# Chesapeake Bay TMDL Midpoint Assessment: Principles, Priorities and Next Steps

Presentation for STAR November 5, 2012

Larry Merrill, Chair, and Katherine Antos, Coordinator Water Quality Goal Implementation Team

### What is the Midpoint Assessment?

- Review and incorporation of the latest science, data, tools, BMPs and lessons-learned to determine whether Partnership's strategies will result in all practices in place by 2025 necessary to attain water quality standards in the Bay
- Findings from Midpoint Assessment may help jurisdictions prepare Phase III WIPs, which will guide milestones and implementation from 2018-2025
- With PSC endorsement, WQGIT will decide on specific priorities and work to be done as part of assessment

#### Additional Clarification

- Midpoint assessment will <u>not</u> interfere with implementation
- TMDL allocations will remain in place through at least 2017
- Future changes to targets and allocations are a decision point, not a presumption. After midpoint assessment complete, including any changes to decision support tools:
  - EPA will work with Partnership to set Phase III WIP planning targets.
    Note level of effort could increase.
  - Based on midpoint assessment and Phase III WIPs, EPA will determine whether to modify Bay TMDL
- To extent possible, enhancements in data and monitoring that are part of midpoint assessment will inform milestone and WIP implementation and reporting leading up to 2017
  - Data and monitoring that require recalibrating the model will not be used for tracking and reporting until after 2017, to avoid "paper only" decreases in pollution loads
  - Bottom line: Only credit actions that actually benefit water quality

#### **Moving Forward on Parallel Tracks**

2010

#### Implementing 60% by 2017

- 2010: Phase I WIPs
- 2011 & 2012: Phase II WIPs
- 2012-2013 Milestones
- 2014-2015 Milestones
- 2016-2017 Milestones
- Annual progress runs
- Tracked using Watershed Model Phase 5.3.2

#### **Midpoint Assessment**

- 2012: Gather Partnership input and set priorities
- As directed by WQGIT, gather data, develop methods
- As appropriate, refine and test tools
- Set planning targets for Phase III WIPs
- Develop Phase III WIPs and 2018-2019 milestones

2017

Bottom Line: Midpoint assessment will <u>not</u> interfere with implementation

#### Modeling Tools for Assessing Progress

	Watershed Model Phase 5.3.2	Potentially Updated Watershed Model
Phase II WIPs	$\checkmark$	
2009 – 2017 progress runs	✓	May rerun for informational purposes
2012-2013, 2014-2015 and 2016-2017 Milestones	✓	
Phase III WIPs		$\checkmark$
2018 – 2025 progress runs		$\checkmark$
2018-2019, 2020-2021, 2022-2023 and 2024-2025 Milestones		✓

#### **WQGIT** Efforts to Date

- WQGIT gathered input on midpoint assessment guiding principles and priorities starting in July 2012
- Deliberated priorities on October 22-23
- 8 WQGIT WGs, Modeling WG and STAR considered:
  - Do priorities address barriers to implementation?
  - How do the priorities align with the Guiding Principles?
  - Roughly speaking, how much of a difference would it make in load, expected reductions, or implementation decisions in each state?
  - Are there downsides to priority?
  - What would happen if this change were <u>not</u> made as part of the midpoint assessment?
- Outcome: Identification of high and other, lower priorities, and schedule and process moving forward

#### **Proposed Guiding Principles**

- 1. Continue implementation, tracking progress and reporting results, with stable tools through at least 2017
- Enhance decision support and assessment tools to enable successful engagement of local partners
- Incorporate new or refined BMPs and verification of practices into existing accountability tools and reporting protocols
- Address emerging issues that may impact current strategies and future plans
- 5. Prioritize midpoint assessment actions and use adaptive management to ensure WIPs meet water quality goals

# Bottom Line for Meeting 2025 Goal

- Partnership needs to be able to engage local partners in order to get practices on the ground
- Current suite of modeling tools is pushing partners away
- Changes to modeling inputs and assumptions will allow us to work with key partners
- Healthy step in adaptive management process

Management Strategy

#### Summary of High Level Priorities

- Incorporate better model input data from local partners, particularly for current, historic and future land uses and their associated pollution loading rates
  - Use 2025 projections to develop Phase III WIPs to incentivize conservation and smart land use planning. This priority is <u>not</u> a change in allocation methodologies to credit growth.
- Revisit model calibration methods and assumptions so modeling results better align with monitoring data
  - Includes accounting for decreased trapping capacity behind dams
- Make CBP models more predictable, easier to understand, and better decision-support tools
- Adjust Phase III WIP deadlines to take into account whether states met the "60% by 2017" goals

## Recommended Next Steps: November 2012 – February 2013

- November: Workgroups and STAR develop work plans for priorities
- December WQGIT call Report out:
  - Proposed work plans for high priorities
  - Who is lead for lower priorities identified by multiple Workgroups
- February WQGIT call: Leads present work plans for lower priorities
- Through September 2016: Leads work on priorities, get WQGIT approval and review results

Bottom Line: Partnership effort, and much data will come from states. Not just CBPO modeling team.

# WQGIT Schedule Recommendation: 2016 - 2018

- Fall 2016 Spring 2017: Partnership reviews "proposed final" model updates
- December 1, 2017: Complete final changes to model based on Partner review and set Phase III targets
- Spring 2018: 2017 progress run complete. Determines whether jurisdictions met 60% by 2017 goal
- Spring Fall 2018: Draft and Final Phase III WIPs due
- Based on Phase III WIPs and Midpoint Assessment, EPA determines whether to modify Bay TMDL