**



Due to a high level of uncertainty, development of a comprehensive management plan should be considered to promote accountability, evaluation of control techniques, and prioritize resources.

Information gaps include: population size, movement, and distribution; minimum removal rates needed, gear effectiveness; and contaminant levels.

# RECOMMENDATION #1

**Design and implement targeted fishery-independent removals of invasive catfish in places of significant ecological value.**

## STAC Comments

Further evaluate:

- 1) Gear effectiveness and impacts on invasive and native catfish populations
- 2) Methodology for prioritizing removal sites
- 3) Long-term resource availability
- 4) Strategies to evaluate success

# RECOMMENDATION #2

**Incentivize and accelerate efforts to develop a large-scale commercial fishery with coordination across jurisdictions.**

## STAC Comments

Need to consider possible long-term limitations:

- 1) Contaminant burdens for consumption
- 2) Impacts of preserving the large, fecund fish for trophy fishery
- 3) Population responses to fishing pressure
- 4) Reverse incentivization to maintain populations to support fishery
- 5) Negative impacts on native species

# RECOMMENDATION #3

**Incentivize increased harvests of invasive catfishes by small boat operations and explore the use of electrofishing for commercial harvest purposes.**

## STAC Comments

Further evaluate:

- 1) Gear effectiveness and impacts on non-target species
- 2) Fish contaminant issues
- 3) Sustainable fishery possible?

# RECOMMENDATION #4

**Establish monitoring programs dedicated to identifying and tracking invasive catfish distributions and population status. Develop early detection and response programs to monitor ecologically significant areas.**

## STAC Comments

New monitoring efforts could be compromised by lack of funding. Leverage existing monitoring efforts.



# RECOMMENDATION #5

**Consideration of the effectiveness of existing barriers to invasive catfish spread and an assessment of the benefits of barrier removal weighed against the risk of invasive catfish expansion.**

## STAC Comments

Success is contingent on agreement by groups with conflicting goals, a risk-benefit assessment, and efficacy of barriers preventing invasive catfish spread.

# RECOMMENDATION #6

**Cross-jurisdictional review of current fishing policies and regulations to evaluate their effectiveness in preventing persistence and further expansion of invasive catfish populations.**

## STAC Comments

This review is important and necessary. The purpose of this review should be clarified and should bring all stakeholders to the table.

# RECOMMENDATION #7

**Make information on invasive catfishes more accessible, consistent, and clearer to anglers and the general public.**

## STAC Comments

An adaptive approach to outreach is needed and outreach should be linked to ongoing research.

# ADDITIONAL STAC RECOMMENDATIONS

- Preventative measures
- List of research needs with clear plan to address them
- Restoration action to rebuild native populations following control methods

# NEXT STEPS

**December 2-3rd, 2014:** Present plans to full GIT

**January 7th, 2015:** Final response letter sent to STAC from Nick DiPasquale as CBP Director. Acknowledge and thank STAC for review and describe next steps.

**Mid-January through February 2015:** Small groups will work to modify specific parts/recommendations from the report.

**March 2015:** Full Task Force review and finalize report modifications and send to Fisheries GIT.