



Wastewater Treatment Workgroup Conference Call
Tuesday November 15, 2011
Meeting Minutes

Combined Sewer (CS) management practices in the Phase 5.3.2 Watershed model

- CS simulation- non point source is simulated but not connected to any stream, so non pt source loads are not counted in this area.
- CS portion, as with other non point sources, uses average over 10 yr period- averaging takes out factors of certain hydrologic yrs
- Capacity increase, Bay Program gets estimate from jurisdictions on change of CSO loads and Wastewater Treatment Plants loads, non point still simulated but separate
- Separation- change in CSO loads, and wastewater loads both presumably going down, CSO counted as separate load
- Where is this in writing for review? Not yet included in the watershed model documentation, will send the notes around to everyone.
- Brockenbrough-VA time series provided, and asked to be included, has this been used? The VA 10 yr average is used as provided by VA, Tetrattech info
- Document that what you put into tables may be different from what was put into the model
- There should be no unit load associated w CSO. From edge of field to edge of stream, CSO is zeroed. When there is a separation, acres of regulated urban will increase.
- Kennedy- question concerning accuracy of data in table provided
- Workgroup is asked to review template and incorrect data should be corrected (contact Ning).
- How is CBP representing how and when loads occur? They are contributed on the day specified, but we are looking for long term effects. Figured 10 yr average gives long term average of what to expect. States then can decide if it is more effective to address Ag or CSO
- Long term control plans in place, this is the driving force for some jurisdictions
- Workgroup wanted presentation of CSO loads, to ensure that accounting was appropriate, that loads are not illegal and that there is a place to reflect reductions where they occur
- Explanation of how loads are calculated would be helpful- P5 documentation should contain this.
- Completion for the milestones- some plans are long range, some are every yr. What kind of documentation should we look at, any guidance? Use input deck, other guidance materials previously provided
- Would be helpful to see acres and load, updated spreadsheet will be sent to WG again.
- Timeline- no timeline as of yet, in prep of next milestone development, provide input no later than mid Dec.
- Percentage of separation is important input, be sure to include this.
- Ning should write up anything that should be vetted, including separation etc
- Names associated w permit numbers would be helpful, please clarify on table heading
- ***Revised spreadsheet, and documentation will be shared, major changes will be discussed on call, if no significant changes call will not be necessary

TetraTech Data Reporting System Update

- Provided one spreadsheet and one word doc to be developed to help in addressing questions, issues as they arise.
- Request 2011 submission files at earliest convenience, possible by end of December? Late Jan for VA and Blue Plains (data will be for calendar yr)
- Requests so far- have 2 file types, one measurements and the other permit numbers and list of facilities, details
- Will provide template of these files
- State unique identifier- when data is sent to CBP, it is associated with unique code of custody that allows for tracking to pin point where changes are made
- Identifier will allow for record keeping at states, states are expected to review the files provided
- Should non significant facilities be included? Some states may not report them, but they do contribute. States are able to check discharge
- States are sending this info to EPA, no need for states to review our info
- Will provide example of this file to group, provide feedback, or files you would like to have considered for formatting
- Please review mapping spreadsheet to confirm that assumptions are true
- Need from Ning to determine exactly what is required, some items might be assumed but need confirmation
- Database should reference guidance or other documentation that contribute
- Because of a difference in testing, results may come in as different forms/codes but reporting of same species I.e. nitrate as n or as no3
- Provide materials for review, and would like to have meeting in Dec

Other Updates

- By December call, states needs to ID representation for Septic BMP panel
- ChesapeakeStat will be developed, we will be coming to the workgroup for input
- Real World Examples Workshop is moving forward, update will be provided when details are determined.
- Next meeting Dec 6

On the Phone

Tanya Spano
Jason Oyler
John Wyler
Allen Brockenbrough
John Kennedy
Maurine Tooke
Marty Hurd
Aaron Eschenbaugh
Gary Shenk
Marya Levelev
Ning Zhou
Victoria Kilbert